

# Technical Memorandum

November 9, 2021

Project# 248460.001

- Gail Payne, Senior Transportation Coordinator To: City of Alameda
- Mike Alston, RSP; Laurence Lewis, AICP From:
- CC: Robert Vance, City Engineer
- RE: Mecartney Road/Island Drive Improvement Project

# SUMMARY

This memorandum summarizes findings of an intersection evaluation at the Mecartney Road/Island Drive intersection ("Mecartney/Island") in the City of Alameda, California ("City"). The existing intersection is an all-way stop. Kittelson & Associates, Inc. ("Kittelson") has evaluated roundabout, signal, and a reduced footprint (i.e., fewer lanes) all-way stop alternatives.

Based on the quantitative and qualitative evaluation criteria presented in this memorandum, Kittelson recommends that the City of Alameda advance the roundabout and reduced footprint all-way stop alternatives for community engagement and for further design and evaluation. Kittelson recommends the City remove a signal alternative from further consideration. A signal alternative creates more travel delay for motor vehicles and does not provide a safety advantage compared to alternatives. Alternatively, the roundabout and reduced footprint all-way stop alternatives provide adequate vehicle operations and mobility and would improve safety and quality of service for all road users. The roundabout and reduced footprint all-way stop also provide the City with flexibility to use additional space within existing right-of-way such as for additional flood control such as bioswales that also could include landscaping.

Roundabouts include geometric features that physically induce slower travel speeds compared to stop- or signal-controlled intersections. Speed is the primary factor in many fatal and severe injury collisions.

# NTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

The City of Alameda is assessing options for a potential improvement project at Mecartney/Island. Kittelson is supporting the City in developing and evaluating intersection strategies by evaluating roundabout, signal, and reduced footprint all-way stop intersection concepts in comparison to the existing all-way stop.

The intent of this analysis is to revisit a previous intersection improvement alternatives memo and to apply qualitative and quantitative performance measures in alignment with intended project outcomes to compare intersection alternatives. The comparison and evaluation will enable the City to engage the public and to select a preferred alternative.

For any project at Mecartney/Island, the City seeks to:

- Promote safety by prioritizing Vision Zero, which the City Council approved as a policy in 2019 to reduce traffic deaths and life-changing injuries to zero.
- Be consistent with the Draft 2040 General Plan goal to Eliminate fatalities and severe injuries on Alameda's streets, avenues, sidewalks, crosswalks, paths, and trails.
  - Be accordant with the Draft 2040 General Plan as follows:
    - Intersection Safety. To improve safety at a stop-controlled or signalized intersections, consider a roundabout design.
    - **Roundabouts and Traffic Circles**. When considering modification to an intersection, prioritize roundabouts and traffic circles for consideration.
- Provide adequate mobility for all modes, including AC Transit lines that pass through the intersection.
- Be compatible with existing plans, which include the draft 2040 General Plan (including the land use element) and recommended bikeways in the City's draft Active Transportation Plan.

# EXISTING INTERSECTION AND SETTING

The Mecartney/Island intersection is on Bay Farm Island within the City of Alameda. The intersection is a four-way, stop-controlled intersection (see Figure 1). Island Drive is an arterial roadway north of the intersection and a collector south of it; Mecartney Road is an arterial west of the intersection and a collector east of it. Arterials carry the heaviest volumes of traffic and connect to key destinations whereas collectors carry less traffic volume and typically funnel local traffic onto arterials.

Island Drive runs north-south, connecting to Doolittle Drive to the north and providing access to the main island; to the south, it connects to a residential area of multi-family and single-family homes. To the north, Island Drive is a four-lane, median-separated roadway with an off-street Class I shared-use path along its west side. Southbound approaching the intersection, Island Drive reduces a prima facie 35 mph speed limit to a posted 25 mph speed limit approximately 400 feet north of the intersection. Northbound, Island Drive has a posted speed limit of 35 mph beginning approximately 200 feet north of the intersection.

Mecartney Road is a four-lane divided roadway between Fontana Drive and Island Drive with Class II bicycle lanes, a parking lane on the south side of the street in the eastbound direction, and a separated Class I multi-use path on its north side. Mecartney Road reduces from four to two lanes with left-turn pockets between Adelphian Way and Fontana Drive, which is further west, and has Class II bicycle lanes. There are parking lanes between Aughinbaugh Way and Fontana Drive on the north and south sides, and a separated Class I multi-use path on its north side. Mecartney Road provides access to the west end of Bay Farm Island. To the east, Mecartney Road reduces to a single lane in each direction and merges with Maitland Drive, which heads into Harbor Bay Parkway on the west end of the Oakland International Airport.

#### Figure 1: Mecartney/Island Intersection



#### Source: Google

The Harbor Bay Landing shopping center is on the northwest corner of the intersection. Full access to the shopping center is provided along Mecartney Drive, right-in / right-out access is provided on Island Drive within the intersection influence area, and full access is provided further north along Island Drive. A drive-thru coffee shop occupies the northeast corner. Its site circulation allows entering vehicles along Island Drive, right-turn exiting vehicles along Island Drive, and exiting vehicles onto Mecartney Road. Mecartney/Island serves four AC Transit bus lines – one all-day local route (Line 21), two school routes (Lines 631 and 687), and one Transbay route (Line OX).

Weekday AM and PM peak hour multimodal traffic counts from January 1, 2015, were obtained for this evaluation (refer to Appendix A). These counts were taken before the COVID-19 pandemic and therefore represent a pre-pandemic (and future "return-to-normal") traffic scenario. The vehicle turning movement counts for the AM and PM weekday peak periods are shown in Table 1. The eastbound left (EBL) turns toward Island Drive and the main island, as well as the southbound right (SBR) turn toward the Harbor Bay Ferry Terminal area, carry the highest volumes of any movement in both peak hours.

As shown in Table 1, the most frequent bicycle movements in the AM peak hour are southbound right turns and westbound through movements. In the PM peak hour, the most frequent movements are southbound through and eastbound left and through movements.

 Table 1: Peak Period Vehicle Turning Movement Counts, Mecartney/Island, Pre-COVID

 Conditions

			Island Dr							Mecartney Rd					
Travel Mode	Peak	No	rthbou	und	Sou	uthbou	und	Ea	stbou	nd	We	estbou	nd	Total	
	Hour	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR		
Motor	AM	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78	1,241	
Vehicle	PM	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41	1,401	
Bicycle	AM	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	3	0	9	
	PM	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	4	1	0	1	0	11	

Source: City of Alameda

Table 2 presets peak hour pedestrian crossing volumes at the intersection. The AM peak hour includes 63 crossing pedestrians, and the PM peak hour includes 44. In both peak hours, the south and west legs

Table 2: Peak Period Pedestrian Counts, Mecartney/Island, Pre-COVID Conditions

	lr	ntersection L	eg Crosseo	Ł	
Peak Hour	Islar	nd Dr	Mecar	tney Rd	Total
	North Leg	South Leg	East Leg	West Leg	
AM	1	19	1	42	63
PM	5	11	2	26	44

Source: City of Alameda

## Crash History

The City provided crash data for January 2010 through July 2021 (11.5 years), presented in Table 3. The total reported crashes do not show a prevailing crash pattern, with two injury crashes and zero fatalities spanning the 11.5-year period.

#### Table 3: Reported Crash History, Mecartney/Island, 2010-July 2021

Modes Involved		Severity
	Injury	Property Damage Only
Vehicle-vehicle or vehicle alone	0	5
Pedestrian	1	0
Bicyclist	1	0
Total Reported	2	5

Source: City of Alameda

## Operations

Figure 2 illustrates the existing lane configuration. The intersection includes four vehicle lanes on the southbound approach (including two right-turn lanes) and a single lane on the northbound approach. The eastbound approach includes three lanes (including a dedicated left-turn lane), and the westbound approach includes two lanes, both of which share a through and turn movement.

#### Figure 2: Existing Lane Configuration

Islan	d Dr	Mecartney Rd				
Northbound (NB)	Southbound (SB)	Eastbound (EB)	Westbound (WB)			
÷	חורר	זור	41-			

Kittelson analyzed the existing traffic volumes using *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM) 6th edition methods to evaluate motor vehicle delay and queue lengths. The results are provided in Table 3 (refer to Appendix B for analysis worksheets). The intersection operates at a level-of-service (LOS) C in the weekday PM peak hour, indicating that more than adequate vehicle mobility is provided during the peak hours and throughout the day.

Peak Hour	Level of Service	Average Delay (s/veh)	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio (V/C)1	95th Percentile Queue (ft)
AM	D	35.0	1.04	370 (EBL)
PM	С	23.2	0.92	260 (EBL)

#### Table 4: Existing Conditions Traffic Operations

1: V/C is reported for the worst movement, eastbound left (EBL)

Source: Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

#### Signal Warrant Analysis

Kittelson evaluated the existing intersection against traffic signal warrants from the 2021 California Revision 6 to the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA-MUTCD). Traffic signal warrants are standards that provide guidelines in the determination of the need for a traffic signal. There is no warrant for roundabout placement.

The CA-MUTCD states: "An engineering study of traffic conditions, pedestrian characteristics, and physical characteristics of the location shall be performed to determine whether installation of a traffic control signal is justified at a particular location...The investigation for a traffic control signal shall include an analysis of the applicable factors contained in the following traffic signal warrants:

- Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 3, Peak Hour
- Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume
- Warrant 5, School Crossing
- Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System
- Warrant 7, Crash Experience
- Warrant 8, Roadway Network
- Warrant 9, Intersection near a Grade Crossing

...The satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal."<sup>1</sup>

This evaluation used available data (peak hour turning movement volumes and crash history) to conduct an analysis with respect to Warrant 3 and 7. Additionally, typical assumptions about the distribution of traffic throughout the day, based on peak hour counts, allowed for Warrants 1 and 2 to be studied. Warrants 4, 5, 6, 8, and 9 were not applicable to this location and were not studied.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CA-MUTCD, Section 4C.01

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Warrants 4 and 5 are applicable for crossings without existing traffic control (i.e., STOP sign or traffic signal) to assist pedestrian crossings. Warrant 6 pertains to intersections that are part of a coordinated signal system, which Mecartney/Island is not. Warrants 8 and 9 are applicable to contexts not matching this site's context.

Warrants 1 and 2, the eight-hour and four-hour volume warrants, are computed based on approach geometry (number of lanes) and vehicle volumes. Warrant 3, the peak hour signal warrant, is a function of approach geometry, volume, and vehicle delay. The CA-MUTCD advises that Warrant 3 "shall be applied only in unusual cases, such as office complexes, manufacturing plants, industrial complexes, or high-occupancy vehicle facilities that attract or discharge large numbers of vehicles over a short time."<sup>3</sup>

Based on the available data, the intersection does not meet Warrants 1, 2, or 3 in the AM peak hour but does meet Warrants 2 and 3 in the PM peak hour (refer to Appendix C).

For an intersection to meet Warrant 7 (crash experience), the intersection needs to have observed at least five crashes "of types susceptible to correction by a traffic control signal" within a 12-month period.<sup>4</sup> Based on the reported crash history already presented, Mecartney/Island does not meet this warrant.

In conclusion, Mecartney/Island does meet two of the selected signal warrants – Warrant 2 (Four Hour Volume) and Warrant 3 (Peak Hour).

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Environment

#### **Pedestrians**

The existing intersection includes the lane configuration presented in Figure 2 and skewed approaches. As a result, the pedestrian crossings at the intersection range in length from 80 to 125 feet. At an average walking speed of 3.5 feet per second, the north leg crossing of Island Dr., which is 125 feet, would take a person 36 seconds to cross.<sup>5</sup> The west and south legs, which serve the highest numbers of crossing pedestrians, are 117 feet and 83 feet long, respectively.

All crossings are single-stage crossings, meaning that a person must cross approach and departure legs continuously. For example, to cross the north leg, a person crosses seven lanes of traffic (four lanes approaching and three lanes departing) without a designated median refuge. Medians are provided on the west, north, and east legs; however, those medians intrude into the crossing area and do not provide fully accessible refuge areas that would be detectable by people with vision impairments.

A crossing guard is present at the intersection during school commute hours to assist schoolchildren traveling to and from Amelia Earhart School 0.6 miles north.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CA-MUTCD, Section 4C.04, 02

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> CA-MUTCD, Section 4C.08, 02B

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 3.5 feet per second is the walking speed used to time pedestrian signal phases per CA-MUTCD, Section 4E.06.

## **Bicyclists**

Mecartney Road to the west of the intersection includes Class II bicycle lanes in both directions and a Class I multi-use path providing two-way travel on its north side. Because bicyclists can travel in either direction on the Class I path, conflicts are present for bicyclists exiting the facility westbound, where drivers may not expect them.

Along Island Drive, the City's draft Active Transportation Plan proposes Class II buffered bicycle lanes south of the intersection and Class II bicycle lanes north of the intersection. The plan also proposes Class II bicycle lanes along Mecartney Road east of the intersection.

## Planning Environment

### **Planned Safety Improvements**

The City has a planned safety improvement project along Maitland Drive east of the intersection between Mecartney Road and Harbor Island Parkway. The project includes installation of edge lines, bicycle sharrow pavement markings, and more. The project details are available at the City's website.<sup>6</sup>

## **Emergency Evacuation**

Bay Farm Island is a tsunami evacuation zone for a tsunami threat from an Aleutian-Alaska earthquake. Emergency modeling indicates that the island would have a 4-hour evacuation time in such an event. Research tested Bay Farm Island's evacuation ability and noted the following findings in relation to Mecartney/Island<sup>7</sup>:

- Mecartney/Island is not an evacuation bottleneck.
- Several strategies can improve evacuation times. The strategy with the greatest potential is to reduce car demand on the island.

## Intersection Concepts

Kittelson worked with the City to develop concept alternatives for analysis. As previously described, a key goal of any project at the intersection is to promote safety for all users. The size and number of lanes, (and consequently, the relative size of the overall intersection footprint) generally presents a balance between safety (benefits from a smaller size) and mobility (benefits from a larger size). A smaller footprint reduces exposure for vulnerable road users: it provides people walking with shorter crossing distances and allows people biking to clear the intersection

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>https://www.alamedaca.gov/Departments/Public-Works-Department/City-Projects/Maitland-Drive-Traffic-Safety-Improvements</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Wood, Nathan, et al. "Variations in community evacuation potential related to average return periods in probabilistic tsunami hazard analysis." International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction 50 (2020): 101871.

more quickly. A smaller footprint will generally provide tighter movements through the intersection and will visually narrow the roadway, resulting in lower vehicle driving speeds.

Therefore, Kittelson iteratively revised the proposed lane configurations to match the intersection size to its mobility needs and to avoid "overbuilding." The concepts also incorporate planned bicycle facilities and do not change residential or commercial driveway access along approaching roadways.

The concepts developed include:

- Roundabout
- Signal
- Reduced footprint all-way stop

### **Concept Lane Configuration and Bicycle Facilities**

The existing and proposed lane configurations are shown in Table 5.

#### Table 5: Concept Lane Configurations



## **Intersection Footprint Sketch**

With basic lane geometry and bicycle facilities established, Kittelson sketched preliminary concepts for each alternative to assess feasibility. The following sections describe considerations for each concept sketch. The sketches are presented in Figure 3 and Figure 4.





Island Drive & Mecartney Road Roundabout Concept Preliminary Draft - Subject to Change Alameda, CA November 2021

Figure 03





Island Drive & Mecartney Road All-Way Stop/Signal Concept Preliminary Draft - Subject to Change Alameda, CA

November 2021

Figure 04

## Roundabout

The roundabout concept, as shown in Figure 3, is a single-lane design with an inscribed circle diameter of 120 feet. The design reflects an intent to remain within existing curb lines or minimally impact them. Some observations about the impact of the intersection footprint include:

- Because a single-lane roundabout is sufficient to serve expected traffic volumes, the roadways approaching and departing the intersections could all be reduced in width.
- The concept sketch shows a new edge of traveled way with existing curb lines as dashed lines. The difference in the lateral width indicates the excess pavement. It could be used for landscaping, flood reduction with bioswales, or similar elements. The space also provides room for diagonal ramps to and from Class II bike lanes on each road.<sup>8</sup> In a least-cost approach, curbing could be provided with sidewalk and driveway connections until a longterm plan is developed.
- A 10-foot-wide combined bicycle lane and buffer strip could be provided on all approaches. The concept could provide for bicyclists to share the circulatory roadway or to use bicycle ramps to leave the roadway and cross adjacent to pedestrian crossings. The concept could provide both options, as well. Further concept development would define the treatment.
- No changes to existing commercial or residential access driveways would be required.
- The anticipated design vehicle movement and associated performance checks may result in some modifications to the eventual intersection layout, possibly reducing the medians and splitter islands on approaches to support large truck movements through the intersection. Should the City carry the roundabout forward, Kittelson and the City would identify the design vehicle (STAA or California Legal) and required movements to refine the design and verify performance checks.
- Existing bus stops along Mecartney Road (at the northeast and southeast corners) could be retained in approximately their existing locations. If the roundabout is advanced, the City may coordinate with AC Transit to determine if in-lane or pullout stops are preferred.
- The City is considering complementary traffic calming treatments along Maitland Drive to the east to further the speed reductions and safety improvements on Bay Farm Island as shown on the City's website.<sup>9</sup>
- The roundabout could provide the opportunity for a gateway feature (within the central island). One such feature could be a tree, consistent with the tree lined median from the north along Island Drive and with the character of the corridor and Bay Farm Island.

## All-Way Stop / Signal

One sketch concept was developed to convey both an all-way stop and signal footprint and implications, as shown in Figure 4. A few notes about the concept include:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> An example of bicycle ramps to and from Class II bike lanes is provided in CA-MUTCD Figure 9C-107

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Recommendations for Maitland Drive can be found at

https://www.alamedaca.gov/Departments/Public-Works-Department/City-Projects/Maitland-Drive-Traffic-Safety-Improvements

- The same basic form could be used for all-way stop or signal alternatives. For the reduced footprint all-way stop concept, the westbound and northbound left-turn lanes could instead be painted extensions of the landscaped medians, replaced with constructed medians, or modified into bioswales to reduce the impact of flooding.
- The concept shows excess available existing pavement. As with the roundabout, the excess lateral width could be constructed as curbing and could include other features as part of a long-term plan to reduce flooding and improve aesthetics.
- A 10-foot-wide bicycle lane and buffer strip is provided on all approaches. As currently sketched, each bicycle lane results in a potential right-hook conflict with right-turning vehicle movements. Further concept development would include defining a treatment to address this issue (e.g., advanced stop bar / bicycle box, concrete islands in the buffer to protect people bicycling, or similar).
- No changes to existing commercial or residential access driveways would be required.
- The anticipated design vehicle movement and associated performance checks may result in some modifications to the eventual intersection layout, but the approximate size and layout would not change substantially. Should the City carry either alternative forward, Kittelson and the City would identify the design vehicle (STAA or California Legal) and required movements to refine the design and verify performance checks.
- Existing bus stops along Mecartney Road (at the northeast and southeast corners) could be retained in approximately their existing locations. The City may coordinate with AC Transit to determine if in-lane or pullout stops are preferred.

## ASSESSMENT

The assessment presents safety and mobility considerations, including:

- Safety
  - Motor vehicles
  - Pedestrians
  - Bicyclists
- Mobility motor vehicle operations
  - Motor vehicle operations
  - Pedestrian comfort and quality of service
  - Bicyclist comfort and quality of service
  - Trucks/design vehicle considerations
- Transit access and mobility

## Safety

This section presents a general safety comparison among the concepts considered. First, the general comparative safety record of the three intersection types considered is discussed. Then, the characteristics of the site and concepts are qualitatively evaluated.

## **General Characteristics**

Safety research has compared intersection types and produced documented crash reduction factors (CRFs) associated with intersection types. Roundabouts have been shown to reduce crash frequency compared to two-way stop control and signalized intersections for all crash types and especially for injury and fatal crashes. There is limited US-based research on bicyclist and pedestrian crash history at roundabouts, in part because these users make up a very small portion of reported crash history which makes establishing statistical significance difficult.

In terms of overall crashes, NCHRP Report 672 – *Roundabouts: An Informational Guide* explains a few reasons for roundabouts' demonstrated crash reduction and safety benefit:

- Roundabouts include fewer vehicle conflict points than non-roundabout intersections (see Figure 5). The design reduces the likelihood of high-severity crashes like right-angle and left-turn head-on crashes. Of note is the elimination of *crossing conflicts*, which occur where two traffic streams intersect. Crossing conflicts are typically the most severe of all conflict types.
- Roundabouts include low speeds, which increases reaction time and lowers the potential severity of crashes.
- Pedestrian crossings are typically two-stage crossings, meaning that people walking only cross one direction of traffic at a time, which reduces exposure and simplifies the task for people walking.



#### Figure 5: Vehicle Conflict Points for Intersections with Single-Lane Approaches

#### Source: NCHRP Report 672

CRFs are typically established from before-and-after studies. CRFs for roundabouts show a reduction of up to 82% of injury crashes when converting from two-way stop intersections, and up to 78% of injury crashes when converting from signalized intersections.<sup>10</sup> There are no documented CRFs for conversions from all-way stop intersections to roundabouts.

Some safety principles do indicate that a roundabout could provide safety benefits compared to an all-way stop control, notably:

- Roundabouts reduce the severity of potential conflicts with horizontal design that requires drivers to slow. The relative speeds are a determining factor in the severity of a conflict.
- Roundabouts replace head-on and angle conflicts with merge/sideswipe conflicts, for which the angles and relative velocities are less likely to result in severe conflicts.
- Roundabouts generally simplify user tasks at conflict points. Rather than a driver being required to, for example, simultaneously judge a gap in traffic and search for crossing pedestrians, they complete each task separately and sequentially.

Therefore, the roundabout and all-way stop control options would be expected to result in fewer and less severe crashes overall compared to a traffic signal. Additionally, the roundabout would reduce the number of conflict points compared to the all-way stop and signal alternatives and provide geometric design changes that require drivers to slow.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). Highway Safety Manual, 1st Edition. AASHTO, Washington, D.C., 2010.

### Motor Vehicles

The motor vehicle safety considerations are as follows:

- The roundabout, as described above, also reduces the most severe potential conflicts by reducing speeds and altering vehicle paths (removing left-turn conflicts). The roundabout slows speeds with use of traffic control devices (YIELD signs) and geometric design that requires drivers to slow to stay in the roadway.
- For the signal, timing details would need to be determined as part of concept development. Protected left-turn phases should likely be provided on the eastbound phase to accommodate the high volume of left turns. Protected left-turn phases would improve safety on all approaches and reduce the risk of turning movement crashes.
- The reduced footprint all-way stop would promote low vehicle speeds by bringing all drivers to a stop.

**Evaluation:** The roundabout and all-way stop alternatives provide a benefit relative to the signal through reduced speeds, but the roundabout eliminates the most severe conflict types and reinforces slow speeds through geometric design elements.

### **Pedestrians**

All concepts would reduce crossing distances relative to the existing conditions, thereby reducing exposure.

- The roundabout alternative would include two-stage crossings with median refuges. The roundabout would also remove left-turns across the intersection and concurrent conflicts with other motor vehicles. For example, drivers assess when it is their turn to proceed while they yield to pedestrians at all-way stop intersections. The roundabout design offsets these decision and conflict points by pulling the crossings back from the corner to sequence the driver decision points.
- The signal alternative could include median refuges for two-stage crossings on all approaches except for the northbound approach (south leg). For the signal, protected left-turn phases would improve safety for people walking by separating pedestrian crossing phases in time from vehicle left-turn phases. The signal could also include leading pedestrian intervals, which provide pedestrians with a 3- to 7-second head start to pedestrians before drivers are allowed to proceed.
- The all-way stop control alternative could include medians wide enough to accommodate median refuges on all approaches.

The roundabout and all-way stop alternatives would slow vehicle speeds and provide two-stage pedestrian crossings, conferring an advantage relative to the signal alternative. Depending on signal timing, the signal may also include concurrent pedestrian-vehicle conflicts (left and right turns) that are controlled in the other alternatives. Motor vehicle yields are required at all crossings for both roundabout and all-way stop alternatives.

**Evaluation:** The roundabout provides improved pedestrian safety to the alternatives. Both the roundabout and all-way stop control promote slower speeds compared to a signal, but the roundabout would include two-stage crossings, design elements that reinforce slow speeds, and reduced conflict complexity (removing left turns).

### **Bicyclists**

All concepts provide dedicated bicycle lanes on intersection entry and departure, which is consistent with the Draft Active Transportation Plan and could provide physical separation from motor vehicle traffic. Roundabouts would provide reduced vehicle speeds and would benefit people biking by reducing the relative speed difference between vehicles and bicycles. All vehicle-bicycle conflicts at the roundabout or reduced footprint all-way stop control intersection would be less severe than bicycle-related collisions at higher speeds.

**Evaluation:** All concepts allow for bicycle lanes on intersection entry and departure. The roundabout would reduce the speed at vehicle-bicycle conflict points relative to other alternatives.

### Overall

At this level of concept design, the roundabout presents clear safety advantages. Further design details could bring alternatives closer in performance. The roundabout and all-way stop alternatives provide safety benefits described in the sections above, notably reduced motor vehicle speeds relative to the signal alternative. The roundabout provides self-reinforcing design elements to reduce speed: the use of horizontal deflection to travel through the intersection. It also simplifies pedestrian crossings and provides bicycle travel options on par with any alternatives, with elements that reduce vehicle speeds and simplify conflicts.

**Evaluation:** As proposed, the roundabout is the top-ranked alternative for safety criteria. Table 6 provides a ranking among alternatives based on the qualitative safety evaluation presented above.

	Roundabout	Signal	Reduced Footprint All-way Stop
Motor Vehicles	1	3	2
Pedestrians	1	3	2
Bicyclists	1	3	2

#### Table 6: Concept Ranking based on Qualitative Safety Evaluation (1=best)

Note: Green shaded cells indicate highest-ranked alternatives.

## Mobility

### Motor Vehicle Operations

This section compares the intersection alternatives using the Highway Capacity Manual methods to evaluate motor vehicle delay and queue lengths for each alternative. The results are presented in Table 7 (refer to Appendix B for analysis worksheets). As previously noted, the analysis traffic volumes used were collected in 2015 and present a pre-pandemic / "return to normal" condition. No future year turning movement volumes were developed for this analysis, which simply provides a comparative performance.

Alternative	Peak Hour	Level of Service	Average Delay (s/veh)	Volume-to- Capacity Ratio	95th Percentile Queue (ft) <sup>1</sup>
Existing All-way	AM	D	35.0	1.04 <sup>2</sup>	370 (EBL)
Stop	PM	С	23.2	0.922	260 (EBL)
Roundabout	AM	A	9.7	0.62	106 (EBL)
	PM	В	10.8	0.73	125 (SB)
Signal <sup>1</sup>	AM	D	42.7	0.66	664 (EBL)
olgital	PM	D	41.4	0.76	560 (EBL)
Reduced Footprint	AM	Е	42.0	1.08 <sup>2</sup>	402 (EBL)
All-way Stop	PM	Е	36.3	0.972	297 (EBL)

#### Table 7: Operations Analysis, Proposed Concepts, Mecartney/Island

1: The longest queue in all analyzed scenarios was the eastbound left (EBL) movement.

2: The HCM methodology provides volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratios on a per-approach basis for roundabouts rather than for the intersection overall. This value represents the eastbound approach, which has the highest V/C ratio.

3: The southbound approach has the highest V/C ratio in this scenario.

The analysis results indicate:

- The proposed roundabout would reduce average vehicle delay and reduce average queue lengths compared to existing conditions.
- The signal concept performs similarly to the all-way stop alternative, with a slightly higher average delay. The signal's poor relative performance is a result of serving the relatively high proportion of eastbound left turns, which requires holding other movements and increasing the average delay for all movements.
- The proposed all-way stop would increase vehicle delay compared to existing conditions because of the reduced number of lanes on some approaches. Through and turning

movements on the same approach can proceed at the same time under existing conditions but would queue behind one another with the reduced footprint.

**Evaluation:** Overall, the roundabout provides the best vehicle mobility in the weekday peak hours. The roundabout alternative demonstrates resilience to any future traffic volume increases as well, given that it is well below capacity.

### Pedestrian Comfort and Quality of Service

Pedestrian quality of service describes the experience of walking through the intersection, including presence and quality of sidewalks and crossings and any travel delay from traffic control devices or waiting for drivers to yield. All concepts as currently proposed would shorten pedestrian crossings compared to existing conditions. A qualitative evaluation of concepts is described below.

- The roundabout provides separate crossings for each direction of traffic, with a median refuge for waiting between crossings.
- The roundabout crossings would be offset from the intersection corners by at least 20 feet, which would require minor diversion for people walking straight through the intersection.
- The intersection includes a crossing guard during school commute hours. All the proposed concepts would reduce the footprint and corner-to-corner distance of the intersection, allowing the crossing guard to assist crossings more effectively on any intersection leg in either direction, which would represent a benefit compared to existing conditions.
- Compared to the signal, people walking would be able to cross at the intersection more quickly on average with the roundabout or all-way stop concept because all vehicles are required to yield the right-of-way. With the signal concept, pedestrians attempting to cross would need to wait for the dedicated signal phase that allows them to cross, which could be up to two minutes depending on the signal timing.

**Evaluation:** All proposed concepts would reduce crossing distances and improve pedestrian comfort compared to existing conditions. Overall, the roundabout provides the highest quality of service for pedestrians traveling through the intersection.

## **Bicyclist Comfort and Quality of Service**

All concepts would provide physically separated bike lanes on all approaches where feasible. The roundabout could provide a bicycle ramp to a separated path, allowing bicyclist travel through the intersection or in an adjacent path with crossings. All concepts could adequately connect bicyclists to and from the Class I multi-use path on the west side of Island Drive and on the north side of Mecartney Road.

- For confident bicyclists operating like drivers through the roundabout (i.e., taking the lane), the roundabout provides superior mobility and quality of service and moves them through the intersection with less delay than the alternatives. The option to ramp to a separated path reduces the complexity of making left turns or confronting "right-hook" conflicts with motor vehicles.
- The signal and reduced-footprint all-way stop alternatives would include further refined treatments to mitigate any conflicts with turning vehicles. They would otherwise provide similar levels of mobility for bicyclists as for drivers.
- The signal could include two-stage left turn queue boxes, which would allow bicyclists to make left turns in two sequential signal phases but would increase their delay.

**Evaluation:** The roundabout would provide the best mobility for confident bicyclists traveling through the intersection and could accommodate movements on a separated path with crossings without additional signal delay. All alternatives could provide separated bicycle lanes on approach and departure.

## **Trucks / Design Vehicle Considerations**

All the concepts presented could serve the design vehicle. The roundabout design would adapt depending on the truck size and turning movements through the intersection but still could be expected to serve traffic demand and meet performance checks for a roundabout. Existing turning movement counts show truck percentages between 1% and 3% on each intersection approach in the AM peak hour and between 0% and 3% in the PM peak hour.

Evaluation: All concepts would be able to serve design vehicles.

## Transit Access and Mobility

The Mecartney/Island intersection includes AC Transit bus stops along Mecartney Road on the northeast and southeast corners of the intersection.

These bus stops include the following routes:

• 21: This is a route that provides service to Bay Farm Island, the Oakland International Airport, and City of Oakland. It operates with approximately 30-minute headways throughout the day.

- 631: This is a school route that operates two buses on weekday mornings (between 7:00 and 8:00 a.m.) and two buses in the afternoons (between 3:30 and 4:30 p.m.).
- 687: This is a school route that operates one bus on weekday mornings (between 8:00 and 8:30 a.m.) and one bus on weekday afternoons (between 3:00 and 4:00 p.m.).
- OX: This is a Transbay line that runs two buses on weekday mornings (between 7:30 and 9:00 a.m.) and six buses on weekday evenings (between 5:30 and 7:00 p.m.).

Line 21 operates through the intersection throughout the day, and the other lines pass through the intersection during off-peak times.

Access to the transit stops is provided on the east side of the intersection—within 100 feet of the east leg crosswalk. All the proposed concepts could be designed to provide a similar level of access to the intersection.

Transit vehicles would travel through the intersection in general purpose lanes in all concepts. Therefore, as demonstrated in the Mobility discussion, the roundabout provides better mobility for transit vehicles and riders compared to reduced footprint all-way stop and the signal alternative. The roundabout would have the lowest delay, which would improve bus operations during peak hours.

**Evaluation:** All options retain existing transit access. The roundabout provides the best transit mobility.

## Overall Evaluation

The evaluation categories and alternative rankings are presented in Table 8.

#### Table 8: Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Roundabout	Signal	Reduced Footprint All-way Stop
Safety (Motor Vehicles)			
Safety (Pedestrians)			
Safety (Bicyclists)			
Motor Vehicle Operations			
Pedestrian Comfort and Quality of Service			
Bicyclist Comfort and Quality of Service			
Truck/Design Vehicle Considerations			
Transit Access			
Transit Mobility			

Note: Green shaded cells indicate highest-ranked alternatives. Cells are not shaded when no alternative is ranked above the others.

The roundabout provides an advantage compared to evaluated alternatives in all criteria except for two (for which all concepts are comparable). Based on this comparison among evaluation criteria, Kittelson recommends proceeding with the roundabout and all-way stop alternatives for further evaluation and removing the signal alternative from further consideration. Community engagement and input is critical to help advance the alternatives and select a preferred alternatives.

## Next Steps

Kittelson and the City will confirm the approach and schedule for community engagement to solicit input on concept alternatives. The City will consider community input before determining a preferred alternative.

# Appendix A Turning Movement Counts

SEAPERDACTE:         T-90 AM         TO			SECIIC							
EXA APROACH:         MECARINET ROAD         JURISPICTION:         ALAMEDA         FILE:         380/00/-128 (AM)           PTAK HORR	PROJECT:	ALAMEDA (	ON-CALL SERVI	CE - 1	SURVI	EY DATE:	1/13/201	5 DAY:	TUESDAY	
PTAK [HOIR         ARRIVAL / //PPARTURE VOLUMES           2323         78         41         2           0         232         78         41         2           0         233         107         15         53         677         FHF           0         23         177         15         131         131         280           110         0         23         177         15         107         111         131           110         0         23         177         15         107         108         111         111         280           111         0         10         717         15         107         100         100         107.4           111         10         107.0         107.0         107.0         108         108         1         1         7         10         146           113 AM         10         10         10         57         18         1         1         7         10         146           113 AM         10         10         10         10         10         10         146         10         10         146         10         10         <	N-S APPROACH:									
THE       PERION       NORTH       NORTH       NORTH       PHF       0.65         SIG       T <t< td=""><td>E-W APPROACH:</td><td>MECARTNE</td><td>T ROAD</td><td></td><td>JURIS</td><td>DICTION:</td><td>ALAMEDA</td><td>FILE:</td><td>3501001-12R (A</td><td>(M)</td></t<>	E-W APPROACH:	MECARTNE	T ROAD		JURIS	DICTION:	ALAMEDA	FILE:	3501001-12R (A	(M)
Image: Second Se			78 41	2	<b>↑</b> NORTH		ARRIVAL / 3	DEPARTURE VO	LUMES	
Image: bit is and bi							PHF = 0.65	]		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		◄			1		353	637		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0			e e	78			Ť		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	380		1241	]	52	307	*		131	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	152	$\rightarrow$		<pre>f</pre>	1	542			208	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	10				0	PHF =		† I		
0         23         177         15           ISLAND DRIVE         IPIE ISLAND DRIVE         ISLAND RIVE         ISLAND RIVE <th< td=""><td>MECARTNET ROAD</td><td>t)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.71</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	MECARTNET ROAD	t)				0.71				
Image         PERIOD         NORTHEOUTE         SOUTHEOUTE         EASTEOUNE         WESTEOUNE         NORTHEOUTE         IDTAL         IDTAL           From         To         U-TURN         LEFT         ITRU         RIGHT         U-TURN         LEFT         ITRU         RIGHT         U-TURN         LEFT         ITRU         RIGHT         U-TURN         RIGHT         ITRU         RIGHT         U-TURN         RIGHT         ITRU				15			89	I	1	
From         To         U-TURN         LEFT         THRU         RIGHT         U-TURN         LET         THRU         RIGHT         U-TURN         LEFT         THRU         RI		-						1	]	1
TO         SURVEY         DATA         TO         SURVEY         DATA           7.00 AM to         7.15 AM         6         33         4         0         6         18         25         0         57         18         1         1         7         10         186           7.30 AM         10         7.45 AM         23         130         8         0         23         28         93         0         257         135         9         4         32         68         810           7.45 AM         to         7.45 AM         26         165         15         0         31         46         131         0         348         162         10         5         43         85           8.00 AM         to         815 AM         38         216         21         0         377         128         446         1         766         217         18         5         86         115         1933           8.45 AM         to         7.30 AM         0         5         374         0         7         10         186         77         10         186         77         10         111         17         257 </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 1</td> <td>TOTAL</td>		-		-					1 1	TOTAL
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	From To	U-TURN LEFT	T THRU RIGHT				THRU RIGHT	U-TURN LEFT	THRU RIGHT	
7:15 AM       10       7:30 AM       21       7:20 A       8       0       13       21       64       0       157       48       5       4       23       29       465         7:15 AM       10       7:45 AM       10       7:5 AM       10       10       10       10	7.00 AM to 7.15 A		22 4	-			10 1	1	7 10	196
7:30 AM       io       7:45 AM       2.3       130       8       0       2.3       2.8       9.3       0       2.57       1.35       9       .4       3.2       6.8       100         7:45 AM       io       6.80 AM       3.8       2.16       2.1       0       3.9       7.5       2.01       0       4.40       1.85       4.3       8.35       7.5       1.01       1.00       1.5       5       7.5       1.01       1.00       1.01       7.5       1.01       1.00       1.01       7.5       1.01       1.00       1.05       1.05       1.05       1.05       1.05       1.01       1.00       1.0       1.05       1.05       1.05       1.05       1.02       1.02       1.01       1.00       1.0       1.05       1.01       1.00       1.05       1.05       1.05       1.00       1.01       7.0       1.00       1.01       7.0       1.00       1.01       7.0       1.00       1.01       7.0       1.00       1.01       7.0       1.00       1.01       7.0       1.00       1.01       7.0       1.00       1.01       7.0       1.00       1.01       7.0       1.00       1.01       7.0										
7:45 AM       is       0       31       46       131       0       348       162       10       5       43       85       1007         8:00 AM       is 15 AM       3.8       216       21       0       39       7.5       201       0       440       186       11       5.5       59       100       130         8:15 AM       is 8:5 AM       52       280       28       2       67       116       376       0       621       217       18       5       860       11       76       100       10       715       100       100       7       18       1       766       236       21       10       1       7       10       186         8:45 AM       0       6       33       4       0       6       18       25       18       1       0       1       7       10       18       17       10       11       17       17       10       11       17       18       11       10       13       10       13       10       13       10       13       10       13       10       13       10       13       10       11       17										
8:00 AM       to       8:15 AM       38       2:16       2:1       0       39       7.5       2:01       0       4:40       186       11       5       59       1:00       1:391         8:15 AM       to       8:30 AM       4:4       249       23       2       5:4       99       296       0       5:37       200       15       5       7.5       107       1706         8:30 AM       to       8:45 AM       5:00 AM       57       304       3:4       7.7       128       4:36       1       706 C1       217       18       5       8:6       115       233         TO TAL       BY       PERIOD										
8:15 AM       to       8:30 AM       44       249       23       2       54       99       296       0       537       200       15       5       75       107       1706         8:30 AM       to       8:45 AM       52       280       28       2       67       116       376       621       217       18       5       86       115       1983         8:45 AM       to       9:00 AM       57       30 A       3       37       7128       436       1       706       236       21       6       105       107       203         7:00 AM       to       7:15 AM       0       6       33       4       0       6       18       25       0       57       18       1       0       1       7       10       186         7:30 AM       0       15       39       4       0       6       18       25       0       57       18       1       0       1       11       17       10       186         7:30 AM       0       12       51       6       0       8       29       70       0       92       24       1       0<								-		
8:30 AM       to       8:45 AM       52       280       28       2       67       116       376       0       621       217       18       5       86       115       1983       2233         S43 AM       0       6       33       4       0       6       18       Y       PERIO       226       21       6       105       1983       2233         TO TA L       BY       PERIO       PERIO       9       345       5       8       0       9       39       34       5       8       1       0       1       10       10       10										
8x-5 AM       to       9:00 AM       57       304       34       3       77       128       436       1       706       2.66       2.1       6       105       119       2233         TO AM       to       -5       33       4       0       6       18       25       0       57       18       1       0       1       7       10       186         7:15 AM       to       7:30 AM       0       15       39       0       100       30       40       0       7       39       0       100       30       40       0       15       17       10       18       11       17       10       11       17       27       10       10       7       29       0       100       87       4       0       0       16       17       324       34       34       35       7       0       8       18       38       0       91       27       1       0       10       11       17       30       16       16       33       22       13       38       16       11       10       34       18       34       11       10       34										
TOTAL BY PERIOD         TOTAL BY PERIOD           7.00 AM to 7:15 AM to 7:30 AM to 7:30 AM to 7:33 40 0         0         6         18         25         0         57         18         1         0         1         7         10         186           7:15 AM to 7:30 AM to 7:30 AM to 7:30 AM to 7:45 AM to 7:33 40         0         2         58         0         10         7         29         0         100         87         4         0         3         16         19         279           7:30 AM to 7:45 AM to 8:00 AM to 8:15 AM         12         51         6         0         8         29         70         0         92         24         1         0         0         16         15         324           8:15 AM to 8:30 AM to 8:45 AM         0         8         31         5         0         13         17         80         0         84         17         3         0         0         11         82         77           8:0 AM to 8:00 AM         0         26         15         10         31         46         131         0         348         162         10         0         5         43         85         1067         7:5         78										
7:15 AM       to       7:30 AM       0       15       39       4       0       7       3       39       0       100       30       4       0       3       16       19       279         7:30 AM       to       745 AM       0       2       58       0       0       10       7       29       0       100       87       4       0       0       9       39       345         7:45 AM       to       8:00 AM       0       3       35       7       0       8       18       38       0       91       27       1       0       1       11       17       257         8:00 AM       to       8:15 AM       0       12       51       6       0       8       29       70       0       92       24       1       0       16       15       324         8:15 AM       to       9:00 AM       0       5       24       6       11       10       12       60       18       17       3       0       14       45       26       25       26         7:00 AM       to       8:0 AM       0       22       16       131 <td></td>										
7:15 AM       to       7:30 AM       0       15       39       4       0       7       3       39       0       100       30       4       0       3       16       19       279         7:30 AM       to       745 AM       0       2       58       0       0       10       7       29       0       100       87       4       0       0       9       39       345         7:45 AM       to       8:00 AM       0       3       35       7       0       8       18       38       0       91       27       1       0       1       11       17       257         8:00 AM       to       8:15 AM       0       12       51       6       0       8       29       70       0       92       24       1       0       16       15       324         8:15 AM       to       9:00 AM       0       5       24       6       11       10       12       60       18       17       3       0       14       45       26       25       26         7:00 AM       to       8:0 AM       0       22       16       131 <td>7:00 AM to 7:15 A</td> <td>M 0 6</td> <td>33 4</td> <td>0 6</td> <td>18 25</td> <td>0 57</td> <td>18 1</td> <td>0 1</td> <td>7 10</td> <td>186</td>	7:00 AM to 7:15 A	M 0 6	33 4	0 6	18 25	0 57	18 1	0 1	7 10	186
7:30 AM       to       7:45 AM       0       2       58       0       0       10       7       29       0       100       87       4       0       0       9       39       345         7:45 AM       to       8:00 AM       0       3       35       7       0       8       18       38       0       91       27       1       0       1       11       17       257         8:00 AM       to       8:15 AM       0       12       51       6       0       8       29       70       0       92       24       1       0       0       16       15       324         8:15 AM       to       8:30 AM       0       6       31       5       0       13       17       80       0       84       17       3       0       0       1       19       4       20         8:5 AM       to       8:5 AM       0       32       165       15       0       31       46       131       0       348       162       10       0       4       52       90       1205       1205       1205       120       1205       120       120 <td></td>										
7:45 AM       to       8:00 AM       0       3       35       7       0       8       18       38       0       91       27       1       0       1       11       17       257         8:00 AM       to       8:15 AM       0       12       51       6       0       8       29       70       0       92       24       1       0       0       16       15       324         8:15 AM       to       8:30 AM       0       6       33       2       2       15       24       95       0       97       14       4       0       0       16       75       315         8:30 AM       to       8:45 AM       0       5       24       6       1       10       12       60       14       85       19       3       0       0       1       85       16       35       16       10       12       60       1       85       19       3       10       34       5       19       3       57       17       0       383       168       10       0       4       52       90       12       10       10       14       34			58 0	0 10	7 29	0 100	87 4		9 39	345
8:00 AM to 8:15 AM 0 12 51 6 0 8 29 70 0 92 24 1 0 0 0 16 15 8:15 AM to 8:30 AM 0 6 33 2 2 15 24 95 0 97 14 4 0 0 0 16 7 315 8:30 AM to 8:45 AM 0 8 31 5 0 13 17 80 0 84 17 3 0 0 1 19 4 207 8:45 AM to 9:00 AM 0 5 24 6 1 0 2 F 7 TO TA L S 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM 0 26 165 15 0 31 46 131 0 348 162 10 0 4 52 90 1205 7:00 AM to 8:00 AM 0 23 177 15 2 41 78 232 0 380 152 10 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 9:00 AM 0 29 150 20 2 44 888 283 0 364 82 9 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 9:00 AM 0 21 177 15 2 41 78 232 0 380 152 10 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 9:00 AM 0 29 150 20 2 44 888 283 0 364 82 9 0 1 54 47 1173 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM 0 31 139 19 3 46 82 305 1 358 74 11 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 8:30 AM 0 29 150 20 2 44 888 283 0 364 82 9 0 1 54 47 1173 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM 0 31 139 19 3 46 82 305 1 358 74 11 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 8:30 AM 0 29 150 20 2 44 888 283 0 364 82 9 0 1 54 47 1173 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM 0 31 139 19 3 46 82 305 1 358 74 11 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 8:30 AM 0 29 150 20 2 441 78 232 0 380 152 10 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 8:30 AM 0 29 150 20 2 441 78 232 0 380 152 10 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 8:30 AM 0 29 150 20 2 441 78 232 0 380 152 10 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 8:30 AM 0 29 150 20 0 2 441 78 232 0 380 152 10 0 1 52 78 1241 7:45 AM to 8:30 AM to 9:00 AM 0 31 139 19 3 46 82 305 1 358 74 11 0 1 52 78 1241 PH FB YAPPROACH 0.07 0.48 0.76 0.54 0.25 0.68 0.67 0.61 0.00 0.95 0.44 0.63 0.00 0.25 78 78 1241 PH FB YAPPROACH 0.078 0.76 0.54 0.25 0.68 0.67 0.61 0.00 0.95 0.44 0.63 0.00 0.25 78 78 1241 7HF BY APPROACH 0.078 0.78 0.71 0.68 0.71 0.63 0.00 0.25 78 78 1241 7HC K VOLUMES 3 7 7 8 22 10 5. 0.71 0.63 0.00 0.25 78 78 1241 7HC K VOLUMES 3 7 7 8 22 10 5. 2 9 9. 23 7HC K PERCENTAGE 1.4% 1.1% 2.3% 2.2% 1.8% 2.4% 1.8% 2.4% 1.5% 2.9% 1.9% 1.9% PEDESTRIAN 3.77 6 8 2.2% 1.8% 2.4% 1.5% 2.4% 1.5% 2.9% 1.9% PEDESTRIAN 3.77 6 6 2 1 1 6 3 0 0 1 5 3 3 0 1 0 0 1 5 0 19 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0										
8:15 AM       to       8:30 AM       0       6       33       2       2       15       24       95       0       97       14       4       0       0       16       7       315         8:30 AM       to       8:45 AM       0       8       31       5       0       13       17       80       0       84       17       3       0       0       1       8       277         8:45 AM       to       9:00 AM       0       5       24       6       1       10       12       60       1       85       19       3       0       0       1       19       4       250         FOULKEY       TOTALS										-
8:30 AM       to       8:45 AM       0       8       31       5       0       13       17       80       0       84       17       3       0       0       11       8       277         8:45 AM       to       9:00 AM       0       5       24       6       1       10       12       60       1       85       19       3       0       0       1       19       4       250         TOTALS         TOTA							14 4	0 0		
8:45 AM       to       9:00 AM       0       5       24       6       1       10       12       60       1       85       19       3       0       1       19       4       250         7:00 AM       to       26       165       15       0       31       46       131       0       348       162       10       0       5       43       85       1067         7:15 AM       to       8:15 AM       0       32       183       17       0       33       57       176       0       383       168       10       0       4       52       90       1205         7:35 AM       to       8:30 AM       0       23       177       15       2       41       78       232       0       380       152       10       0       1       52       78       1214          7:45 AM       to       8:45 AM       0       29       150       20       2       44       88       283       0       364       82       90       1       54       47       1173         8:00 AM       to       8:30 AM       0       31       139										
HOURLY         TOTALS           7:00 AM to         8:00 AM         0         26         165         15         0         31         46         131         0         348         162         10         0         5         43         85         1067           7:15 AM to         8:15 AM         0         32         183         17         0         33         57         176         0         383         168         10         0         4         52         90         1205           7:30 AM to         8:30 AM         0         23         177         15         2         41         78         232         0         380         152         10         0         1         52         78         1241           7:45 AM to         8:45 AM         0         29         150         20         2         44         88         283         0         364         82         9         0         1         54         47         1173           8:00 AM         0         31         139         19         3         46         82         305         1         358         74         11         0         1         62<								0 1		
T: 15 AM       to       8:15 AM       0       32       183       17       0       33       57       176       0       383       168       10       0       4       52       90       1205         7:30 AM       to       8:30 AM       0       23       177       15       2       41       78       232       0       380       152       10       0       1       52       78       124         7:45 AM       to       8:45 AM       0       29       150       20       2       44       88       283       0       364       82       9       0       1       54       47       1173         8:00 AM       to       9:00 AM       0       31       139       19       3       46       82       305       1       358       74       11       0       1       62       34       1166         PE K       FOUT       FOUT       SUD       FU       SUD       FU       SUD       EBU       EBU       EBU       EBR       MBU       WBL       WB       WB       WB       WB       WB       WB       VOL       VOLUME       0.00       0.4		-						•		-
T: 15 AM       to       8:15 AM       0       32       183       17       0       33       57       176       0       383       168       10       0       4       52       90       1205         7:30 AM       to       8:30 AM       0       23       177       15       2       41       78       232       0       380       152       10       0       1       52       78       124         7:45 AM       to       8:45 AM       0       29       150       20       2       44       88       283       0       364       82       9       0       1       54       47       1173         8:00 AM       to       9:00 AM       0       31       139       19       3       46       82       305       1       358       74       11       0       1       62       34       1166         PE K       FOUT       FOUT       SUD       FU       SUD       FU       SUD       EBU       EBU       EBU       EBR       MBU       WBL       WB       WB       WB       WB       WB       WB       VOL       VOLUME       0.00       0.4	7:00 AM to 8:00 A	M 0 26	165 15	0 31	46 131	0 348	162 10	0 5	43 85	1067
7:30 AM       to       8:30 AM       0       23       177       15       2       41       78       232       0       380       152       10       0       1       52       78       124         7:45 AM       to       8:45 AM       0       29       150       20       2       44       88       283       0       364       82       9       0       1       52       78       1173         8:00 AM       to       9:00 AM       0       31       139       19       3       46       82       305       1       358       74       11       0       1       62       34       1166         FEXT       FEXT       FEXT       FEXT       177       15       2       44       88       283       1       358       74       11       0       1       62       34       166       166       1       52       78       166       166       1       52       16       166       1       52       16       166       1       52       16       166       1       52       16       166       1       52       168 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>183 17</td><td></td><td></td><td>0 383</td><td></td><td>0 4</td><td>52 90</td><td>1205</td></t<>			183 17			0 383		0 4	52 90	1205
7:45 AM       to       8:45 AM       0       29       150       20       2       44       88       283       0       364       82       9       0       1       54       47       1173         8:00 AM       to       9:00 AM       0       31       139       19       3       46       82       305       1       358       74       11       0       1       62       34       1166         SO AM       to       FEX       FUT       FUT       FUT       SUT				2 41	78 232		152 10	0 1		1241
8:00 AM to 9:00 AM       0       31       139       19       3       46       82       305       1       358       74       11       0       1       62       34       1166         F 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 5 ± 10 ± 10				2 44	88 283		82 9	0 1		1173
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		M 0 31	139 19	3 46	82 305	1 358	74 11	0 1	62 34	1166
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$										
VOLUME       0       23       177       15       2       41       78       232       0       380       152       10       0       1       52       78       1241         PHF BY MOVEMENT       0.00       0.48       0.76       0.54       0.25       0.68       0.67       0.61       0.00       0.95       0.44       0.63       0.00       0.25       0.81       0.50       OVERAL         PHF BY APPROACH       OVER       OVERAL         TRUCK       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       OUT       OUT       OUT       OUT       OUT       OUT       IN       OUT       OUT       OUT       OUT       IN       OUT       OUT       OUT       OUT       IN       OUT       OUT       IN       OUT       OUT       IN       OUT       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       OUT	7:30 AM to 8:30 Al									TOTAL
PHF BY MOVEMENT       0.00       0.48       0.76       0.54       0.25       0.68       0.67       0.61       0.00       0.95       0.44       0.63       0.00       0.25       0.81       0.50       OVERAL         PHF BY APPROACH       0.78       0.78       0.65       0.01       0.00       0.95       0.44       0.63       0.00       0.25       0.81       0.50       OVERAL         TRUCK       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       OUT       IN       0.00       0.25       0.81       0.50       OVERAL         TRUCK VOLUMES       3       7       8       2       10       5       2       9       23       2         TRUCK VOLUMES       1.4%       1.1%       2.3%       2.2%       1.8%       2.4%       1.5%       2.9%       1.9%       1.9%         PEDESTRIAN       37       6       2       2       1.8%       2.4%       1.5%       2.9%       63       63         BICYCLE       1       3       3       3       3       9       3       9	VOLUME									1241
PHF BY APPROACH         0.78         0.65         0.71         0.68         0.90           TRUCK         IN         OUT         IN         OUT         IN         OUT         OUT         OVERAL           TRUCK VOLUMES         3         7         8         2         10         5         2         9         23           TRUCK VOLUMES         1.4%         1.1%         2.3%         2.2%         1.8%         2.4%         1.5%         2.9%         1.9%           PEDESTRIAN         37         6         2         18         63           BICYCLE         1         3         2         3         9										OVERALL
TRUCK         IN         OUT         IN         OUT         IN         OUT										
TRUCK PERCENTAGE         1.4%         1.1%         2.3%         2.2%         1.8%         2.4%         1.5%         2.9%         1.9%           PEDESTRIAN         37         6         2         18         63         63         63         9           BICYCLE         1         3         2         3         9         9         1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>OVERALL</td>										OVERALL
PEDESTRIAN         37         6         2         18         63           BICYCLE         1         3         2         3         9	TRUCK VOLUMES	3	7	8	2	10	5	2	9	23
BICYCLE 1 3 2 3 9	TRUCK PERCENTAG	E 1.4%	1.1%	2.3%	2.2%	1.8%	2.4%	1.5%	2.9%	1.9%
TEL: (510) 232 - 1271 FAX: (510) 232 - 1272	BICYCLE								3	9
			TEL	: (510) 232 - 12	271	FAX: (510) 2	32 - 1272			

## **B.A.Y.M.E.T.R.I.C.S.** INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT SUMMARY

											_			_				
PROJECT:		ALAMED		CALL S	ERVIC	CE - 1			SURVE				1/13/201			TUESD.		
N-S APPRO		ISLAND I		0.1 D						EY TIME:         7:00 AM         TO         9:00 AM           DICTION:         ALAMEDA         FILE:         3501001-12R (AM)								
E-W APPRC	DACH:	MECART	NET R	OAD					JURISI	DICTIO	N:	ALAM	EDA		FILE:	3501001	-12R (A	M)
7:30 AMto8:30 AMNORTH $6$ $2$ $0$ $0$ $6$ $2$ $0$ $0$ $1.9\%$ $2$ $2$ $0$ $1.9\%$ $2$ $0$ $0$ $1.9\%$ $2$ $0$ $0$ $1.9\%$ $2$ $0$ $0$ $1.5\%$ $0$ $1.5\%$ $0$ $1.5\%$ $0$ $1.5\%$ $1.9\%$ $2.3\%$ $1.9\%$ $2.3\%$ $1.9\%$ $2.3\%$ $1.1\%$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.2$ $0$ $1.4\%$																		
TIME	PERIOD			DRIVE BOUND	)		SOUT	HBOUN	D		EAST	BOUNI	)		WEST	ГBOUND	)	TOTAL
From	То	U-TURN I	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	U-TURN	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	U-TURN	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	U-TURN	LEFT	THRU	RIGHT	
							S U	RVE	Y I	DATA	1							
7:00 AM to	7:15 AM		0	0	0		0	0	0		0	1	0		0	1	1	3
7:15 AM to	7:30 AM		0	0	0		0	0	1		2	5	1		0	2	1	12
7:30 AM to	7:45 AM		0	0	0		0	2	1		3	8	1		0	3	1	19
7:45 AM to	8:00 AM		1	2	0		0	2	1		3	9	1		0	3	1	23
8:00 AM to	8:15 AM		1	2	0		0	2	3		4	10	1		0	4	1	28
8:15 AM to	8:30 AM		1	2	0		0	2	7		7	10	1		0	4	1	35
8:30 AM to	8:45 AM		1	2	0		0	2	12		10	11	1		0	5	1	45
8:45 AM to			1	2	0		0	3	12		10	12	1		0	5	1	47
							ТОТ	AL 1	BY P	ERI	O D							•
7:00 AM to	7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	3
7:15 AM to			0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	1	0	0	1	0	9
7:30 AM to			0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	7
7:45 AM to		0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
8:00 AM to		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	5
8:15 AM to		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 7
		-	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	10
8:30 AM to 8:45 AM to			0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5 0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
0.4571111 10	9.00 AW	U	0	U	U	U		JRLY		O T A I		1	0	U	U	0	U	2
7.00 AM	8:00 AM	0	1	2	0	Δ						9	1	0	0	2	1	22
7:00 AM to				2	0	0	0	2	1	0	3		1	0		3	1	23
7:15 AM to			1	2	0	0	0	2	3	0	4	9	1	0	0	3	0	25
7:30 AM to			1	2	0	0	0	2	6	0	5	5	0	0	0	2	0	23
7:45 AM to			1	2	0	0	0	0	11	0	7	3	0	0	0	2	0	26
8:00 AM to	9:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0 (510)	0 232 - 12	1	11	0 E A V.	7	3 32 - 12	0	0	0	2	0	24
					IEL:	(010)	232 - 1.	2/I		r AA:	(310)2	52 - 12	12					

## **B.A.Y.M.E.T.R.I.C.S.** INTERSECTION TRUCK TURNING MOVEMENT SUMMARY

7:30 AM to 8:30 AM										
DIRECTION	NB		SB		EB		WB		OVERALL	
	IN	OUT	IN	OUT	IN	OUT	IN	OUT	INTERSECTION	
TRUCK VOLUMES	3	7	8	2	10	5	2	9	23	
TRUCK PERCENTAGE	1.4%	1.1%	2.3%	2.2%	1.8%	2.4%	1.5%	2.9%	1.9%	

#### **<u>B.A.Y.M.E.T.R.I.C.S.</u>** BICYCLE MOVEMENT SUMMARY



7:30 AM to 8:30 AM					
VOLUME BY APPROACH	NBT	SBT	EBT	WBT	TOTAL
BICYCLE	1	3	2	3	9



7:30 AM	to	8:30 AM	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL
VOLUME B	Y LEG		(D+G)	(C+H)	(A+F)	(B+E)	
PEDESTRIA	N		37	6	2	18	63

### <u>**B.A.Y.M.E.T.R.I.C.S.</u>** INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT SUMMARY</u>



### **B.A.Y.M.E.T.R.I.C.S.** INTERSECTION TRUCK TURNING MOVEMENT SUMMARY



5:00 PM to 6:00 PM									
DIRECTION	N	В	S	В	E	В	W	/B	OVERALL
	IN	OUT	IN	OUT	IN	OUT	IN	OUT	INTERSECTION
TRUCK VOLUMES	1	0	2	1	5	5	3	5	11
TRUCK PERCENTAGE	0.8%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	1.1%	2.9%	1.7%	1.0%	0.8%

# <u>B.A.Y.M.E.T.R.I.C.S.</u>

#### BICYCLE MOVEMENT SUMMARY



5:00 PM to 6:00 PM					
VOLUME BY APPROACH	NBT	SBT	EBT	WBT	TOTAL
BICYCLE	0	3	7	1	11



5:00 PM	to	6:00 PM	NB	SB	EB	WB	TOTAL
VOLUME B	Y LEG		(D+G)	(C+H)	(A+F)	(B+E)	
PEDESTRIA	۸N		15	13	7	9	44

### <u>**B.A.Y.M.E.T.R.I.C.S.</u>** PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT SUMMARY</u>

# Appendix B Operations Analysis Worksheets

Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\Existing AM.pdf

Scenario 1 Existing AM

9/20/2021

#### **Intersection Analysis Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Control Type	Method	Worst Mvmt	V/C	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	All-way stop	HCM 6th Edition	EB Left	1.042	35.0	D

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Control Type:

Analysis Method:

Analysis Period:

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: Island Drive and Mecartney Road

Delay (sec / veh): All-way stop HCM 6th Edition

15 minutes

Level Of Service: Volume to Capacity (v/c):

35.0

D

1.042

Intersection Setup

Name	ls	sland Driv	е	l	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney R	oad	Mecartney Road			
Approach	N	lorthboun	d	Southbound			E	Eastbound	ł	Westbound			
Lane Configuration	+			hirr				٦IF			Westbound           Left         Thru           12.00         12.00           0         0           100.00         100.00		
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Speed [mph]		30.00			30.00			30.00		30.00			
Grade [%]		0.00			0.00			0.00		0.00			
Crosswalk		Yes			Yes			Yes		Yes			

#### Volumes

Name	Isla	and Dri	ive	Isla	and Dri	ve	Меса	artney l	Road	Меса	artney	Road
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78
Peak Hour Factor	0.780	0.780	0.780	0.780	0.780	0.780	0.780	0.780	0.780	0.780	0.780	0.780
Other Adjustment Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	7	57	5	14	25	74	122	49	3	0	17	25
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	29	227	19	55	100	297	487	195	13	1	67	100
Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]		37			6			2			3	

#### Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Settings

476	441	470	515	515	487	499	550	430	468
0.58	0.12	0.21	0.29	0.29	1.04	0.39	0.02	0.16	0.21
ults									
3.59	0.42	0.80	1.18	1.18	14.81	1.84	0.07	0.56	0.80
89.78	10.59	19.97	29.61	29.61	370.18	45.95	1.81	13.91	20.07
20.40		12	.42			60.86		12.	55
С		E	3			F		E	3
				34	.99				
D									
	0.58 ults 3.59 89.78 20.40	0.58 0.12 ults 3.59 0.42 89.78 10.59 20.40	0.58         0.12         0.21           ults         3.59         0.42         0.80           89.78         10.59         19.97           20.40         12.	0.58         0.12         0.21         0.29           ults         3.59         0.42         0.80         1.18           89.78         10.59         19.97         29.61           20.40         12.42	0.58         0.12         0.21         0.29         0.29           ults         3.59         0.42         0.80         1.18         1.18           89.78         10.59         19.97         29.61         29.61           20.40         12.42         2         34	0.58     0.12     0.21     0.29     0.29     1.04       ults       3.59     0.42     0.80     1.18     1.18     14.81       89.78     10.59     19.97     29.61     29.61     370.18       20.40     12.42        34.99	0.58     0.12     0.21     0.29     0.29     1.04     0.39       ults       3.59     0.42     0.80     1.18     1.18     14.81     1.84       89.78     10.59     19.97     29.61     29.61     370.18     45.95       20.40     12.42     60.86       C     B     F       34.99	0.58     0.12     0.21     0.29     0.29     1.04     0.39     0.02       ults       3.59     0.42     0.80     1.18     1.18     14.81     1.84     0.07       89.78     10.59     19.97     29.61     29.61     370.18     45.95     1.81       20.40     12.42     60.86     F       C     B     F     34.99	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\Existing AM.pdf

## Scenario 1 Existing AM

9/20/2021

# **Turning Movement Volume: Summary**

ID	ID Intersection Name		Northbound		Southbound			E	astboun	d	N	Total		
U	Intersection Name	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Volume
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78	1241

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control





Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\Existing PM.pdf

Scenario 2 Existing PM

9/20/2021

## **Intersection Analysis Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Control Type	Method	Worst Mvmt	V/C	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	All-way stop	HCM 6th Edition	EB Left	0.916	23.2	С

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Control Type:

Analysis Method:

Analysis Period:

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

# Intersection Level Of Service Report

Intersection 1: Island Drive and Mecartney Road

All-way stop	Delay (sec / veh):	23.2
HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	С
15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.916

Intersection Setup

Name	ls	sland Driv	e	l	sland Driv	e	Me	cartney R	oad	Me	cartney R	oad	
Approach	N	lorthboun	d	s	Southboun	d	E	Eastbound	ł	Westbound			
Lane Configuration		+		+	1166	•		٦IF		4F			
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Speed [mph]		30.00			30.00			30.00			30.00		
Grade [%]		0.00			0.00			0.00		0.00			
Crosswalk		Yes			Yes			Yes		Yes			

#### Volumes

Name		and Dri	ivo.	lel	and Dri		Moor	ntnov I	Pood	Moor	artney l	
Name		anu Dri					IVIECa	artney I		weca	artiney i	1
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41
Peak Hour Factor	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860
Other Adjustment Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	6	29	3	28	43	110	106	22	9	5	34	12
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	26	116	10	112	171	440	424	90	35	21	137	48
Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]		15			13			7			9	

## Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

## Intersection Settings

Lando											
Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h]	454	464	496	549	549	463	493	543	435	458	
Degree of Utilization, x	0.34	0.24	0.34	0.40	0.40	0.92	0.18	0.06	0.24	0.22	
Movement, Approach, & Intersection Resu	ults										
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh]	1.46	0.93	1.52	1.92	1.92	10.42	0.66	0.21	0.91	0.85	
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft]	36.42	23.37	38.06	48.02	48.02	260.38	16.53	5.15	22.75	21.34	
Approach Delay [s/veh]	14.89		13	52			42.15		13.17		
Approach LOS	В		E	3			Е		В		
Intersection Delay [s/veh]	23.25										
Intersection LOS	С										

Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\Existing PM.pdf

## Scenario 2 Existing PM

9/20/2021

# **Turning Movement Volume: Summary**

ID	ID Intersection Name		Northbound		Southbound			E	astboun	d	W	/estbour	Total	
U	Intersection Name	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Volume
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41	1401

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control





Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\Signal AM.pdf

Scenario 3 Signal AM

9/20/2021

## **Intersection Analysis Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Control Type	Method	Worst Mvmt	V/C	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	Signalized	HCM 6th Edition	WB Left	0.655	42.7	D

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Control Type:

Analysis Method:

Analysis Period:

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: Island Drive and Mecartney Road

intersection 1. Island Drive		
Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	42.7
HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	D
15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.655

Intersection Setup

Name	ls	sland Driv	е	l	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney R	oad	Me	cartney Ro	bad	
Approach	N	lorthboun	d	S	Southboun	d		Eastbound	ł	V	Westbound		
Lane Configuration		1			4			4		- 1P			
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Speed [mph]		30.00			30.00			30.00			30.00		
Grade [%]		0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Curb Present		No			No			No		No			
Crosswalk		Yes			Yes			Yes		Yes			

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Volumes

Name	ls	sland Driv	е	l	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney Ro	oad	Mecartney Road			
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78	
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78	
Peak Hour Factor	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	7	57	5	14	25	74	122	49	3	0	17	25	
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	29	227	19	55	100	297	487	195	13	1	67	100	
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No	
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	9	0			0			0			0		
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing r	n	0			0			0			0		
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing	9	0			0			0			0		
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing n	ni O			0		0			0				
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	n] O			0				0		0			
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]		0			0			0		0			

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Settings

<b>.</b>		
Located in CBD	No	
Signal Coordination Group	-	
Cycle Length [s]	140	
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Isolated	
Actuation Type	Fully actuated	
Offset [s]	0.0	
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green	
Permissive Mode	SingleBand	
Lost time [s]	9.00	

#### Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss
Signal Group	0	6	0	0	2	0	3	8	0	7	4	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	-	-	-	-	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	0	10	0	0	10	0	5	10	0	5	10	0
Maximum Green [s]	0	30	0	0	30	0	30	30	0	30	30	0
Amber [s]	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
All red [s]	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
Split [s]	0	42	0	0	42	0	55	89	0	9	43	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	5	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	33	0	0	32	0	0	22	0	0	34	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Minimum Recall		Yes			Yes		No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

#### **Exclusive Pedestrian Phase**

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	С	L	С	L	С	L	С
C, Cycle Length [s]	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	140
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	72	72	72	72	40	56	0	16
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.29	0.40	0.00	0.11
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.03	0.13	0.05	0.24	0.27	0.11	0.00	0.10
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	987	1845	1134	1652	1781	1850	1781	1691
c, Capacity [veh/h]	390	944	530	845	514	741	3	193
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	31.11	19.26	24.57	21.97	48.70	28.29	69.75	60.96
k, delay calibration	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.28	0.11	0.11	0.11
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	0.37	0.67	0.39	1.87	19.40	0.20	49.19	11.13
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Lane Group Results								
X, volume / capacity	0.07	0.26	0.10	0.47	0.95	0.28	0.32	0.87
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	31.48	19.93	24.97	23.84	68.10	28.50	118.94	72.09
Lane Group LOS	С	В	С	С	E	С	F	E
Critical Lane Group	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln]	0.72	4.75	1.19	8.86	19.37	4.82	0.08	6.44
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/In]	17.93	118.75	29.77	221.53	484.18	120.51	1.98	161.10
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/In]	1.29	8.32	2.14	13.74	26.58	8.42	0.14	10.61
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/In]	32.28	208.11	53.59	343.58	664.61	210.53	3.56	265.18

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results

d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	31.48	19.93	19.93	24.97	23.84	23.84	68.10	28.50	28.50	118.94	72.09	72.09
Movement LOS	С	В	В	С	С	С	E	С	С	F	E	E
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]		21.15			23.98			56.25		72.37		
Approach LOS		С			С			E				
d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh]						.71						
Intersection LOS						[	C					
Intersection V/C		0.655										
Other Modes												
g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]		9.0			9.0			9.0		9.0		
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped]		0.00		0.00			0.00			0.00		
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped	]	0.00		0.00			0.00					
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]		61.27			61.27			61.27				
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersection	n	2.089			2.462			2.460			2.338	
Crosswalk LOS		В			В			В			В	
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle land		2000			2000			2000			2000	
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h	]	543			543			1215			557	
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]	37.14			37.14				10.79		36.42		
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection	n 2.013			2.305				2.706		1.837		
Bicycle LOS		В			В			В		A		

## Sequence

-																
Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

SG: 2 42s	SG: 3 55s	SG: 4 43s
SG: 102 37s		SG: 104 39s
SG: 6 42s	SG: 7 9s SG: 8 89s	
SG: 106 38s	SG: <mark>108 27s</mark>	8

Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\Signal AM.pdf

## Scenario 3 Signal AM

9/20/2021

# **Turning Movement Volume: Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Northbound			Southbound			E	astboun	d	N	/estbour	nd	Total
		Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Volume
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78	1241

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control





Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\Signal PM.pdf

Scenario 4 Signal PM

9/20/2021

## **Intersection Analysis Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Control Type	Method	Worst Mvmt	V/C	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	Signalized	HCM 6th Edition	WB Left	0.763	41.4	D

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Control Type: Analysis Method:

Analysis Period:

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: Island Drive and Mecartney Road

Signalized	Delay (sec / veh):	41.4
HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	D
15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.763

Intersection Setup

Name	l	sland Driv	е	ls	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney R	oad	Me	cartney R	oad
Approach	м	lorthboun	d	s	Southbound			Eastbound	ł	Westbound		
Lane Configuration		44			чŀ			44		٦Þ		
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]		30.00			30.00			30.00			30.00	
Grade [%]	0.00				0.00			0.00			0.00	
Curb Present	No				No			No		No		
Crosswalk	Yes			Yes				Yes		Yes		

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

Volumes

Name	Isla	and Dr	ve	Isl	and Dr	ve	Mecartney Road			Mecartney Ro		Road
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Right Turn on Red Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41
Peak Hour Factor	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.860
Other Adjustment Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	6	29	3	28	43	110	106	22	9	5	34	12
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	26	116	10	112	171	440	424	90	35	21	137	48
Presence of On-Street Parking	No		No	No		No	No		No	No		No
On-Street Parking Maneuver Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Bus Stopping Rate [/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v_do, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street [ped/h]		0			0			0			0	
v_di, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing major street [ped/h]		0			0			0			0	
v_co, Outbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street [ped/h]		0			0		0				0	
v_ci, Inbound Pedestrian Volume crossing minor street [ped/h]		0			0	0		0	0		0	
v_ab, Corner Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	0			0			0			0		
Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h]		0			0			0			0	

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Settings

······		
Located in CBD	No	
Signal Coordination Group	-	
Cycle Length [s]	130	
Coordination Type	Time of Day Pattern Isolated	
Actuation Type	Fully actuated	
Offset [s]	0.0	
Offset Reference	Lead Green - Beginning of First Green	
Permissive Mode	SingleBand	
Lost time [s]	9.00	

#### Phasing & Timing

Control Type	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss	Protecte	Permiss	Permiss
Signal Group	0	6	0	0	2	0	3	8	0	7	4	0
Auxiliary Signal Groups												
Lead / Lag	-	-	-	-	-	-	Lead	-	-	Lead	-	-
Minimum Green [s]	0	10	0	0	10	0	5	10	0	5	10	0
Maximum Green [s]	0	30	0	0	30	0	30	30	0	30	30	0
Amber [s]	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
All red [s]	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
Split [s]	0	42	0	0	42	0	45	77	0	11	43	0
Vehicle Extension [s]	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0
Walk [s]	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	5	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0	33	0	0	32	0	0	22	0	0	34	0
Delayed Vehicle Green [s]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rest In Walk		No			No			No			No	
I1, Start-Up Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Minimum Recall		Yes			Yes		No	No		No	No	
Maximum Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Pedestrian Recall		No			No		No	No		No	No	
Detector Location [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detector Length [ft]	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

#### **Exclusive Pedestrian Phase**

Pedestrian Signal Group	0
Pedestrian Walk [s]	0
Pedestrian Clearance [s]	0

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Lane Group Calculations

Lane Group	L	С	L	С	L	С	L	С
C, Cycle Length [s]	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130
L, Total Lost Time per Cycle [s]	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
I1_p, Permitted Start-Up Lost Time [s]	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l2, Clearance Lost Time [s]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
g_i, Effective Green Time [s]	69	69	69	69	33	46	3	16
g / C, Green / Cycle	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.25	0.35	0.02	0.12
(v / s)_i Volume / Saturation Flow Rate	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.37	0.24	0.07	0.01	0.10
s, saturation flow rate [veh/h]	810	1844	1264	1659	1781	1782	1781	1788
c, Capacity [veh/h]	255	985	667	886	452	629	37	214
d1, Uniform Delay [s]	38.08	15.15	19.03	22.35	47.46	29.25	63.04	56.14
k, delay calibration	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.28	0.11	0.11	0.11
I, Upstream Filtering Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
d2, Incremental Delay [s]	0.80	0.27	0.54	4.38	19.66	0.15	12.86	9.89
d3, Initial Queue Delay [s]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rp, platoon ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PF, progression factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Lane Group Results								
X, volume / capacity	0.10	0.13	0.17	0.69	0.94	0.20	0.57	0.86
d, Delay for Lane Group [s/veh]	38.88	15.42	19.57	26.73	67.12	29.41	75.90	66.04
Lane Group LOS	D	В	В	С	E	С	E	E
Critical Lane Group	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
50th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/In]	0.71	1.96	2.04	14.57	15.85	2.78	0.82	6.55
50th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/In]	17.74	49.00	50.99	364.30	396.26	69.44	20.56	163.76
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/In]	1.28	3.53	3.67	20.83	22.38	5.00	1.48	10.75
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/In]	31.94	88.21	91.77	520.81	559.49	124.98	37.01	268.69

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results

d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	38.88	15.42	15.42	19.57	26.73	26.73	67.12	29.41	29.41	75.90	66.04	66.04
Movement LOS	D	В	В	В	С	С	E	С	С	E	E	E
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]		19.43			25.62			58.53			67.04	
Approach LOS		В			С			E			Е	
d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh]						41	.36					
Intersection LOS						[	D					
Intersection V/C						0.7	763					
Other Modes												
g_Walk,mi, Effective Walk Time [s]		9.0			9.0			9.0			9.0	
M_corner, Corner Circulation Area [ft²/ped]		0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00	
M_CW, Crosswalk Circulation Area [ft²/ped		0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00	
d_p, Pedestrian Delay [s]		56.30			56.30			56.30			56.30	
I_p,int, Pedestrian LOS Score for Intersectio	n	2.082			2.470			2.468			2.411	
Crosswalk LOS		В			В			В			В	
s_b, Saturation Flow Rate of the bicycle lane	;	2000			2000			2000			2000	
c_b, Capacity of the bicycle lane [bicycles/h	]	585			585			1123			600	
d_b, Bicycle Delay [s]		32.54			32.54			12.49			31.84	
I_b,int, Bicycle LOS Score for Intersection		1.810			2.753			2.465			1.900	
Bicycle LOS		А			С			В			А	

## Sequence

-																
Ring 1	-	2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 2	-	6	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ring 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

SG: 2 42s	SG: 3 45s	SG: 4 43s
SG: 102 37s		SG: 104 39s
SG: 6 42s	SG: 7 11s SG: 8 77s	
SG: 106 38s	SG: 108 27s	8

Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\Signal PM.pdf

## Scenario 4 Signal PM

9/20/2021

# **Turning Movement Volume: Summary**

	ID Intersection Name	ID Intersection Name Northbound		nd	So	outhbou	nd	E	Eastbound Westbound			nd	Total		
	ID	Intersection Name	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Volume
	1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41	1401

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control





Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\RBT AM.pdf

Scenario 5 Roundabout AM

9/20/2021

## **Intersection Analysis Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Control Type	Method	Worst Mvmt	V/C	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	Roundabout	HCM 6th Edition	NB Thru		9.7	А

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: Island Drive and Mecartney Road

Control Type:RoundaboutAnalysis Method:HCM 6th EditionAnalysis Period:15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh): Level Of Service:

9.7

А

Intersection Setup

Name	ls	sland Driv	е	l	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney R	oad	Me	cartney Ro	bad
Approach	Ν	lorthboun	d	S	Southboun	d	E	Eastbound	ł	West       Image: Left Th       0     12.00     12.       0     12.00     12.       0     12.00     12.       0     100.00     100.00       0     0.00     0.00       0     0.00     0.00       0     0.00     0.00       0     0.00     0.00       0     0.00     1.000       0     1.0000     1.000       00     1.0000     1.000       00     1.0000     1.000       00     0.00     0.00       00     0.00     0.00       00     0.00     0.00       00     0.00     0.00       00     0.00     0.00       00     0.00     0.00       00     0.078     0.078		d
Lane Configuration		+			+			+			+	
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]		30.00			30.00			30.00			30.00	
Grade [%]		0.00			0.00			0.00		12.00     12.00       0     0       100.00     100.00       0     0       0.00     0.00       0.00     0.00       0.00     0.00       0.00     0.00       0.00     0.00       1.000     1.000       1.0000     1.000       1.0000     1.000       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       1.0000     1.0000       1.0000     1.0000       0     1.0000       0     1.0000		
Crosswalk		Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes	
Volumes										Westbor       Left     Thru       12.00     12.00       0     0       100.00     100.00       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0.00       0     0       0     0.00       0     0.00       1     52       1.0000     1.000       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     0       0     1.0000		
Name	ls	sland Driv	e	l	sland Driv	e	Me	cartney R	oad	Me	cartney Ro	oad
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78
Peak Hour Factor	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	7	57	5	14	25	74	122	49	3	0	17	25
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	29	227	19	55	100	297	487	195	13	1	67	100
Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]		37			6			2			18	

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Settings

Intersection Settings																			
Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes		1			1			1			1								
Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h]		752			99			159			758								
Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h]		116			830			401			274								
Demand Flow Rate [veh/h]	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78							
Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h]	29	227	19	55	100	297	487	195	13	1	67	100							
Lanes			-					-	-										
Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway		No			No			No			No								
User-Defined Critical Headway [s]		4.00			4.00			4.00											
Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time		No			No			No			No								
User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s]	3.00				3.00		3.00				3.00								
A (intercept)		1380.00			1380.00			1380.00			1380.00			1380.00 0.00102					
B (coefficient)		0.00102			0.00102			0.00102			3.00 1380.00 0.00102 0.98 172 638 1.00 624								
HV Adjustment Factor		0.98			0.98			0.98			No 3.00 1380.00 0.00102 0.98 172 638 1.00								
Entry Flow Rate [veh/h]		281			462			709			1380.00 0.00102 0.98 172 638 1.00								
Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h		642			1248			1174			638								
Pedestrian Impedance		0.99			1.00			1.00		1.00									
Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h]		626			626			1223			1150			0.00102 0.98 172 638 1.00 624			624		
X, volume / capacity		0.44			0.37			0.60			0.27								
Movement, Approach, & Intersection Res	ults																		
Lane LOS		В			А			В			А								
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh]		2.24			1.73			4.26			1.09								
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft]		56.02			43.25			106.59		27.17									
Approach Delay [s/veh]		12.40			6.51			10.81			9.25								
Approach LOS		В			А			В			А								
Intersection Delay [s/veh]						9.	70												
Intersection LOS							Ą												

Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\RBT AM.pdf

## Scenario 5 Roundabout AM

9/20/2021

# **Turning Movement Volume: Summary**

	1	ID Intersection Name Northbound		So	outhbou	nd	E	astboun	d	N	/estbour	nd	Total	
U.	Intersection Name	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Volume
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78	1241

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control





Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\RBT PM.pdf

Scenario 6 Roundabout PM

9/20/2021

## **Intersection Analysis Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Control Type	Method	Worst Mvmt	V/C	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	Roundabout	HCM 6th Edition	SB Right		10.8	В

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

## Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: Island Drive and Mecartney Road

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Roundabout HCM 6th Edition 15 minutes Delay (sec / veh): Level Of Service:

10.8 B

#### Intersection Setup

Name	ls	sland Driv	e	l	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney R	oad	Mecartney Road		
Approach	М	lorthboun	d	S	Southboun	d	E	Eastbound	ł	V	Vestbound	ł
Lane Configuration		+			+			+			+	
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]		30.00			30.00	-		30.00			30.00	-
Grade [%]					0.00			0.00			0.00	
Crosswalk Yes					Yes			Yes			Yes	
Volumes												
Name	l	sland Driv	e	l	sland Driv	e	Me	cartney R	oad	Me	cartney Ro	oad
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41
Peak Hour Factor	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	6	29	3	28	43	110	106	22	9	5	34	12
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	26	116	10	112	171	440	424	90	35	21	137	48
Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] 0					0			0		0		

Intersection LOS

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Settings

Intersection Settings													
Number of Conflicting Circulating Lanes		1			1			1			1		
Circulating Flow Rate [veh/h]		639			188			310			577		
Exiting Flow Rate [veh/h]		232			600			615			216		
Demand Flow Rate [veh/h]	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41	
Adjusted Demand Flow Rate [veh/h]	26	116	10	112	171	440	424	90	35	21	137	48	
Lanes													
Overwrite Calculated Critical Headway		No			No			No			No		
User-Defined Critical Headway [s]		4.00			4.00			4.00		4.00			
Overwrite Calculated Follow-Up Time		No			No			No			No		
User-Defined Follow-Up Time [s]	3.00				3.00			3.00			3.00		
A (intercept)	1380.00				1380.00			1380.00		1380.00			
B (coefficient)		0.00102			0.00102			0.00102			0.00102		
HV Adjustment Factor		0.98			0.98			0.98			0.98		
Entry Flow Rate [veh/h]		156			738 50			560			211		
Capacity of Entry and Bypass Lanes [veh/h	]	720			1140			1006			766		
Pedestrian Impedance		1.00			1.00			1.00			1.00		
Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h]		706			1118			987			751		
X, volume / capacity		0.22			0.65			0.56			0.27		
Movement, Approach, & Intersection Res	ults												
Lane LOS		А			В			В			А		
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh]		0.81			5.00		3.54				1.12		
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft]		20.36			124.89			88.47			27.90		
Approach Delay [s/veh]		7.58			12.16			10.92			7.97		
Approach LOS		А			В			В		A			
Intersection Delay [s/veh]						10	.79						

В



Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\RBT PM.pdf

## Scenario 6 Roundabout PM

9/20/2021

# **Turning Movement Volume: Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Northbound		Southbound		Eastbound			Westbound			Total			
	ID	Intersection Name	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Volume
	1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41	1401

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control





Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\AWSC AM.pdf

Scenario 7 AWSC AM

9/20/2021

## **Intersection Analysis Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Control Type	Method	Worst Mvmt	V/C	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	All-way stop	HCM 6th Edition	EB Left	1.084	42.0	E

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Control Type:

Analysis Method:

Analysis Period:

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: Island Drive and Mecartney Road

Intersection 1. Island Drive	and mecaniney itoau	
All-way stop	Delay (sec / veh):	42.0
HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	E
15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	1.084

Intersection Setup

Name	ls	sland Driv	е	l	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney R	oad	Me	cartney R	oad
Approach	Ν	lorthboun	d	S	Southboun	d		Eastbound	ł	V	Vestboun	d
Lane Configuration		+			Чг			4			+	
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Speed [mph]		30.00			30.00			30.00	•		30.00	
Grade [%]		0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00	
Crosswalk Yes					Yes			Yes			Yes	
Volumes												
Name	ls	sland Driv	е	Ŀ	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney R	oad	Me	cartney R	oad
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78
Peak Hour Factor	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800	0.7800
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	7	57	5	14	25	74	122	49	3	0	17	25
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	29	227	19	55	100	297	487	195	13	1	67	100
Pedestrian Volume [ped/h]	Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] 37				6			2		18		

# Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Settings

#### Lanes

Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h]	448	444	499	487	483	442				
Degree of Utilization, x	0.61	0.35	0.60	1.08	0.43	0.38				
Movement, Approach, & Intersection Res	ults									
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh]	4.01	1.54	3.84	16.09	2.14	1.75				
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft]	100.34	38.50	95.98	402.24	53.48	43.73				
Approach Delay [s/veh]	22.94	18	.32	71.	32	16.05				
Approach LOS	С	(	2	F	-	С				
Intersection Delay [s/veh]			42	.05						
Intersection LOS	E									

Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\AWSC AM.pdf

## Scenario 7 AWSC AM

9/20/2021

# **Turning Movement Volume: Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Northbound		Southbound		Eastbound			Westbound			Total		
	Intersection Name	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Volume
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	23	177	15	43	78	232	380	152	10	1	52	78	1241

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control





Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\AWSC PM.pdf

Scenario 8 AWSC PM

9/20/2021

## **Intersection Analysis Summary**

ID	Intersection Name	Control Type	Method	Worst Mvmt	V/C	Delay (s/veh)	LOS
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	All-way stop	HCM 6th Edition	EB Left	0.973	36.3	E

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Control Type:

Analysis Method:

Analysis Period:

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: Island Drive and Mecartney Road

	ind mecaniney ridad	
All-way stop	Delay (sec / veh):	36.3
HCM 6th Edition	Level Of Service:	E
15 minutes	Volume to Capacity (v/c):	0.973

Intersection Setup

Name	ls	and Driv	e	l	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney R	oad	Mecartney Road			
Approach	Ν	lorthboun	d	S	outhboun	d	E	Eastbound	ł	v	Vestbound	b	
Lane Configuration		+			٩r			٦F			+		
Turning Movement	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	
No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Entry Pocket Length [ft]	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Exit Pocket Length [ft]	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Speed [mph]		30.00			30.00	-		30.00			30.00	-	
Grade [%]		0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
Crosswalk		Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes		
Volumes				-									
Name	ls	sland Driv	е	Ŀ	sland Driv	е	Me	cartney R	oad	Me	cartney Ro	bad	
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41	
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	
Growth Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41	
Peak Hour Factor	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	0.8600	
Other Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	6	29	3	28	43	110	106	22	9	5	34	12	
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	26	116	10	112	171	440	424	90	35	21	137	48	
Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] 15					13			7		9			

# Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

#### Intersection Settings

#### Lanes

Capacity per Entry Lane [veh/h]	410	455	514	436	477	430		
Degree of Utilization, x	lization, x 0.37		0.86	0.97	0.26	0.48		
Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results								
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh]	1.68	4.15	9.02	11.89	1.04	2.53		
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft]	42.04	103.72	225.58	297.26	26.02	63.23		
Approach Delay [s/veh]	16.88	32.36		53.36		18.82		
Approach LOS	С		D		=	С		
Intersection Delay [s/veh]	36.28							
Intersection LOS	E							

Vistro File: H:\...\IntersectionAnalysis\_MGA\_revd volumes.vistro Report File: H:\...\AWSC PM.pdf

## Scenario 8 AWSC PM

9/20/2021

# **Turning Movement Volume: Summary**

ID Intersection Name	Interportion Name	Northbound		Southbound		Eastbound		Westbound		Total				
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Volume	
1	Island Drive and Mecartney Road	22	100	9	96	147	378	365	77	30	18	118	41	1401

Version 2021 (SP 0-6)

Lane Configuration and Traffic Control





# Appendix C Signal Warrant Analysis



KITTELSON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

610 SW Alder, Suite 700 Portland, Oregon 97205

(503) 228-5230

Project #: Project Name: Analyst: Date: File:	24846 Island/Mecartney Evaluation MGA 9/21/2021 H:\24\24846 - City of Alameda On-Call Services 2020\001 - Citywide Roundabout Analysis\Task 5 ICE
Intersection: Scenario:	Review and Community Island/Mecartney 2021 EC - AM Peak Hour

#### Warrant Summary

· · · · · ·		
Name	Analyzed?	Met?
Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume	Yes	No
Four-Hour Vehicular volume	Yes	No
Peak Hour	Yes	No
Pedestrian Volume	No	-
School Crossing	No	-
Coordinated Signal System	No	-
Crash Experience	Yes	No
Roadway Network	No	-
Intersection Near a Grade Crossing	No	-
	Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume Four-Hour Vehicular volume Peak Hour Pedestrian Volume School Crossing Coordinated Signal System Crash Experience Roadway Network	Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume Yes   Four-Hour Vehicular volume Yes   Peak Hour Yes   Pedestrian Volume No   School Crossing No   Coordinated Signal System No   Crash Experience Yes   Roadway Network No

#### **Input Parameters**

Volume Adjustment Factor =	1.0					
North-South Approach =	Minor					
East-West Approach =	Major					
Major Street Thru Lanes =	2					
Minor Street Thru Lanes = 2						
Speed > 40 mph?	No					
Population < 10,000?	No					
Warrant Factor 100%						
Peak Hour or Daily Count?	Peak Hour					
Major Street: 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour	89%					
Major Street: 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour	83%					
Minor Street: 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour	76%					
Minor Street: 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour	59%					

		Analysis Tra	affic Volume	s	
ŀ	lour	Major	Street	Minor	Street
Begin	End	EB	WB	NB	SB
7:30 AM	8:30 AM	542	131	215	353
2nd Highest	Hour	513	124	192	315
3rd Highest I	Hour	506	122	166	273
4th Highest I	Hour	484	117	164	269
5th Highest I	Hour	477	115	146	239
6th Highest I	Hour	477	115	143	235
7th Highest I	Hour	455	110	139	228
8th Highest I	Hour	448	108	127	209
9th Highest I	Hour	434	105	120	197
10th Highest	Hour	405	98	116	190
11th Highest	Hour	390	94	113	186
12th Highest	Hour	383	93	113	186
13th Highest	Hour	369	89	111	182
14th Highest	Hour	318	77	92	152
15th Highest	Hour	253	61	90	148
16th Highest	Hour	238	58	65	106
17th Highest	Hour	166	40	65	106
18th Highest	Hour	137	33	44	72
19th Highest	Hour	72	17	28	46
20th Highest	Hour	51	12	23	38
21st Highest	Hour	43	10	12	19
22nd Highes	t Hour	29	7	9	15
23rd Highest	Hour	14	3	9	15
24th Highest	Hour	14	3	7	11

## Warrant #1 - Eight Hour

Warrant Factor	Condition	Major Street Requirement	Minor Street Requirement	Hours That Condition Is Met	Condition for Warrant Factor Met?	Signal Warrant Met?			
100%	А	600	200	4	No	No			
100%	В	900	100	0	No	NO			
80%	А	480	160	11	Yes	Yes			
80%	В	720	80	0	No	res			
70%	А	420	140	13	Yes	Yes			
70%	В	630	70	2	No	Tes			
56%	А	336	112	14	Yes	Yes			
50%	В	504	56	9	Yes	Tes			







KITTELSON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 610 SW Alder, Suite 700

Portland, Oregon 97205 (503) 228-5230

Project #: Project Name: Analyst: Date: File:	24846 Island/Mecartney Evaluation MGA 9/21/2021 H:\24\24846 - City of Alameda On-Call Services 2020\001 - Citywide Roundabout Analysis\Task 5 ICE
Intersection: Scenario:	Review and Communitv Island/Mecartney 2021 EC - PM Peak Hour

## **Warrant Summary**

Warrant	Name	Analyzed?	Met?
#1	Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume	Yes	No
#2	Four-Hour Vehicular volume	Yes	Yes
#3	Peak Hour	Yes	Yes
#4	Pedestrian Volume	No	-
#5	School Crossing	No	-
#6	Coordinated Signal System	No	-
#7	Crash Experience	Yes	No
#8	Roadway Network	No	-
#9	Intersection Near a Grade Crossing	No	-

## **Input Parameters**

Volume Adjustment Factor =	1.0	
North-South Approach =	Minor	
East-West Approach =	Major	Wa Fa
Major Street Thru Lanes =	2	
Minor Street Thru Lanes =	2	1
Speed > 40 mph?	No	1
Population < 10,000?	No	8
Warrant Factor	100%	C C
Peak Hour or Daily Count?	Peak Hour	
Major Street: 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour	89%	ļ
Major Street: 8th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour	83%	
Minor Street: 4th-Highest Hour / Peak Hour	76%	
Miner Street, Oth Highest Heur / Deak Heur	E09/	

		Analysis Tra	affic Volume	s	
F	Hour		Street	Minor	Street
Begin	End	EB	WB	NB	SB
5:00 PM	6:00 PM	472	178	131	621
2nd Highest	Hour	447	169	117	554
3rd Highest H	Hour	441	166	101	481
4th Highest H	Hour	422	159	100	474
5th Highest H	Hour	415	157	89	421
6th Highest H	Hour	415	157	87	414
7th Highest H	Hour	396	150	85	401
8th Highest H	Hour	390	147	77	367
9th Highest H	Hour	378	142	73	347
10th Highest	10th Highest Hour		133	70	334
11th Highest	11th Highest Hour		128	69	327
12th Highest	12th Highest Hour		126	69	327
13th Highest	Hour	321	121	68	321
14th Highest	Hour	277	104	56	267
15th Highest	Hour	220	83	55	260
16th Highest	Hour	208	78	39	187
17th Highest	Hour	145	55	39	187
18th Highest	Hour	120	45	27	127
19th Highest	19th Highest Hour		24	17	80
20th Highest	20th Highest Hour		17	14	67
21st Highest	Hour	38	14	7	33
22nd Highest	t Hour	25	9	6	27
23rd Highest	Hour	13	5	6	27
24th Highest	Hour	13	5	4	20

# Warrant #1 - Eight Hour

Warrant Factor	Condition	Major Street Requirement	Minor Street Requirement	Hours That Condition Is Met	Condition for Warrant Factor Met?	Signal Warrant Met?			
100%	А	600	200	3	No	No			
100%	В	900	100	0	No	NO			
80%	А	480	160	10	Yes	Yes			
80%	В	720	80	0	No	res			
70%	А	420	140	13	Yes	Yes			
70%	В	630	70	1	No	res			
56%	А	336	112	14	Yes	Voc			
50%	В	504	56	9	Yes	Yes			



