Sign In

■ Share RSS Alerts

Search Calendar Boards/Commissions Live Streaming

Details

2019-7197 File #: Council Referral Type:

> City Council Body:

9/3/2019 On agenda:

Consider Providing Direction to Staff on Transportation Priorities in Advance of the Active Transportation Plan Work. (Vice Mayor Knox White and Title:

Councilmember Oddie)

1. Correspondence - Updated 9-3 Attachments:

Text

Consider Providing Direction to Staff on Transportation Priorities in Advance of the Active Transportation Plan Work. (Vice Mayor Knox White and Councilmember Oddie)

Body

COUNCIL REFERRAL FORM

The Council can take any of the following actions:

- 1) Take no action.
- 2) Refer the matter to staff to schedule as a future City Council agenda item.
- 3) Take dispositive action if sufficiently noticed such that the public and Council have been provided sufficient information by the published agenda, and no formal published notice of a public hearing is required.

Name of Councilmember requesting referral: Jim Oddie and John Knox White

Date of submission to City Clerk (must be submitted before 5:00 p.m. on the Monday two weeks before the Council meeting requested): 8/19/19

Council Meeting date: 9/3/19

Brief description of the subject to be printed on the agenda, sufficient to inform the City Council and public of the nature of the referral:

Consider providing council direction on transportation priorities in advance of the Active Transportation Plan work.

Priority Ranking:

↑ Urgent	2	3
	1	4
	Important →	

- 1 = Not urgent, not important
 - 2 = Urgent, not important
- X 3 = Urgent and important
- 4 = Not urgent, important

Referral Goal:

0

- Ensure that staff has clear, full council direction on priorities on short and medium term projects
- Reemphasize that safety is Alameda's primary focus
- Identify how to streamline processes to respond to community concerns about speed and safety
- Clarify city's transportation legislative focus

Referral focus (items for consideration by staff):

Short Term (12/31/19)

Safety Toolkit

- List of tools for consideration
- Not a laundry list
 - Chicane example
 - If we are not going to use speed humps, don't include
 - Public should have an understanding that the toolkit is actionable
 - Use local/collector/arterial and Modal maps to define where tools should be expected (speed humps on collector/arterials or transit streets for example)
 - Tactical Urbanism section (quick, easy and effective short term solutions)

Streamlined safety action process (update Traffic Calming) 0

- Identify how to address community concerns quickly without 2 year planning process that starts low and moves slowly in phases.
- Goal is effective action
- If implementation doesn't work, lessons learned report to make future action more effective
- Community inclusion

Updated policies in terms of safety and climate

- Lane widths and bike lanes
 - Highest protection of bike lane
 - 11' on truck/bus
 - 10' on most streets

- 9' when no street parking and gutter pan not included in official width
- Avoid TWLTL when not really needed
- Change fire access to remove 26' requirement
- Intersection Access Equity (beg buttons)
 - All street users, regardless of mode, will be provided access to the intersection at controlled intersections. It access given because car triggered light, pedestrian light activated automatically.
 - Beg button used only as means to signal access needed, not at all times
 - Cover beg buttons at intersections where the button is not needed. (avoid confusion)
 - No pedestrian blocking cattle guards

Parking Policy (pricing/enforcement)

- The discussion on private enforcement may be the place to give guidance, but we could include here for more comprehensive approach
- Adopt Alameda Point Parking Policies Citywide

Vision Zero policy

- Incl. Adopt NACTO Guidelines as official design
- Declares that safety is the priority
 - Ensures that "safest" design is also presented as a potential option

Transportation Legislative Agenda

- ASE
- 85th percentile speeds
- Alameda CTC Estuary bridge agreement
- E-Bike rebates

Street Safety Program

- Workplan outline by EOY
- Implement Early Spring prior to Bike to Work Day

eBikes and Scooter

- Locking and storage requirements
- Standards for bike corrals (funded by shared mobility permittees) for parking in visibility zones
- Focus on eBikes, but allow scooters
- Don't limit purveyors, but phase in amount of product?
 - Permittee meets criteria in permit application
 - Phase 1 up to 100 apparatus
 - Phase 2 (three month review of performance) market driven

Medium Term (10/31/20)

- Approved ATP 0
 - Strong bike supporting network
- Cross Estuary Bike Bridge feasibility approved
- Implementation begun on short term items 0
- Funding requests for ATP projects filed (can be before final adoption)