

DRAFT Alameda Active Transportation Plan

Appendix G: Active Transportation Project Prioritization



SUMMARY OF PRIORITIZATION PROCESS

The priority projects included in the 2030 Infrastructure Plan list were selected through a two-step process.

First, all capital project recommendations from the Pedestrian Network, Bicycle Network and Trails Network chapters were scored and ranked using a data-driven evaluation process, explained in detail further below in this Appendix. The results of this prioritization process are intended to help reveal a project's ability to meet Plan goals, independent of other factors such as cost, coordination with other efforts, etc.

The bicycle project prioritization criteria were applied to both the bicycle and trail recommended projects. The scoring criteria gave the highest weight to safety, creating a connected and low stress network, and equity. Criteria also included trip potential for each project, based on a data-driven evaluation of city streets with the highest potential for bicycle trips.

The pedestrian prioritization criteria were applied differently than that for bicycling since the pedestrian network is the entire city. All public streets were scored, by segment, with the heaviest weight going toward safety, access to schools, and equity. Other criteria included access to transit, parks, libraries and commercial areas.

Almost all of the projects and street segments that ranked "high" through either the bicycle or pedestrian prioritization analyzes have been included in the 2030 Infrastructure Plan list. Other included projects were ranked "medium." In some cases, projects and street segments were ranked high for *both* walking and biking, such as along Park Street and Webster Street, illustrating a strong need for improvements and investments.

Second, the resulting high-ranking projects were assessed using more qualitative knowledge and expertise. The overall goals of this planning effort, including creating a connected, low-stress network that eliminates the many gaps in the existing bikeway network, was considered. The City considered the current construction and planning efforts underway that will continue to require staffing and funding resources. Projects that were not evaluated using the data-driven prioritization process were considered, such as the need for maintenance, including trail maintenance, and improving water crossings and access to them. Community priorities were also considered, as they have been expressed throughout this planning process, and others that have occurred over the past three years. Finally, known opportunities, professional judgement, funding and feasibility were layered in, to develop the 2030 Infrastructure Plan.

While a thorough process was conducted, over the next eight years, new opportunities may arise through other processes such as new development, repaving projects, or funding opportunities, and a lower-ranked project may become ripe for implementation.

PRIORITIZATION METHODOLOGY OVERVIEW

A data-driven prioritization process was the first input used to guide the selection of priority bicycle, pedestrian and trail capital projects for investments in the coming years. All projects or street segments were objectively scored and ranked, based on the plan’s goals. These prioritization results will continue to be used in the future to help select street or trail segments for prioritization of improvements, such as for pedestrian crossing improvements or trail maintenance. The outputs of these prioritization processes are only one factor that were, or will be, used for final project selection.



Safety



Equity



Connectivity and Comfort



Mode Shift

The prioritization criteria were categorized by four of the five plan goals: Safety, Equity, Connectivity and Comfort, and Mode Shift. (The Community goal was not included since it addresses programs, and not capital projects.) There is some overlap in what each criterion is measuring. The overall weight for each goal is the highest number of points possible, based on the sum of the criteria scores under that goal. The point values for each criterion are based on weights that reflect the Plan’s vision statement, City policies, City staff input, feedback received during public engagement activities completed for the Plan, and those used in other, similar plans from cities in California.

The sections below present the criteria used for the bicycle/trail and pedestrian/trail networks prioritization analysis, maps of the results of the analysis, and detailed project lists with individual project or street rankings.

PEDESTRIAN NETWORK PRIORITIZATION

The criteria in Table 1 were applied to all public street segments in the city of Alameda, which almost all include pedestrian facilities of some type, plus all walkways and shared-use trails. The results of applying these criteria are illustrated, ranked by high, medium and low score ranges, in Figure 1. Those street segments/trails that scored “High” (from 60 to 110 points) are listed in Table 2.

Prioritization criteria for the pedestrian network are shown in Table 1. These criteria were used to prioritize streets for pedestrian improvements since specific pedestrian projects were not identified as part of the Plan. The results of the pedestrian network prioritization approach will be used to identify opportunities for pedestrian crossing improvements and locations where the City can prioritize installing the pedestrian treatments in the treatment matrix.

Table 1. Pedestrian Prioritization Criteria

| Criteria | Measure | Description | Points |
|--|--|---|-----------|
| Goal: Increase Mode Share | | Highest Number of Points Possible | 10 |
| Connections to Transit | Within ¼ mile of high-frequency transit corridor or Transbay bus stops, or ferry terminals | Prioritizes projects in close proximity to major transit stops and ferry terminals to help close first-last mile gaps and enable longer trips to be taken using active transportation. ¹ | 10 |
| Goal: Increase Safety | | Highest Number of Points Possible | 45 |
| Pedestrian High Injury Corridor | Street is on a Pedestrian High Injury Corridor | Prioritizes making pedestrian safety improvements along streets identified as a Pedestrian High Injury Corridor. Streets rated as Tier 1 receive 35 points, streets rated as Tier 2 receive 30 points, and streets rated as Tier 3 receive 25 points. | 35 |
| High-crash intersections | Street contains intersection(s) with high crash intensity | Prioritizes street segments that intersect with more than one high-crash intersection. Defined by Vision Zero Crash Analysis. Only includes crashes involving pedestrians. | 10 |
| | | Prioritizes streets that intersect with one high-crash intersection. Defined by Vision Zero Crash Analysis. Only includes crashes involving pedestrians. | 5 |
| Goal: Increase Connectivity and Comfort | | Highest Number of Points Possible | 35 |
| Proximity to Schools | Street, trail, or walkway is within 600 feet of the edge of school property | Prioritizes walking facilities that connect to schools. | 15 |
| Proximity to other Community Destinations | Street has a <i>Community Destinations Overlay</i> , per the Pedestrian Street Typology | Prioritizes streets along the perimeters of parks, libraries, hospitals, senior centers, and colleges. | 10 |
| Commercial Area | Street is a <i>Business Main Street</i> , per Pedestrian Street Typology | Prioritizes walking facilities within commercial areas. | 10 |
| Goal: Increase Equity | | Highest Number of Points Possible | 20 |

¹ As of May 2022 when the criteria were developed, these Transbay routes included Line O (Santa Clara – Encinal Transbay); OX (Bay Farm – Park St. Transbay); and W (High – South Shore Transbay). High-frequency corridors are those with fixed route bus service with service intervals no longer than 15 minutes during peak weekday commute hours.

| Criteria | Measure | Description | Points |
|-------------------------------|--|---|------------|
| Community Vulnerability Level | Street meets one of above criteria (i.e., has greater than zero points) and is within the “highest” or “high” level of community vulnerability | Further prioritizes streets, trails and walkways within areas identified as having a high share of vulnerable communities, as defined by the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC). ² | 20 |
| TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE | | | 110 |

² The City formalized its use of this BCDC map for assessing community vulnerability in May 2022 at the Transportation Commission meeting.



Figure 1. Pedestrian Network (Streets & Trails) Prioritization Results

Table 2. Pedestrian Network with High Prioritization Ranking

| Street Name | From Extent | To Extent | Complete Streets Potential Project³ |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|---|
| Central Av | Webster St | Sixth St | No |
| Grand St | Shoreline Dr | Otis Dr | No |
| Lincoln Av | Park St/Tilden Wy | Oak St | Yes |
| Oak St | Buena Vista Av | Lincoln Av | Yes |
| Oak St | Santa Clara Ave | Encinal Av | Yes |
| Otis Dr | Rock Isle | Park Av | No |
| Otis Dr | Mound St | Court St | No |
| Park St | Blanding Av | Shoreline Dr | Yes |
| Shoreline Dr | Sunset Rd | Kittyhawk Rd | No |
| Webster St | Willie Stargell Av | Atlantic Av | No |
| Webster St | Atlantic Av | Central Av | Yes |

³ Segments flagged as Complete Streets indicate street segments that ranked “High” in both the bicycle and pedestrian prioritization analyses.

BICYCLE NETWORK PRIORITIZATION

The criteria in Table 3 were applied to all of the proposed bicycle facilities included as part of the Bicycle Vision Network, including shared-used trails. Refer to *Chapter 5: Bicycle Network* in the Active Transportation Plan (ATP) for a map of the network. The results of applying these criteria are illustrated, ranked by high, medium and low score ranges, in Figure 2. All of the proposed bicycle projects included in the Bicycle Vision Network are listed in Table 4, with their Prioritization Ranking, proposed bikeway type, estimated cost and lead agency.

Note that existing bikeways and projects planned for construction by 2024 were not scored using this prioritization analysis, since they are completed or funded and underway. In addition, water crossing projects (e.g., bridges) are a high priority for the City because of the important regional connections these facilities provide, regardless of the prioritization score these projects received during this phase of the analysis.

Table 3. Bicycle Network Prioritization Criteria

| Criteria | Measure | Description | Points |
|----------------------------------|---|--|-----------|
| Goal: Increase Mode Share | | Highest Number of Points Possible | 15 |
| Bicycle Trip Potential | Projects categorized based on scores generated from the trip potential analysis (see Appendix F) | Trip potential score consists of population and employment density, intersection density, percentage of households living below the poverty line, and transit. Recommended bikeways in areas with higher trip potential score higher. Bikeways were ranked based on their trip potential score derived from an area weighted average. Bikeways that rank within the top 33% receive 10 points, those in the middle receive 5 points, and those that rank below that do not receive any points for this metric. | 10 |
| Regional Connections | ¼ mile from ferry terminal, high-frequency transit corridor, Transbay bus stop, or existing bridge/tubes | Prioritizes projects in close proximity to major transit stops and estuary crossings to help close first-last mile gaps and enable longer trips to be taken using active transportation. ⁴ | 5 |
| Goal: Increase Safety | | Highest Number of Points Possible | 30 |
| Bicycle High Injury Corridor | Project is on the City's Bicycle High Injury Corridor or provides a parallel route within two blocks of the HIC | Prioritizes projects identified as High Injury Corridors for bicyclists or that provide a convenient alternative route. | 15 |
| High-crash intersections | Projects contains intersection(s) with high crash intensity | Prioritizes projects that intersect with more than one high-crash intersection. Defined by Vision Zero Crash Analysis. Only includes crashes involving bicyclists. | 10 |
| | | Prioritizes projects that intersect with one high-crash intersection. Defined by Vision | 5 |

⁴ As of May 2022, these Transbay routes include Lines O (Santa Clara – Encinal Transbay); OX (Bay Farm – Park St. Transbay); and W (High – South Shore Transbay). High-frequency corridors are those with fixed route bus service with service intervals no longer than 15 minutes during peak weekday commute hours.

| Criteria | Measure | Description | Points |
|---|---|--|------------|
| | | Zero Crash Analysis. Only includes crashes involving bicyclists. | |
| Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) | LTS 3 or LTS 4 or provides parallel route within two blocks (see Appendix F for map of LTS) | Prioritizes projects on segments that have higher stress levels or provide a nearby alternative route. | 5 |
| Goal: Increase Connectivity and Comfort | | Highest Number of Points Possible | 35 |
| Connections to commercial streets | Is adjacent to, within one block of, intersects with or ends at a <i>Community Mixed-Use land use area</i> | Prioritizes projects that provide access to commercial corridors and businesses. | 10 |
| Direct access to Schools and other Community Destinations | Is adjacent to, within one block of, or intersects with, or ends at a school or Community Destination (e.g., park, library, or senior center) | This measure prioritizes bikeways based on whether they increase access to schools or other Community Destinations. A bikeway that intersects or is within approximately one block of a school receives 10 points. If a bikeway connects to a Community Destination but not a school, it receives 5 points. If a bikeway connects to a school and a Community Destination it receives 10 points. Community Destinations are defined in the Pedestrian Street Typology. | 10 |
| Low-stress bikeway ⁵ | Recommended low-stress bikeway (i.e., Shared use Path, Separated Bike Lane, or Neighborhood Greenway) | Prioritizes all ages and abilities bikeways, with special emphasis on low-stress bikeways. | 5 |
| | Recommended low-stress bikeway that connects with existing low-stress bikeway | Prioritizes all ages and abilities bikeways, with special emphasis on low-stress network connectivity. | 5 |
| | Recommended low-stress bikeway that runs north-south on main island | Prioritizes low-stress bikeways that improve north-south network connectivity on main island. | 5 |
| Goal: Increase Equity | | Highest Number of Points Possible | 20 |
| Community Vulnerability Level | Recommended bikeway within the “highest” or “high” level of community vulnerability | Prioritizes projects within areas identified as having a high share of vulnerable communities, as defined by the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC). ⁶ | 20 |
| TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE | | | 100 |

⁵ Low-stress bikeway refers to the specific low-stress bikeways defined as part of the Active Transportation Plan, such as Class I Multi-Use Paths, Class IV Separated Bicycle Lanes, and Class III Neighborhood Greenways. These bikeways will increase ridership among “interested, but concerned” bicyclists.

⁶ The City formalized its use of this BCDC map for assessing community vulnerability in May 2022 at the Transportation Commission meeting.



Figure 1. Bicycle Network Prioritization Results.

Table 4. Bicycle Vision Network Project List

| Project ID | Name | From Extent | To Extent | Proposed Bikeway Type | Length (mi) | Estimated Cost | Prioritization Rank | Lead Agency |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 145 | Adelphian Wy | Mecartney Rd | Harbor Bay Pw | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.12 | \$64,463 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 94 | Alameda Av | Walnut St | Benton St/Central Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.84 | \$469,186 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 12 | Alameda Point Trail | Main St Dog Park | Future DePave Park | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 4.71 | \$21,690,042 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 41 | Atlantic Av | Constitution Wy | Sherman St/Wind River Wy | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.67 | \$219,758 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 82 | Ballena Bl | Central Av/Fourth St | Cola Ballena | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.16 | \$117,650 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 98 | Ballena Bl | San Francisco Bay | Cola Ballena | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.68 | \$290,429 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 148 | Bay Edge Rd | Aughinbaugh Wy/Ratto Rd | Harbor Bay Pw | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.11 | \$26,974 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 138 | Bayview Dr | Broadway | High St/Otis Dr | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.46 | \$258,670 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 137 | Bayview Dr Connection | Bayview Dr | Bay Trail in Bird Sanctuary | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.03 | \$146,714 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 140 | Bird Sanctuary | Broadway | Bayview Dr Connector Trail | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.42 | \$1,922,657 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 83 | Blanding Av | Oak St | Park St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.13 | \$93,541 | High | City of Alameda |
| 95 | Blanding Av | Tilden Wy Trail | Fernside Bl/Tilden Wy | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.01 | \$8,745 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 93 | Blanding Av | Tilden Wy Trail | Broadway | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.08 | \$25,700 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 79* | Blanding Ave | Oak St | Elm St | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.12 | \$29,991 | High | Private Developer |
| 52 | Buena Vista Av | Fifth St | Poggi St | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.13 | \$32,901 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 163 | C St Connection | Silva Ln | C St | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.1 | \$450,019 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 131 | Calhoun St | Versailles Av | Court St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.16 | \$88,647 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 157 | Catalina Av | Holly St | Fontana Dr/Via Alamosa | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.5 | \$281,823 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 129 | Central Av | High St | Fernside Bl | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.24 | \$132,042 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 76* | Central Av | Ninth St | Main St/Pacific Av/W Pacific Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 1.33 | \$970,362 | Not Applicable | City of Alameda |

| Project ID | Name | From Extent | To Extent | Proposed Bikeway Type | Length (mi) | Estimated Cost | Prioritization Rank | Lead Agency |
|------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| p | Central Av | Ninth St | Sherman St | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.39 | \$97,745 | Not Applicable | City of Alameda |
| 38 | Challenger Dr | Marina Village Pw | Atlantic Av/Challenger Dr - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.13 | \$97,006 | Low** | City of Alameda |
| 42 | Challenger Dr - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | | | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.09 | \$398,136 | Low | |
| 88 | Chestnut St | Clement Av | Clinton Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.8 | \$448,425 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 59 | Clement Av | Grand St | Ohlone St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.16 | \$118,452 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 108 | Clinton Av | Chestnut St | Walnut St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.36 | \$200,266 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 119 | Clinton Av | Oak St | Park St | To Be Determined | 0.05 | To Be Determined | Low | City of Alameda |
| 110 | Coral Reef Pl | Sunset Rd | Coral Reef Rd | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.05 | \$26,526 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 35 | Coral Sea St | Poggi St | Willie Stargell Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.37 | \$208,596 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 37 | Coronado St | Pan Am Wy | Coronado Av | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.13 | \$33,313 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 128 | Court St | Calhoun St | Lincoln Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.67 | \$376,288 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 139 | Court St | Bayview Dr | Waterton St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.05 | \$29,249 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 49 | Cross Alameda Trail | Sherman St | Clement Av/Encinal Basin/Entrance Rd | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.26 | \$192,827 | Medium | Private Developer |
| 142 | Doolittle Dr | Island Dr | Harbor Bay Pw | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.5 | \$367,858 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 50 | Eagle Av | St Charles St | Sherman St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.14 | \$80,162 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 66 | Eagle Av | Grand St | Hibbard St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.05 | \$29,979 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 85 | Eighth Street Connection at Washington Park | Portola Av | Central Av | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.13 | \$607,561 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 44 | Eight Street - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | | | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.04 | \$195,030 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 55 | Eighth St | Eight Street - Jean Sweeney Park Connection/Stewart Ct | 90 ft southwest of Lincoln Av/Constitution Wy/Eighth St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.25 | \$139,072 | High | City of Alameda |
| 91 | Eighth St | Westline Dr | Portola Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.18 | \$133,783 | Medium | City of Alameda |

| Project ID | Name | From Extent | To Extent | Proposed Bikeway Type | Length (mi) | Estimated Cost | Prioritization Rank | Lead Agency |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 78* | Elm St | Blanding Ave | Clement Av | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.07 | \$16,820 | High | Private Developer |
| 126 | Encinal Av | High St | Broadway | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.47 | \$154,307 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 133 | Encinal Av | Eastshore Dr | Fernside Bl | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.09 | \$50,750 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 2 | Estuary Park Pathway | Mosely Av | Mitchell Ave | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.05 | \$250,320 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 118.2 | Fernside Bl | High St | Blanding Av/Tilden Wy | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.49 | \$355,989 | High | City of Alameda |
| 118.1 | Fernside Bl | San Jose Av | High St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.87 | \$631,196 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 34 | Fifth St | Ralph Appezzato Memorial Pw | Willie Stargell Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.37 | \$267,607 | High | City of Alameda |
| 69 | Fifth St | Central Av | Buena Vista Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.4 | \$223,657 | High | City of Alameda |
| 14 | Fifth St | Willie Stargell Av | Mitchell Av | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.35 | \$114,262 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 153 | Fir Av | Holly St/Oleander Av | Fir Pl/Marianas Ln | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.32 | \$179,943 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 154 | Fir Pl | Fir Av/Marianas Ln | Catalina Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.17 | \$94,563 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 147 | Fontana Dr | Baywood Rd/Mecartney Rd | Catalina Av/Via Alamosa | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.26 | \$145,609 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 125 | Garfield Av | Eastshore Dr/Fernside Bl | High St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.24 | \$132,162 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 106 | Gibbons Dr | High St | Lincoln Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.41 | \$229,109 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 89.3 | Grand St | Encinal Av | Grand Marina Trail | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.74 | \$536,689 | High | City of Alameda |
| 89.1 | Grand St | Shoreline Dr | Dayton Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.44 | \$318,951 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 89.2 | Grand St | Dayton Av | Encinal Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.27 | \$199,246 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 77 | Hancock St | W Ticonderoga Av | San Francisco Bay | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.28 | \$71,029 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 77.1 | Hancock St | Central Av/Lincoln Av | W Ticonderoga Av | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.03 | \$7,615 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 146 | Harbor Bay Pw | Bay Edge Rd | Adelphian Wy | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.27 | \$148,289 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 164 | Harbor Bay Pw | Ron Cowan Pw | Shoreline Park | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 1.34 | \$976,639 | Low | City of Alameda |

| Project ID | Name | From Extent | To Extent | Proposed Bikeway Type | Length (mi) | Estimated Cost | Prioritization Rank | Lead Agency |
|------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|-------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 155 | Harbor Bay Pw | Shoreline Park | Bay Edge Rd | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.32 | \$80,939 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 63 | Hibbard St | Clement Av | Eagle Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.07 | \$38,198 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 113 | High St | Lincoln Av | High Street Bridge/Marina Dr | Bike Route (Class III) | 0.32 | \$6,434 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 120 | High St | Garfield Av | Lincoln Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.02 | \$12,080 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 158 | Holly St | Catalina Av | Fir Av/Oleander Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.15 | \$85,810 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 144 | Island Dr | Doolittle Dr | Mecartney Rd | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.83 | \$206,872 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 152 | Island Dr | Mecartney Rd | Catalina Av/N Loop Rd Connection | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.37 | \$122,391 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 116 | Kittyhawk Pl | Kittyhawk Rd | Greenbrier Rd/Whitehall Pl | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.05 | \$27,074 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 17 | Lexington St | Navy Way St/W Red Line Av | W Tower Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.39 | \$281,720 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 73 | Lincoln Av | Fifth St/Marshall Wy | Park St/Tilden Wy | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 2.41 | \$795,351 | High | City of Alameda |
| 104 | Lincoln Av | Park St/Tilden Wy | High St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.75 | \$420,897 | High | City of Alameda |
| 136 | Lincoln Middle School Connection | Existing Bay Trail | Eastshore Dr | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.07 | \$327,119 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 20 | Main St | Central Av/Pacific Av/W Pacific Av | Navy Way St | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 1.4 | \$603,602 | High | City of Alameda/ Private Developer |
| 150 | Maitland Dr | Corica Park Golf Course | Island Dr | Bike Route (Class III) | 0.72 | \$14,390 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 161 | Maitland Dr | Harbor Bay Pw | Corica Park Golf Course | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.12 | \$29,882 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 25 | Marina Village Pw | Mariner Square Dr/Mariner Square Lp | 75 ft southwest of Challenger Dr/Marina Village Pw | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.75 | \$544,713 | Medium** | City of Alameda |
| 6 | Mariner Square Dr | Marina Village Pw/Mariner Square Lp | Webster Tube | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.17 | \$125,841 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 24 | Mariner Square Lp | 110 ft southwest of E Campus Dr/Mariner Square Lp/Willie Stargell Av | E Campus Dr/Willie Stargell Av | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.02 | \$5,227 | High | City of Alameda |
| 10 | Mariner Square Lp | Mitchell Av | Marina Village Pw/Mariner Square Dr | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.09 | \$30,411 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 62 | Marshall Wy | Fifth St/Lincoln Av | Fourth St/Pacific Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.21 | \$154,206 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 149 | Mecartney Rd | Island Dr | Maitland Dr/Melrose Av | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.34 | \$84,470 | Low | City of Alameda |

| Project ID | Name | From Extent | To Extent | Proposed Bikeway Type | Length (mi) | Estimated Cost | Prioritization Rank | Lead Agency |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 156 | Melrose Av | Hillery Wy/Oleander Av | Maitland Dr/Mecartney Rd | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.26 | \$144,506 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 92 | Miller-Sweeney Bridge | | | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.17 | \$770,358 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 8 | Mitchell Av | Mariner Square Lp | Fifth St | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.14 | \$47,629 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 3 | Mitchell Av | Bette St | Main St | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.57 | \$143,071 | Not Applicable | City of Alameda |
| 21 | Monarch St | Alameda Point Trail | W Red Line Av | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.59 | \$254,633 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 90 | Morton St | San Antonio Av | San Jose Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.08 | \$42,893 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 28.1* | Mosley Av | Ralph Appezzato Memorial Pw | Willie Stargell Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.38 | \$210,456 | High | City of Alameda |
| 16 | Mosley Av | Carl Vinson | Singleton Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.01 | \$3,913 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 28.2* | Mosley Av | Willie Stargell Av | Singleton Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.16 | \$87,670 | Medium | Federal |
| 9 | Mosley Av | Singleton Av | Estuary Park Pathway/Monterey Ci | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.22 | \$53,714 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 132 | Mound St | Calhoun St | Waterton St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.15 | \$81,693 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 162 | N Loop Rd | Harbor Bay Pw/S Loop Rd | Harbor Bay Pw | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.76 | \$252,223 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 159 | N Loop Rd Connection | Catalina Av/Island Dr | N Loop Rd | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.06 | \$288,003 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 4 | Navy Way St | Lexington St/W Red Line Av | Third St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.07 | \$51,532 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 1 | Navy Way St | Third St | Main St | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.05 | \$20,233 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 31 | Neptune Park | Webster St | Constitution Av | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.08 | \$386,843 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 70 | Nineth St | San Antonio Av | Jean Sweeney Park | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.65 | \$363,651 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 99 ^{CS} | Oak St | Clinton Av | Blanding Ave | Low Stress Bikeway - Type TBD | 0.87 | \$634,759 | High | City of Alameda |
| 122 | Oak St | Clinton Av | Park St to Otis Dr Connector/Powell St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.19 | \$103,424 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 127 | Oak St to Otis Dr Connector | Oak St/Powell St | Otis Dr/Park St | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.04 | \$173,778 | Medium | City of Alameda |

| Project ID | Name | From Extent | To Extent | Proposed Bikeway Type | Length (mi) | Estimated Cost | Prioritization Rank | Lead Agency |
|-------------------|----------------|--|--|--|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 160 | Oleander Av | Fir Av/Holly St | Magnolia Dr | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.41 | \$226,932 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 13 | Orion St | 200 ft south of Orion St/Corpus Christi Rd | Main St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.19 | \$139,918 | High | Private Developer |
| 58.1* | Orion St | Oriskany Av | W Atlantic Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.34 | \$245,347 | High | Private Developer |
| 27* | Orion St | 140 ft south of Orion St/Coronado Av | W Midway Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.23 | \$167,776 | High | Private Developer |
| 58.2* | Orion St | W Hornet Av | Oriskany Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.23 | \$164,330 | High | Private Developer |
| 64 | Oriskany Av | Central Av | Ferry Point | Bike Route (Class III) | 0.45 | \$9,002 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 130 | Otis Dr | Broadway | Park St | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.24 | \$78,207 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 134 | Otis Dr | Broadway | Bay Farm Island Bridge/Fernside Bl/Towata Park | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.6 | \$148,922 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 105 | Otis Dr | Grand St | Rosewood Wy | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.05 | \$37,073 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 123 | Otis Dr | Park St | Willow St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.38 | \$274,701 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 72 | Pacific Av | Fourth St/Marshall Wy | Park St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 2.64 | \$1,480,830 | High | City of Alameda |
| 57 | Pacific Av | Fourth St/Marshall Wy | Central Av/Main St/W Pacific Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.28 | \$207,028 | High | City of Alameda |
| 33 | Pacific Marina | Kingsbury Ct/Triumph Dr | Shoreline Park Connection | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.04 | \$10,907 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 11 | Pan Am Wy | W Midway Av | Main St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.29 | \$213,764 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 26.1 | Pan Am Wy | Coronado St | W Tower Av | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.08 | \$33,742 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 26.2* | Pan Am Wy | W Tower Av | W Midway Av | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.18 | \$78,569 | Not Applicable | City of Alameda |
| 109 ^{CS} | Park St | Blanding Av | Shoreline Dr | Low Stress Bikeway - Type TBD | 1.33 | \$971,331 | High | City of Alameda |
| 84 | Park St Bridge | 23 rd Av | Blanding Av | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.13 | \$592,578 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 47 | Poggi St | Coral Sea St | Buena Vista Av | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.18 | \$44,127 | Medium | City of Alameda |

| Project ID | Name | From Extent | To Extent | Proposed Bikeway Type | Length (mi) | Estimated Cost | Prioritization Rank | Lead Agency |
|------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 22 | Posey Tube to Neptune Park Connection | Willie Stargell Av/Webster St | Posey Tube Entrance | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.3 | \$1,360,431 | Medium | Alameda CTC |
| 151 | Ratto Rd | Aughinbaugh Wy/Bay Edge Rd | 195 ft north of Souza Ct/Ratto Rd | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.44 | \$245,990 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 143 | Robert Davey Jr Dr | Bridgeway Rd/Island Dr | Packet Landing Rd | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.11 | \$35,468 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 60 | Saint Charles St | Pacific Av/St Charles St | Buena Vista Av/St Charles St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.07 | \$38,057 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 87 | San Antonio Av | Nineth St | Morton St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.46 | \$257,088 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 115.1 | San Jose Av | Morton St | Broadway | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 1.27 | \$711,992 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 115.2 | San Jose Av | Fernside Bl | Broadway | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.69 | \$387,478 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 107 | Sand Beach Pl | Sand Beach Rd | Harbor Light Rd | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.05 | \$26,132 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 102 | Santa Clara Av | Versailles Av | Park St | Bike Route (Class III) | 0.39 | \$7,791 | High | City of Alameda |
| 100 | Santa Clara Av | Oak St | Park St | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.09 | \$22,578 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 67 | Santa Clara Av | Pacific Av | Third St | Bike Route (Class III) | 0.25 | \$5,049 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 18.2 | Saratoga St | Third St/W Red Line Av | W Midway Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.2 | \$148,131 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 18.1* | Saratoga St | W Midway Av | W Tower Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.18 | \$132,801 | Not Applicable | City of Alameda |
| 68 | Seaplane East | W Pacific Av | W Hornet Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.33 | \$237,127 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 36 | Seaplane North | Monarch St | Pan Am Wy | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.56 | \$242,543 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 40 | Seaplane North Trail | (Future) DePave Park | Alameda Waterfront Park | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.55 | \$2,548,069 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 103 | Shell Gate Pl | Fair Haven Rd | Shell Gate Rd/Shorepoint Ct | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.05 | \$26,993 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 54 | Sherman St | Eagle Av | Buena Vista Av | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.07 | \$17,097 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 48 | Sherman St | Eagle Av | Atlantic Av/Wind River Wy | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.14 | \$47,177 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 32 | Shoreline Park Connection | | | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.17 | \$763,248 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 51 | St Charles St | Buena Vista Av/Saint Charles St | St Charles St - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.12 | \$64,500 | Medium | City of Alameda |

| Project ID | Name | From Extent | To Extent | Proposed Bikeway Type | Length (mi) | Estimated Cost | Prioritization Rank | Lead Agency |
|------------|--|-----------------------------|---|--|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 74 | St Charles St | San Antonio Av | Pacific Av/Saint Charles St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.46 | \$257,944 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 46 | St Charles St - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | | | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.08 | \$344,973 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 61 | Third St | Ralph Appezzato Memorial Pw | Central Av/Taylor Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.49 | \$273,081 | High | City of Alameda |
| 5 | Third St | Saratoga St/W Red Line Av | Navy Way St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.07 | \$51,314 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 86 | Tideway Dr | San Francisco Bay | San Francisco Bay | Bike Route (Class III) | 0.26 | \$5,203 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 96 | Tilden Wy | Miller-Sweeney Bridge | Lincoln Av/Park St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.57 | \$412,695 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 141 | Towata Park Connection | | | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.1 | \$435,630 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 39 | Triumph Dr | Kingsbury Ct/Pacific Marina | Atlantic Av/Triumph Dr - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.13 | \$32,299 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 43 | Triumph Dr - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | | | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.07 | \$321,489 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 114 | Versailles Av | Fernside Bl | Calhoun St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.95 | \$529,222 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 15 | W Essex Dr | Pan Am Wy | Saratoga St | Buffered Bike Lane (Class IIB) | 0.23 | \$74,699 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 75 | W Hornet Av | Hancock St | Seaplane Lagoon East Trail | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.54 | \$391,789 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 19.3 | W Midway Av | Main St/Willie Stargell Av | Pan Am Wy | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.38 | \$161,598 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 19.1 | W Midway Av | Monarch St | Saratoga St | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.34 | \$146,101 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 19.2* | W Midway Av | Pan Am Wy | Saratoga St | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.23 | \$97,031 | Not Applicable | City of Alameda |
| 56 | W Pacific Av | Ferry Point | Central Av/Main St/Pacific Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.4 | \$289,211 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 7 | W Red Line Av | Barbers Point Rd/Pan Am Wy | Alameda National Wildlife Refuge (future) | Two-way Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.68 | \$291,934 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 71.2 | W Ticonderoga Av | Hancock St | Seaplane East | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.4 | \$99,361 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 30 | W Tower Av | Main St | Pan Am Wy | Bike Lane (Class II) | 0.38 | \$95,339 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 29.1 | W Tower Av | Monarch St | Saratoga St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.34 | \$247,617 | Medium | City of Alameda |

| Project ID | Name | From Extent | To Extent | Proposed Bikeway Type | Length (mi) | Estimated Cost | Prioritization Rank | Lead Agency |
|------------------|--|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 29.2* | W Tower Av | Saratoga St | Pan Am Wy | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.23 | \$164,171 | Not Applicable | City of Alameda |
| 101 | Walnut St | Encinal Av | Central Av | Bike Route (Class III) | 0.14 | \$2,734 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 111 | Walnut St | Clinton Av | San Jose Av | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.07 | \$38,047 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 135 | Waterton St | Mound St | Court St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.06 | \$30,818 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 65 ^{CS} | Webster St | Central Av | Atlantic Av | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.57 | \$414,115 | High | City of Alameda |
| 97 | Westline Dr | Otis Dr | Eighth St | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.05 | \$36,951 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 121 | Whitehall Pl | Whitehall Rd | Willow St | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.06 | \$34,559 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 23 | Willie Stargell Av | Main St | Fifth St | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.54 | \$2,485,512 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 124 | Willow St | Shoreline Dr | Otis Dr | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.32 | \$231,580 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| 112 | Willow St | Clinton Av | Otis Dr | Separated Bike Lane (Class IV) | 0.17 | \$120,416 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 53 | Wood St | Pacific Av | Wood St - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | Bike Route (Class III) | 0.18 | \$3,655 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 45 | Wood St - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | | | Shared Use Path (Class I) | 0.08 | \$351,083 | Low | City of Alameda |
| 117 | Yorkshire Pl | Whitehall Pl/Yorkshire Rd | Camden Rd/Whitehall Pl | Neighborhood Greenway (Class IIIB) | 0.05 | \$26,956 | Medium | City of Alameda |
| TOTAL | | | | | 63.06 | \$65,267,900 | | |

Table Notes:

* These projects are already planned for construction.

** Proposed Bikeway Type was changed after Prioritization was completed.

+ These projects will be built by private developers.

^{CS} These projects align with the highest ranking street segments identified in the pedestrian network prioritization process, and therefore have Complete Streets potential.

Cost estimates: The costs listed are high-level estimates, and actual construction costs will vary based on local conditions. The Cost Estimates section and Table 6: Bikeway Cost Estimates, provide a detailed explanation of how estimates were derived, and serve as the basis for these total estimated costs.

TRAIL NETWORK PRIORITIZATION

All of the proposed off-street trail projects included in *Chapter 6: Trails Network and Water Crossings* in the Alameda ATP were ranked, using the bicycle network criteria shown in Table 3. The ranking results are mapped in Figure 2, with the other proposed bikeway projects. The trail projects are listed in Table 5, with their Prioritization Rank, estimated cost and lead agency. Note that these projects are also included in Table 4.

These projects are intended to be constructed either as shared-use paths (Class I) or separate but parallel walking and bicycling paths if there is adequate space and need.

Table 5. Trail Project List – Prioritization

| Project ID | Name | Length (mi) | Prioritization Rank (using bicycle criteria) | Estimated Cost | Lead Agency |
|------------|--|-------------|--|----------------|---------------------------|
| 22 | Posey Tube to Neptune Park Connection | 0.30 | Medium | Not City | Alameda CTC |
| 23 | Willie Stargell Av (Main to Fifth) | 0.54 | Medium | \$2,485,512 | City of Alameda |
| 84 | Park St Bridge | 0.13 | Medium | \$592,578 | Alameda County |
| 136 | Lincoln Middle School Connection | 0.07 | Medium | \$327,119 | City of Alameda |
| 2 | Estuary Park Pathway | 0.05 | Medium | \$250,320 | City of Alameda |
| 31 | Neptune Park | 0.08 | Medium | \$386,843 | City of Alameda |
| 32 | Shoreline Park Connection | 0.17 | Medium | \$763,248 | City of Alameda |
| 43 | Triumph Dr - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | 0.07 | Medium | \$321,489 | City of Alameda |
| 12 | Alameda Point Trail | 4.71 | Medium | \$21,690,042 | East Bay Parks/Others TBD |
| 40 | Seaplane North Trail | 0.55 | Medium | \$2,548,069 | TBD |
| 85 | Eighth Street Connector at Washington Park | 0.13 | Medium | \$607,561 | City of Alameda |
| 92 | Miller-Sweeney Bridge | 0.17 | Medium | \$770,358 | Alameda County |
| 127 | Park St to Otis Dr Connector | 0.04 | Medium | \$173,778 | City of Alameda |
| 141 | Towata Park Connection | 0.10 | Medium | \$435,630 | City of Alameda |
| 45 | Wood St - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | 0.08 | Low | \$351,083 | City of Alameda |

| Project ID | Name | Length (mi) | Prioritization Rank (using bicycle criteria) | Estimated Cost | Lead Agency |
|--------------|--|-------------|--|---------------------|-----------------|
| 46 | St Charles St - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | 0.08 | Low | \$344,973 | City of Alameda |
| 42 | Challenger Dr - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | 0.09 | Low | \$398,136 | City of Alameda |
| 44 | Eight Street - Jean Sweeney Park Connection | 0.04 | Low | \$195,030 | City of Alameda |
| 137 | Bayview Dr Connection | 0.03 | Low | \$146,714 | City of Alameda |
| 140 | Bird Sanctuary | 0.42 | Low | \$1,922,657 | City of Alameda |
| 163 | C St Connection | 0.10 | Low | \$450,019 | City of Alameda |
| 159 | N Loop Rd Connection | 0.06 | Low | \$252,223 | City of Alameda |
| TOTAL | | 8.01 | | \$35,413,382 | |

OVERLAPPING PRIORITIES

Five corridors or street segments were ranked high in both the pedestrian and bicycle prioritization analyzes. These locations would benefit from improvements to both the walking and bicycling networks and are therefore good opportunities for Complete Streets projects. Complete Streets are streets designed to enable safe travel for people traveling by any mode, including people walking, bicycling, riding transit or driving. The locations are:

1. Webster Street from Central Avenue to Atlantic Avenue
2. Oak Street from Buena Vista Avenue to Lincoln Avenue
3. Oak Street from Santa Clara Avenue to Encinal Avenue
4. Park Street from Blanding Avenue to Shoreline Drive
5. Lincoln Avenue from Park St to Oak Street.

COST ESTIMATES

Tables 6 and 7 list the estimated per mile costs to install the types of bicycle facilities and pedestrian treatments included in the ATP. These are high-level estimates, and actual costs will vary based on local conditions. Costs are order-of-magnitude, planning-level estimates based on bid tabulations for recent, similar project types. They include soft costs (engineering, surveying, permitting, project management, and inspection) as well as work required for implementation. Planning-level cost estimates do not take into consideration localized specifics of each project such as right-of-way acquisition, significant utility

relocation, etc. They are useful for aggregate-level budget planning, but individual project costs estimates will change as projects advance through further study and design.

These cost estimates will be updated over time to better reflect current cost realities.

Table 6. Bikeway Cost Estimates

| Facility Types | Rounded Per-Mile Cost |
|---|-----------------------|
| Shared-Use Path or separate walking and biking facilities (Class I) | \$4,602,961 |
| Bike Lanes (Class II) (reconfiguration of total roadway lanes/width) | \$250,000 |
| Buffered Bike Lanes (Class II) (reconfiguration of total roadway lanes/width) | \$330,000 |
| Bike Routes (Class III) (shared lanes) | \$20,000 |
| Neighborhood Greenways (Class III) (shared lanes) | \$560,000 |
| Separated Bike Lanes (Class IV; One-Way) (paint/post buffers) | \$730,000 |
| Separated Bike Lanes (Class IV; Two-Way) (paint/post buffers) | \$430,000 |
| Separated Bike Lanes (Class IV; One-Way) (curb/landscaping buffers) | \$5,650,000 |
| Separated Bike Lanes (Class IV; Two-Way) (curb/landscaping buffers) | \$3,260,000 |

Table 7. Pedestrian Treatment Cost Estimates

| Treatment | Cost Estimate | Unit |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Crossing Treatments | | |
| Curb extension | \$20,200 (concrete) | Per curb extension |
| | >\$1,000 (quick build) ⁷ | Per corner |
| Median refuge island | \$10,000 | Each |

⁷ Assumes 10 bollards, 5 armadillos, 10 gallons of paint, and miscellaneous materials for installation

| Treatment | Cost Estimate | Unit |
|---|--|----------------------|
| In-street pedestrian crossing sign (paddle sign) | \$30 | Per sign |
| Mid-block crossing | Varies depending on context – could include crosswalk striping, signs, and/or median refuge island | |
| Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon | \$110,250 | Per unit |
| Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon | \$28,000 | Per unit |
| High-visibility crosswalk marking (i.e., ladder- or continental-style markings) | \$8 | Per sq ft |
| Raised crossing | \$4,500 | Each |
| Crosswalk visibility enhancements (advance yield lines, pedestrian yield sign) | Varies depending on context | |
| Truck aprons on bulb outs | Site specific | Site specific |
| Parking prohibition near intersection (paint) | \$2,000 | Per intersection |
| Pedestrian signal and leading pedestrian interval (LPI) | \$2,750 | Each (LPI) |
| Pedestrian scramble | Site specific | Not applicable |
| Corridor Treatments | | |
| Street lighting | \$20,000, varies | Per spot improvement |
| Sidewalks | \$25 | Per sq ft |
| Vertical traffic calming (e.g., speed humps and cushions) | \$10,000 | Per speed hump |
| Horizontal traffic calming | \$3550 (chicane) | Each |
| | \$20,000 (neckdown) | Each |
| Neighborhood traffic circle | \$25,300 | Each |
| | \$2,500 (quick build) ⁸ | Each |
| Lower Speed Limits (20 mph or 15 mph) | \$25 | Per sign |

⁸ Assumes 25' traffic circle diameter, two gallons of paint, one tree, four traffic signs, and 10 dura curbs for an 80' circumference.

| Treatment | Cost Estimate | Unit |
|--|--|-----------------------|
| Road Diet (4 lanes to 3 or 2) | \$30,000 | Varies |
| Partial traffic diverters (limiting through and left turns) | \$3,900 | Each |
| Streetscape Improvements | | |
| Trees/Planter strip | \$1,000 per tree | Per unit |
| Green Infrastructure (e.g., bio-retention areas) | \$55 | Per square foot |
| Bus stop amenities (e.g., benches and shelters) | \$700 (bench) | Each |
| | \$26,000 (shelter) | Each |
| | \$700 (trash receptable) | Each |
| Bus bulb-outs (concrete) | \$66,000 | Per bulb-out |
| Street furniture (e.g., benches, art, water fountains, and recycling bins) | \$700 (bench) \$800 (trash bin) Other furniture varies/site specific | Per unit |
| Pedestrian-scale lighting | \$3,000 | Per unit |
| Above-ground planters and potted plants | \$100 | Per sq yard (2' wide) |
| Pedestrian-oriented wayfinding | \$500 | Per sign and post |
| Pedestrian plazas and closed streets | Varies | Not applicable |