

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT COMPLIANCE REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

July 1, 2014 — June 30, 2015

Prepared for:

California State Historic Preservation Officer

and

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

Submitted by:

City of Alameda

August 24, 2015

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATION OF ACTIVITIES.....	3
INTRODUCTION	4
STATEMENT OF PURPOSE.....	4
METHODOLOGY	5
SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES.....	6
CONCLUSION	9

CERTIFICATION OF ACTIVITIES

The undersigned hereby certifies that all work subject to the Programmatic Agreement was carried out in compliance with the terms of the Programmatic Agreement, including any undertakings subject to Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement.

Debbie Potter, Community Development Director
City of Alameda, Responsible Entity

Date:

INTRODUCTION

On April 30, 2013, a Programmatic Agreement ("PA") was executed by the City of Alameda ("City") and the California State Historic Preservation Officer ("SHPO"), and filed with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation ("Advisory Council") at the same time, regarding properties affected by the City's use of finds subject to 24 C.F.R. Part 58 ("Undertakings"). The PA contains stipulations that ensure the City's responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act are carried out in accordance with the appropriate regulations for all undertakings that may have an effect on properties included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places ("Historic Properties").

In accordance with the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, HUD delegated its responsibility for environmental review, decision-making and action under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) to responsible entities that receive Federal assistance. The City Manager of the City of Alameda has designated the Community Development Director as one of the certifying officers responsible for all National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance requirements as they apply to the Housing and Urban Development responsibilities that have been assumed by the City. 24 CFR Part 58 applies to activities and projects where specific statutory authority exists for recipients or other responsible entities to assume the environmental responsibilities of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). These activities are currently funded through the Community Development Block Grant Program authorized by Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 and Home Investment Partnership Program authorized by title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act.

The PA requires the City to document in writing all actions taken pursuant to the PA and report the activities to the SHPO and the Advisory Council in a Programmatic Agreement Compliance Report (PACR) every year. This report covers the period from July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015.

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

The purpose of this PACR is to:

- Summarize for the SHPO and the Advisory Council the Activities carried out under the Programmatic Agreement from July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015;
- Document all decisions made with respect to Identification and Evaluation of Historic Properties; Treatment of Historic Properties; Resolution of Adverse Effects; Consideration and Treatment of Archeological Resources; and Exempt Projects;
- Inform the public of the activities carried out under the terms of the PA by making the PACR available for public inspection and comment.

METHODOLOGY

This section summarizes the process used by the City of Alameda in carrying out its duties under the PA.

The City must first determine whether the undertaking qualifies for review under the terms of the PA. The PA applies to all undertakings that: 1) are assisted in whole or in part by revenues from HUD subject to 24 CFR Part 58; 2) that can result in changes in the character or use of any resource that is fifty (50) years of age or older; or 3) that will have an effect or potential effect on property or adjacent property included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.

Stipulation II of the PA exempts undertakings from review by SHPO if the undertaking:

- Only affects properties that are less than 50 years old;
- Is limited exclusively to non-public interior portions of single family dwellings and multi-family residential properties where the proposed work is not visible from the property's exterior;
- That are listed in Appendix A of the PA. Properties exempt from review per Appendix A are required to conform to the greatest extent feasible with the California State Historic Building Code and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards.

The City must also consider the Area of Potential Effect (APE) when evaluating an undertaking for review under the PA (Stipulation IV). The APE for this PA is limited to the legal lot lines of a property or improvements to the infrastructure associated with the property. In addition, all properties, regardless of age, are evaluated under 36 C.F.R. Part 800. Stipulations V, VI and VII of the PA outline the procedure the City uses to determine if a property is historic or eligible to be considered historic and if the undertaking will have an effect or potential effect on a historic property on or adjacent to the subject site as well as the resolution of adverse effects.

In the event that an undertaking, subject to the PA, is required to eliminate an immediate threat to the public health and safety, the City shall notify SHPO within seven days, or as circumstances permit, and invite comments. The City shall take into consideration any comments received in determining how best to proceed with the undertaking.

Changes to approved undertakings and unanticipated discoveries are required to be promptly reported to SHPO if the undertaking is changed so that it is no longer considered exempt according to Stipulation II or it appears that it will affect a previously unidentified property.

At any time that the City determines that an undertaking is subject to this PA, the City shall identify, in consultation with SHPO, any parties entitled to be consulting parties, as well as members of the public, and invite them to participate. All objections raised during this public involvement period are immediately communicated to SHPO and the City will then attempt to resolve the objection. If the objection is not resolved within 15 calendar days, the City will forward all documentation relevant to the objection to the ACHP and consult with its Certified

Local Government Coordinator for comments. The City shall consider all comments received into account in reaching a final decision regarding the objection.

All actions, regardless of outcome, associated with a property/undertaking are documented. This documentation is maintained in a separate Environmental Review Record as well as the Project File and is available from the Housing Authority of the City of Alameda, Housing Development & Community Programs.

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES

This section identifies the specific activities carried out under the PA from July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015. Activities are identified by their addresses and included per Stipulation XVI of the PA: The following codes apply to the activities listed below and are referenced as shown in column 1:

- 1) Projects exempted from review under Stipulation II;
- 2) Properties reviewed under this PA that do not meet the NRHP criteria;
- 3) Properties reviewed under this PA that do meet the NRHP criteria;
- 4) A summary of the treatment of each property that does meet the NRHP criteria;
- 5) A summary of the treatment of any archeological properties identified under this PA.

Code:*	Property Address	Constr. Date	Constr Location		Scope of Work
			Int	Ext	
1	2516 Noble	1915	X		Grab bars
1	1601 Broadway #2	1964	X		Grab bars
1	1415 Broadway #206	1926	X		Grab bars - multiple
1	301 Broadway #204	1965	X		Grab bars; hall handrail
1	301 Broadway #203	1965	X		Grab bars;
1	1810 Central #302	1976	X		ADA toilet; grab bars
1	2915 Encinal	1912	X		Grab bars
1	318 Carob Lane	1979	X		Grab bars
1	2123 Lincoln	1900	X		Grab bars
1	1031 Taylor	1895	X		Grab bars
1	620 Waterview Isle	1959	X		Grab bars
1	1415 Broadway #226	1926	X		Grab bars
1	1580 Clement	2003	X		Grab bars; handrails
1	2133 Santa Clara #215	1975	X		Grab bars
1	1843 Poggi #109c	1967	X		Grab bars
1	1620 Benton	1928	X		Grab bars
1	3215 Thompson	1930	X		Grab bars; handrail
1	2966 Southwood Dr	1949	X		Grab bar
1	89 Maitland Dr	1959	X		Grab bar
1	1209 Buena Vista Apt A	1968	X		Grab bars
1	615 Willow #D	1964	X		Grab bars
1	3015 Lincoln	1910	X		Grab bars
1	3214 Washington	1951	X		Grab bars
1	1515 Pacific	1910	X		Thermostat
1	1333 Pearl St #A	1950	X		Grab bar
1	2050 Alameda Apt A	1970	X		Grab bars
1	1311 Webster #E316	1970	X		Grab bars
1	1037 Holly	1966	X		Grab bars
1	327 Laguna Vista	1964	X		Grab bars
1	239 Inverness Ct	1979	X		Grab bars
1	3217 Fuji	1970	X		Grab bars; ADA toilet
1	1004 Azalea	1957	X		Grab bars
1	317 Tipperary Ln	1990	X		Grab bars

Safety & Accessibility Total

UNK = Unknown, date not available through known sources.

Code:*	Property Address	Constr. Date	Constr Location		Scope of Work
			Int	Ext	
<u>Undertakings covered under PA</u>					
1	1213 Central Ave	1890	X	X	Paint, replace rear stairs, replace tub/surround, electrical & plumbing repairs to both units, new furnace in both units
1	2102 Buena Vista	1896	X		Rehab and paint interior, new furnace, flooring
1	2442 Encinal	1910	X	X	New accessible ensuite bathroom in basement level living area for disabled owner, exterior paint

Undertakings not covered under PA

No properties to list

Treatment of Archeological Properties

No properties to list

-
- Code*
1. Projects exempted from review under Stipulation II;
 2. Properties reviewed under this PA that do not meet the NRHP criteria;
 3. Properties reviewed under this PA that do meet the NRHP criteria;
 4. A summary of the treatment of each property that does meet the NRHP criteria; and
 5. A summary of the treatment of any archeological properties identified under this PA.

CONCLUSION

All undertakings for this reporting period were considered under Section 106 with respect to identification, evaluation, and treatment of historical and archeological properties/resources. However, there is nothing to report, as all of the undertakings are exempt from review under this PA, as indicated in the data.

There is nothing to report with respect to the treatment of properties that do meet the NRHP criteria, as all of the undertakings for this reporting period are exempt from review under this PA, as stated above.

There is nothing to report with respect to the treatment of archeological properties, as all of the undertakings for this reporting period are exempt from review under this PA, as stated above.

This is the second year of the City's Programmatic Agreement with SHPO. The City developed the PA with the expectation that it would enhance our ability to efficiently and effectively carry out our Section 106 review responsibilities while decreasing individual project review times.

Specific project questions can be directed to mdelagrange@alamedahsg.org
