

**From:** [Lara Weisiger](#)  
**To:** [Nancy McPeak](#)  
**Subject:** FW: [EXTERNAL] public comment for Historical Advisory Board tonight nonagenda public comment  
**Date:** Thursday, December 5, 2024 4:25:29 PM

---

**From:** Shelby S <sheehan.shelby@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 5, 2024 3:32 PM  
**To:** Historical Board <historicalboard@alamedaca.gov>; City Clerk <CLERK@alamedaca.gov>; Planning <Planning@alamedaca.gov>; Sunny Tsou <stsou@alamedaca.gov>; Hanson Hom <hhom@alamedaca.gov>; Diana Ariza <dariza@alamedaca.gov>; Andy Wang <awang@alamedaca.gov>; Asheshh Saheba <asaheba@alamedaca.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] public comment for Historical Advisory Board tonight nonagenda public comment

Clerk, please add to tonight's meeting.

As usual, I am reminding the Board that the City Planning Dept has taken illegal control of the Historical Advisory Board Agenda. Again, this violates the Charter, the Zoning code, and especially state law governing independence of Citizen OVERsight Boards.

Second, another reminder that ALL Projects at Alameda Point must undergo the Certificate of Approval process pursuant to the AP EIR Mitigation and Monitoring Measures 4-D.1 and 4-D.5, AMC Section 30-4.24, and Section 13-21 Historic Preservation Ordinance.

---and yes, that means the planning staff, Jennifer Ott, and the City Attorneys have been lying to you for years--publicly no less. That should tell you something.

All you have to do is read the regulations to figure it out for yourselves.

Third, because evaluation of impacts to historical resources is part of CEQA review, no projects at Alameda Point can be (legally) approved by the Planning Board without the "OK" from the HAB.

Further, HAB's review must be based on an independent evaluation from a properly-qualified outside consultant that meets the criteria set forth by the Secretary of Interior, for example, a properly qualified historic landscape consultant and must follow the required guidelines.

The report must be activity specific as well--as opposed to the "report" submitted by Building 8 for tonight's item, which lacks any details or reference to the activity being reviewed tonight and is just a general summary of the Project. This just makes it appear they are trying to hide something, and in any does not fulfill the requirements to show the

project's compliance.

Last, ultimately, any project--even if it undergoes HAB review--if the decision is based on deficient reports--it will still lack "substantial evidence" and can easily be challenged under CEQA.

Oh, and one last thing: No Projects are exempt from cEQA at Alameda Point.