

CITY OF ALAMEDA
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2024

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF ALAMEDA
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
For The Year Ended June 30, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	1
Section I – Summary of Auditor’s Results	1
Section II – Financial Statement Findings	2
Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs.....	2
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	3
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Report on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	7
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	9

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF ALAMEDA

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2024**

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None Reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major federal programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None Reported

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major federal programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? Yes X No

Identification of major program(s):

Assistance Listing Number(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
14.218	CDBG Entitlements Cluster - Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Grants, COVID-19 – CDBG-CV
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highway Program)
21.027	COVID-19 - Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds
97.047	BRIC: Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? X Yes No

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Our audit did not disclose any significant deficiencies, material weaknesses or instances of noncompliance material to the basic financial statements. We have also issued a separate Memorandum on Internal Control dated December 30, 2024, which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report.

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Our audit did not disclose any findings or questioned costs required to be reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance.

CITY OF ALAMEDA
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Identifying Number	Pass-Through To Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic Atmosphere Administration Pass Through Program From: National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Office of Coastal Management National Coastal Resilience Fund 2022	11.473	NA22NOS4730114		\$189,390
Total U.S. Department of Commerce				<u>189,390</u>
Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct Programs: CDBG -Entitlement Grants Cluster Community Development Block Grants/ Entitlement Grants Subgrants Program Expenditures Loan Program Unexpended Cash Balance Loan Program Balance, Beginning of Year COVID-19 - CDBG-CV Program Expenditures CDBG -Entitlement Grants Cluster subtotal	14.218		\$328,766	328,766 1,244,098 557,606 2,269,066 <u>12,606</u> <u>4,412,142</u>
Economic Development Initiative Community Project and Miscellaneous Funding Grant	14.251			<u>500,000</u>
Subtotal Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct Programs				<u>4,912,142</u>
Department of Housing and Urban Development Pass-Through Program from: County of Alameda HOME Investment Partnerships Program Program Expenditures Loan Program Balance, Beginning of Year HOME ARP	14.239			480,019 6,885,469 411,667
Subtotal Department of Housing and Urban Development Pass-Through Program				<u>7,777,155</u>
Total Department of Housing and Urban Development			<u>336,272</u>	<u>12,689,297</u>
Department of Interior National Park Service Direct Program: Preservation of Japanese American Confinement Sites	15.933			<u>(12,911)</u>
Total Department of Interior National Park Service				<u>(12,911)</u>
Department of Transportation Pass-Through Program from: State of California, Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highway Program) Clement Avenue Complete Streets Central Avenue Safety Improvements	20.205			2,210,818 11,510
Total Department of Transportation		CML-5014(046) ATPL-5014(047)		<u>2,222,328</u>
Department of the Treasury Direct Program: COVID-19 - Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds	21.027		2,043,884	4,980,787
Total Department of the Treasury			<u>2,043,884</u>	<u>4,980,787</u>
The Institute of Museum and Library Services Pass-Through Program From: California State Library Grants to States	45.310	Unavailable		18
Total Institute of Museum and Library Services				<u>18</u>

(Continued)

CITY OF ALAMEDA
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Identifying Number	Pass-Through To Subrecipients	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Pass-Through Program From: Association of Bay Area Governments National Estuary Program	66.456	98T45901		181,062
Total U.S. Environmental Protection Agency				<u>181,062</u>
Department of Homeland Security Direct Program: Homeland Security Grant Program - AFD CERT Program	97.067			13,095
Subtotal Department Homeland Security Direct Program:				<u>13,095</u>
Department of Homeland Security Pass-Through Programs From: Alameda County Sheriff's Office Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP)	97.067	CALOES ID: 001-00000		65,082
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services COVID-19 Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.036	FEMA-4482-DR-CA, CAL OES ID: 001-00562		19,110
BRIC: Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities	97.047	LPDM22-PJ0002		918,698
Subtotal Department Homeland Security Pass-Through Programs				<u>1,002,890</u>
Total Department of Homeland Security				<u>1,015,985</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$2,380,156</u>	<u>\$21,265,956</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

CITY OF ALAMEDA

**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2024**

NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY

The Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes expenditures of federal awards for the City of Alameda, California, and its component units as disclosed in the notes to the Basic Financial Statements, except for federal awards of the Alameda Municipal Power (AMP) Enterprise Fund. Federal awards expended by AMP, if any, are excluded from the Schedule and are subject to a separate Single Audit.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of accounting refers to *when* revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements, regardless of the measurement focus applied. The governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. The proprietary and fiduciary fund financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Expenditures of Federal Awards reported on the Schedule are recognized when incurred.

Negative amounts shown on the Schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years.

NOTE 3 – INDIRECT COST ELECTION

The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

NOTE 4 – OUTSTANDING LOANS OF FEDERAL FUNDS AT JUNE 30, 2024

The following loan programs balances and transactions relating to these programs are included in City’s basic financial statements. Loans outstanding at the beginning of the year and loans made during the year are included in the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule. The balance of loans outstanding at June 30, 2024 consists of the following:

CFDA Number	Program Name	Outstanding Balance at June 30, 2024
14.218	Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	\$2,192,679
14.239	HOME Investment Partnerships Program	7,301,805

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE
WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of Alameda, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Alameda (City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2024, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 30, 2024.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. *A material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We have also issued a separate Memorandum on Internal Control dated December 30, 2024, which is an integral part of our audit and should be read in conjunction with this report.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Maze & Associates". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Pleasant Hill, California
December 30, 2024

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT
ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM;
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE;
AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of Alameda, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Alameda's (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2024. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

The City of Alameda's basic financial statements include the operations of the Alameda Municipal Power (AMP) Enterprise Fund, which received no federal awards during the year ended June 30, 2024. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of AMP, because AMP is subject to a separate audit of compliance.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2024.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining funds information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2024, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 30, 2024, which contained an unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



Pleasant Hill, California
March 31, 2025

This Page Left Intentionally Blank