

CITY OF ALAMEDA RESOLUTION NO. _____

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO SUBMIT A REQUEST TO THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR THE ALLOCATION OF \$125,917 IN FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE PROJECT FUNDING AND TO EXECUTE ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS

WHEREAS, Article 3 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99200 et seq., authorizes the submission of claims to a regional transportation planning agency for the funding of projects exclusively for the benefit or use of pedestrians and bicyclists; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay region, has adopted MTC Resolution No.4108, entitled "Transportation Development Act, Article 3, Pedestrian and Bicycle Projects," which delineates procedures and criteria for submission of requests for the allocation of "TDA Article 3" funding; and

WHEREAS, MTC Resolution No. 4108 requires that requests for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funding be submitted as part of a single, countywide coordinated claim from each county in the San Francisco Bay region; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alameda desires to submit a request to MTC for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funds to support the projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, which are for the exclusive benefit or use of pedestrians or bicyclists.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Alameda City Council that the City Manager is authorized to submit a request to the MTC for the allocation of \$125,917 in TDA Article 3 funds and to execute all necessary documents; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Alameda City Council declares it is eligible to request an allocation of TDA Article 3 funds pursuant to Section 99234 of the Public Utilities Code; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that there is no pending or threatened litigation that might adversely affect the project or projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, or that might impair the ability of the City of Alameda to carry out the project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the project has been reviewed by the Transportation Commission of the City of Alameda; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Alameda attests to the accuracy of and approves the statements in Attachment A to this resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this resolution and its attachments, and any accompanying supporting materials shall be forwarded to the congestion management agency, countywide transportation planning agency, or county association of governments, as the case may be, of Alameda County for submission to MTC as part of the countywide coordinated TDA Article 3 claim.

Attachment A

Findings

1. That the City of Alameda is not legally impeded from submitting a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 funds, nor is the City of Alameda legally impeded from undertaking the project(s) described in “Attachment B” of this resolution.
2. That the City of Alameda has committed adequate staffing resources to complete the project(s) described in Attachment B.
3. A review of the project(s) described in Attachment B has resulted in the consideration of all pertinent matters, including those related to environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances, attendant to the successful completion of the project(s).
4. Issues attendant to securing environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances for the projects described in Attachment B have been reviewed and will be concluded in a manner and on a schedule that will not jeopardize the deadline for the use of the TDA funds being requested.
5. That the project(s) described in Attachment B comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.).
6. That as portrayed in the budgetary description(s) of the project(s) in Attachment B, the sources of funding other than TDA are assured and adequate for completion of the project(s).
7. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are for capital construction or design engineering; or for the maintenance of a Class I bikeway, which is closed to motorized traffic; or for the purposes of restriping Class II bicycle lanes; or for the development or support of a bicycle safety education program; or for the development of a comprehensive bicycle or pedestrian facilities plan, and an allocation of TDA Article 3 funding for such a plan has not been received by the City of Alameda within the prior five fiscal years.
8. That the project(s) described in Attachment B is included in a locally approved bicycle, pedestrian, transit, multimodal, complete streets, or other relevant plan.
9. That any project described in Attachment B that is a “Class I Bikeway,” meets the mandatory minimum safety design criteria published in Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual.
10. That the project(s) described in Attachment B will be completed before the funds expire.
11. That the City of Alameda agrees to maintain, or provide for the maintenance of, the project(s) and facilities described in Attachment B, for the benefit of and use by the public.

Attachment B

TDA Article 3 Project Application Form

Fiscal Year of this Claim: **2014/15** Applicant: **City of Alameda Public Works Department**

Contact person: **Gail Payne, Transportation Coordinator**

Mailing Address: **950 West Mall Square, Room 100, Alameda, CA 94501**

E-Mail Address: **gpayne@alamedaca.gov** Telephone: **510-747-7948**

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available) **Virendra Patel**

E-Mail Address: **vpatel@alamedaca.gov** Telephone: **510-747-7930**

Short Title Description of Project: **Provide bicycle parking, update Bicycle Master Plan and improve pedestrian/bicycle access at Otis Drive/Mound Street intersection.**

Amount of claim: **\$125,917**

Functional Description of Project:

The first project is Bicycle Parking for \$30,000, which will install bicycle racks at various locations throughout the city based on community and business requests as well as bicycle lockers at the Webster Street/Ralph Appezzato Memorial Parkway intersection. The second project is the Bicycle Plan Update for \$50,000, which will include bicycle counts, mapping, changes to related sections of the Alameda Municipal Code and outreach. The third project is the Otis Drive/Mound Street Pedestrian/Bicycle Access Improvement Project for \$45,917, which will install a rectangular rapid flashing beacon, an advance flashing beacon and other minor improvements.

Financial Plan:

List the project elements for which TDA funding is being requested (e.g., planning I, engineering, construction, contingency). Use the table below to show the project budget for the phase being funded or total project. Include prior and proposed future funding of the project.

Project Elements:

Funding Source	All Prior FYs	Application FY	Next FY	Following FYs	Totals
TDA Article 3	\$63,592	\$62,325			\$125,917
list all other sources:					
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
Totals	\$63,592	\$62,325			\$125,917

Project Eligibility:	YES?/NO?
A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated).	Yes
B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page.	No
C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: http://www.dot.ca.gov).	NA
D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee? Date: April 23, 2014	Yes
E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction).	NA
F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of	Yes

project (month and year) <u>December 2015</u>	
G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility, provide its name.)	Yes

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly adopted and passed by the Council of the City of Alameda in a regular meeting assembled on the 20th day of May, 2014, by the following vote to wit:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSENTIONS:

IN WITNESS, WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the official seal of said City this 21st day of May 2014.

Lara Weisiger, City Clerk
City of Alameda