

# Grand Street Safety Improvement Project Recommendations

Transportation Commission Special Meeting  
June 21, 2023



## Grand Street: Three Segments



## Staff Recommendation to Council

- Adopt a Design Concept for a continuous Two-Way Bikeway from Shore Line Drive to Clement Avenue
- Utilize local funds so that construction can begin in 2024 for Segments A and 2025 for Segment B

3

## Background

- **November 2022 - Council approved street design for Shore Line to Encinal (Segment A and B) as part of a re-paving project**
  - Constrained by curb-to-curb dimension
  - Constrained by existing repaving budget
  - Staff committed to review entire corridor
- **January 2023 - Staff retained new transportation consultant to review entire corridor**
  - Direction to explore alternatives without budget and curb-to-curb constraints
  - Paid special attention to citywide importance of unique north-south connection
- **January – July 2023 - Staff and consultant study corridor alternatives and community preferences**
  - Three new alternatives developed: all raised bikeways
  - Two community workshops: one in-person, one virtual. Online comment form.
  - Staff recommendations to Transportation Commission & City Council

4


# Why is Grand Street important?



Cross Alameda Trail



Shore Line Dr



A critical connector:


- Northern to southern waterfront
  - One of only two north/south streets between Eighth St and Park St
- Cross Alameda Trail and Shore Line Dr, two major east-west, low stress bikeways (both two-way bikeways)

# Important Link in Citywide Low Stress Network



## A Key School Access Route

Grand St travels through the center of Wood Middle School enrollment area (shown in green)



Map of AUSD middle school enrollment areas

7

## A High Injury Corridor

- **City of Alameda, Vision Zero Action Plan**
- **Countywide, Alameda CTC Countywide Active Transportation Plan**
- **Region, MTC regional High Injury Network**

**Collisions on Grand St disproportionately affect youth and elders. 2013 -2022 data:**


- 39% of bicyclists injured in collisions were youth under age 18
- 86% of pedestrians injured or killed in collisions were elders age 65+



Bicycle High Injury Corridors Map, Vision Zero Action Plan

8

# Grand Street Today



- 2 Travel lanes (11')
- 2 Parking lanes (8')
- 2 Sidewalks (5-6')
- 2 Standard unprotected bike lanes (5')
- 2 Landscaping areas (6')
- Street is 48' wide (curb to curb)

9

# Corridor Study Goals - Updated

- **Improve safety for all consistent with recently approved Active Transportation Plan and other policy goals**
  - People walking, bicycling and driving, and youth, seniors and those with disabilities
- **Design for the full length of Grand Street corridor from Shore Line to Clement**
  - Conditions vary over corridor's 20 blocks
- **Consider the full width of the public right-of-way, including sidewalks**
  - Not just the street from curb-to-curb
- **Consider costs and funding**
  - Don't lose the \$827,000 in grant funds due to delays, and balance costs & benefits
- **Consider phased construction over time**
  - Deliver project in phases (similar to Cross Alameda Trail implementation)
  - Important to move quickly to address safety concerns

10



## Previous action vs current recommendations

	Council-Approved Design (Nov 2022), also recommended by Transportation Commission	Staff Recommendations (June 2023)
Full Corridor	Pedestrian crossing improvements, ADA improvements	Pedestrian crossing improvements, ADA improvements
Segment A: Shoreline to Otis	2-way bikeway on east side next to Wood School	<b>2-way bikeway</b> on east side next to Wood School. <i>Begin construction 2024.</i>
Segment B: Otis to Encinal	1-way parking/bollard-protected bikeways on each side of street	<b>2-way bikeway</b> on east side of street. <i>Use local funds to begin construction 2025.</i>
Segment C: Encinal to Clement	TBD with further study	<b>2-way bikeway</b> on east side of street. <i>Seek grant funds to begin construction by 2030.</i>


## Segment A: Shore Line to Otis 2-way bikeway on east side



- Approved by Council in 2022
- Parking/bollard-protected, on east side of street, next to Wood School
- Fully funded using \$827,000 grant funding (+ local funds)
- Will be ready for construction in 2024
- Strong community support
- No changes

13

## Segments B and C: Otis to Clement Raised 2-way Bikeway on east side (Alt #1)



- Moves curb 11 ft. to create 2-way raised bikeway on east side of Grand
- Street width curb to curb is reduced from 48' to 37' wide
- Parking on both sides, at curb

14



## Why Alternative #1?

- Creates continuous 2-way bikeway for full Grand St corridor
- Allows people biking to pass one another while riding in same direction
- Allows children to ride side-by-side on way to/from school
- Protects people biking with curb separation between parked cars and bikeway
- Intersection improvements will reduce conflicts between turning motorists and bicyclists:
  - Signalized: Add bike-only signals to provide a separate phase for people bicycling and walking
  - Un-signalized: Raise bikeway through intersections
- Less striping and plastic bollards
- Parking is at curbs, not floating
- Less parking loss (5% to 15% total reduction)

### Community input:

- General consensus that Alt 1 is the best option
- Some concerns about safety of a two-way bikeway at driveways and intersections





### 3 other options

- Council-Approved Design: 1-way parking/bollard-protected bikeways on each side of street
- Alternative #2: 1-way raised bikeways on each side of street
- Alternative #3: Enhanced raised 1-way bikeway

## Council-Approved Design: 1-way parking/bollard-protected bikeways



- Bikeways on both sides of street, protected by parked cars or bollards
- Parking for half blocks only, on each side of street (“chicane”)
- 60-75% parking loss
- More frequent driveways in Segment C, so more parking impacts than Segment B

19


## Alternative #2: Raised 1-way Bikeways



- Moves curbs 6' on both sides of street, for 1-way raised bikeway on each side of street
- Street is reduced from 48' to 36' wide
- Narrowest bikeways and curb-to curb width of all Alternatives
- More expensive than Council-Approved and Alternative #1

20

## Alternative #3: Enhanced Raised 1-way Bikeways



- Moves curb 5' on each side of street, reducing street from 48' to 38' wide
- Moves all utilities and replaces mature trees to allow for 1-way bikeways next to sidewalks
- Most expensive and takes longest to build of all alternatives

21

## Recommendation

- Endorse preferred Design Concept for the Grand Street Safety Improvement Project for a **continuous Two-Way Bikeway from Shore Line Drive to Clement Avenue**
- *Staff are recommending to Council that local funds be used so that construction can begin in 2024 for Segments A and 2025 for Segment B*
- Next Step: City Council Meeting – July 18

[www.alamedaca.gov/grand](http://www.alamedaca.gov/grand)

22

# EXTRA SLIDES

23

## Cost Comparison

Design	Cost Estimate	Increase over Council-Approved design
<b>Council-Approved Design</b>		
Segment A: Shore Line to Otis - Fully funded with grant	\$ 1,500,000	
Segment B: Otis to Encinal	\$ 2,970,000	
Segment C: Encinal to Clement	\$ 4,080,000	
Total (Segments B+C)	<b>\$ 7,050,000</b>	
<b>Alternative #1: Raised 2-way bikeway</b>		
Segment B: Otis to Encinal	\$ 5,610,000	\$ 2,640,000
Segment C: Encinal to Clement	\$ 7,720,000	\$ 3,640,000
Total (Segments B+C)	<b>\$ 13,330,000</b>	\$ 6,280,000
<b>Alternative #2: Raised 1-way bikeways</b>		
Segment B: Otis to Encinal	\$ 6,880,000	\$ 3,910,000
Segment C: Encinal to Clement	\$ 9,690,000	\$ 5,610,000
Total (Segments B+C)	<b>\$ 16,570,000</b>	\$ 9,520,000
<b>Alternative #3: Enhanced raised 1-way bikeways</b>		
Total (Segments B+C)	<b>\$ 24,370,000</b>	\$ 17,320,000

Costs estimates are total costs: construction, design, construction management, escalation, and contingencies.

24

## Parking Comparison

Design	Percent of Existing Parking Removed
Council-Approved	60-70%
Alternative #1: Raised 2-way bikeway	5-15%
Alternative #2: Raised 1-way bikeways	10-30%
Alternative #3: Enhanced raised 1-way bikeways	10-30%

*Ranges are estimates, and are primarily based on amount of red curb added at driveways, to be determined based on site conditions, best practices and safety.*

25

RW42

## Implementation Timing Comparison

Design	Estimated Year to Begin Construction
Council-Approved	Segments A and B in 2024 Segment C in 2026 (grant funds needed)
Alternative #1: Raised 2-way bikeway	Segment A in 2024 <b>Segment B in 2025 (if all local funds); in 2026-27 (if grant funds)</b> Segment C by 2030 (grant funds needed)
Alternative #2: Raised 1-way bikeways	Segment A in 2024 <b>Segment B in 2025 (if all local funds); in 2026-27 (if grant funds)</b> Segment C by 2030 (grant funds needed)
Alternative #3: Enhanced raised 1-way bikeways	Segment A in 2024 <b>Segment B in 2028-29 (with grant funds)</b> Segment C by 2030 (grant funds needed)

*Timing based on estimates of availability of, and success in securing, grant funds.*

26

## Slide 26

---

**RW42** Clarify, that implementation (sooner) in staff report is based on LOCAL funds.

Rochelle Wheeler, 6/12/2023

# Alternatives are similar in many ways

	Council Approved Design	Alternative 1 <i>(Recommended)</i>	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
2 travel lanes	✓	✓	✓	✓
Pedestrian improvements	✓	✓	✓	✓
Low stress, separated bike lanes	✓	✓	✓	✓
Bikeway raised to sidewalk level		✓	✓	✓
Auto parking on both sides of street, at the curbs		✓	✓	✓
Curb to curb street width narrowed		✓	✓	✓

27