Draft 1.0 August 11, 2014

Dear Editor:

Recently the Alameda Home Team (AHT) invited the Developer of the Del Monte Building to make a public presentation to the community on the proposal and take questions. This development is so very important to Alameda! Situated at one of the most desirable waterfront properties on the island, the Del Monte Building is one of our few historic island icons. It has also become a long-languishing eyesore.

Revitalization of Alameda's Northern Waterfront, includes the Del Monte Building, and was included in the City's 2007 General Plan. Tim Lewis Communities proposes renew the Del Monte realizing the City's vision of connecting neighborhoods to the water, improving the street network, and revitalizing these former industrial areas. They also will make a significant contribution to the Jean Sweeney lineal park. This win-win development is way overdue!

Under the City's Housing Element of the General Plan, the Del Monte Warehouse site is one of few properties rezoned in 2012 to allow multi-family housing. Tim Lewis Communities is proposing 308 units in the warehouse, and up to 106 units on two adjacent parcels on Sherman Street. Importantly, the plan calls for 55 of the units to be affordable rentals, a commitment that supports stable homes of lower income residents and contributing to the diverse economy and vibrant neighborhood.

The Developer is working with the City to create a transportation plan for the entire Northern Waterfront that would provide /facilitate the use of alternative modes of transportation.

The community members attending the presentation, expressed enthusiasm for the plan, and the revitalization of Del Monte which will provide much-needed housing for all incomes, about 20,000 square-feet of retail space, make helpful changes in transit

Exhibit 7 Item 7-A, 9/22/14 Planning Board Meeting alternatives, launch the Sweeney Park and initiate the revitalization of the Northern Waterfront.

The AHT will be urging the Planning Board and City Council to move swiftly on this highly beneficial project.

Helen Sause President, Alameda Home Team

816 Grand StreetAlameda, CA 94501510-521-3940

Andrew THOMAS - Approve Del Monte plan

To:	"Diane Lichtenstein" <dlooo@comcast.net> <'dania@hbrino.com'>, <stang@alamedaca.gov>, <kkoster@alamedaca.gov>, <l 8/19/2014 4:21 PM</l </kkoster@alamedaca.gov></stang@alamedaca.gov></dlooo@comcast.net>
	Approve Del Monte plan <athomas@ci.alameda.ca.us>, "'Helen Sause''' <h@hsause.com>, "'Patricia Y</h@hsause.com></athomas@ci.alameda.ca.us>

As a member of the Alameda Home Team and a person with Alameda interests, I strongly recommend the approval of Tim Lewis Community's Del Monte project. I believe he completed project will become a key component to revitalize the western end of the island. With homes of all types and for all incomes, rentals, commercial, office anne retail the project will be a cornerstone, on a cornerstone corner! The creative, exciting design incorporates both Historic and City requirements.

I am disappointed that the very low and low units are planned for a separate building; this is contrary to the concept of integration of all types of units; I'm told the separation is requisite to receive financing for affordable housing, but I wonder if there isn't another way. In any case, I urge you to approve this project.

Sincerely, Diane Lichtenstein

Andrew THOMAS - Del Monte Building Project

From:	Catherine Edwards <caseyedwards@icloud.com></caseyedwards@icloud.com>
To:	<athomas@alamedaca.gov></athomas@alamedaca.gov>
Date:	8/31/2014 3:06 PM
Subject:	Del Monte Building Project

Dear Mr. Thomas,

I am writing regarding the Del Monte Building proposal.

I originally wanted to send this e-mail to the Planning Board: Present Burton, Vice President Henneberry and Council members Alvarez-Morroni, Tang, White, Koster and Zuppan. I was not able to find e-mail addresses for them on the City of Alameda website. I would appreciate it if you would forward a copy of my e-mail to them for me.

As a longtime Alameda resident (since 1982) I have watched the development of this project with great interest. I am thrilled that this development is going forward and that the exterior of the building will be maintained. I also welcome the new homes and commercial opportunities.

My concern with the project centers on parking. The proposed number of parking spaces does not seem at all sufficient for the new homes and businesses that will be added. Please consider requiring the final developer to increase the number of parking spaces.

Thank you,

Catherine Edwards



www.bikewalkalameda.org

(510)595-4690

PO BOX 2732

ALAMEDA, CA 94501

September 3, 2014

Planning Board Alameda, CA 94501

RE: Del Monte Warehouse Master Plan

Bike Walk Alameda is very supportive of the transportation elements of the Del Monte development. The bicycling and walking infrastructure planned is in line with Alameda's Transportation Element and the desire to promote biking and walking.

The cycle track/ protected bikeway along Clement Ave is a key component of the Cross Alameda Trail. The resident bike parking will also be important in securing some residents' main mode of transportation.

We are hopeful that the Transportation Demand Management plan will be robust and include water service and shuttle services that are coordinated with other developments along the north and west of Alameda.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lucy Gigli, President Bike Walk Alameda

From:AC Glaser <ac.glaser@gmail.com>To:<athomas@alamedaca.gov>Date:9/5/2014 10:34 AM

September 5, 2014

Dear Editor:

As a longtime resident of Alameda's "Bronze Coast," I'm watching the plans for the Del Monte Warehouse with great interest.

I believe that thoughtful, multi-use development of this historic parcel will breathe new life into an area of the island that's been underused for far too long.

As a landlord and resident of the island for 40 years, I know that if you give Alamedans attractive places to call home, while providing desirable, accessible services and amenities, like walkable retail sorely needed in the middle of the island, people will want to live and play here.

I welcome this kind of progressive new development in my neighborhood and am excited at the prospect of having a new waterfront destination just steps from my house.

Carole Glaser

Alameda Resident

Andrew THOMAS - Plans for Del Monte Warehouse

13	
From:	Vicki Sedlack <vsedlack@gmail.com></vsedlack@gmail.com>
To:	<athomas@alamedaca.gov>, david burton <dburton@burtonarchitect.com>, Dan</dburton@burtonarchitect.com></athomas@alamedaca.gov>
Date:	9/8/2014 4:30 PM
Subject:	Plans for Del Monte Warehouse
Sectorementaries classes and following or therefore wells admin	

September 8, 2014

Dear Mr. Thomas and Members of the Alameda Planning Board,

My husband and I rode our bikes around the Del Monte building last weekend and again admired the rustic industrial charm of the former warehouse. I have always wished this building could be brought back to life again. Now it looks like this could finally happen thanks to the possibilities presented in Tim Lewis Communities' plans.

For nearly 50 years, Alamedans have watched with puzzlement as this historic site, once a bustling center for canning and distribution of Del Monte goods, has sat mostly vacant, shut away behind a cyclone fence.

The Tim Lewis Communities' plans for the Del Monte space maintain its eclectic facade and include a mix of housing, retail, restaurants and open space. The design supports walkability and a variety of transportation options, lessening reliance on the automobile and supporting environmental sustainability. The plans include improved waterfront accessibility for all Alamedans and contribute to the development of the Jean Sweeney Park.

I look forward to learning more about the revitalization of this iconic building and the entire Alameda northern waterfront. It's about time this "diamond in the rough" become a real gem for Alameda.

Vicki Sedlack

1950 Kofman Pkwy

Alameda, CA 94502

510-865-5254

Vicki Sedlack vsedlack@gmail.com



2014 Board Members

MICHAEL McDONOUGH President Principal Financial Group

ROBERT CULLMANN Vice President Eon Technologies

PHIL HOLT Vice President Sunbelt Business Sales & Acquisitions

KARI THOMPSON Treasurer First Community Bank

LESLIE CAMERON Bay Ship & Yacht Co.

GREG CHAN East Bay MUD

JOYCE GUY Every Second Count

JOHN HAN Ambassador Chair Synergy HomeCare

MICHELLE MOROS Cardinal Point

LOUISE NAKADA Alameda Hospital

KATHLEEN WOULFE BSA Alameda Council

CARRIE WRIGHT Alameda County Industries

DEBBIE POTTER Ex Officio City of Alameda

MARK SORENSEN Executive Director

HEIDI BENSON Marketing Director September 9, 2014

Dear Andrew Thomas:

The Alameda Chamber of Commerce supports the direction the City is taking toward revitalizing Alameda's Northern Waterfront and is particularly excited about Tim Lewis Communities' plans for the Del Monte Warehouse.

While all of the details for the project have yet to be worked out, the Chamber is encouraged by the Master Plan for this now underutilized, publicly-inaccessible land. The proposal can only mean economic opportunity for the island. Attractive, modern mixed-use development will bring necessary housing and walk able retail, translating to jobs and tax revenue for the City.

Importantly, the developer and the City have addressed concerns over traffic and parking through a comprehensive Northern Waterfront Transportation Plan. The plan is sensitive to the needs of adjacent property owners and creates a viable and sustainable Transportation Program to offset parking demand, pollution and emissions, and single occupant vehicle (SOV) traffic on Alameda corridors, tubes and bridges. This is one of the most thoughtful transportation programs that has been proposed in years!

The Chamber looks forward to plans to bring new life to Alameda's estuary.

Sincerely,

Mark Sorensen

Mark Sorensen Executive Director Alameda Chamber of Commerce

Alameda Chamber of Commerce 2210-D South Shore Drive • Alameda, California 94501 Phone: (510) 522-0414 • Fax (510) 522-7677 E-mail: <u>connect@alamedachamber.com</u> • Web Site: www.alamedachamber.com September 9, 2014

Stuart Rickard 981 Park Street Alameda, CA

Planning Board City of Alameda 2263 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, CA

RE: Hearing regarding Del Monte proposal September 22, 2014

Dear Members of the Planning Board,

Please accept these questions and comments regarding the Del Monte master plan proposal.

- 1. Paseo Usability
 - a. Please request definition what days/times the paseo passageway through the Del Monte building is open to the public
 - b. The configuration of the paseo needs to be amenable to public use. It should be of adequate width, without awkward stairs/ramps. The public shouldn't feel they are intruding on private space.
- 2. At Clement Ave, can the bike line be on the sidewalk side with parallel parking next to the traffic lane? I think this is a safer configuration and doesn't use more space.
- 3. What street trees will be chosen along Buena Vista? This location will have significant wind exposure and, it appears, bioswale requirements. The Master Street Tree Plan has some trees that may not be well-suited to this location, and other trees that are better suited may not be on the Master Street Tree Plan. Will the developer consider a pattern of tree species as opposed to a single species? As an example, on Park Street, the new street tree pattern that has been installed, with community input, is nicer than the monoculture gingkoes that were proposed in the Master Street Tree Plan.
- 4. Under what circumstances would a pedestrian signal at Benton and Buena Vista not be required? Same question for Benton and Clement.
- 5. Does the curb cut on Buena Vista near Sherman meet standard requirements for distance from an intersection?
- 6. Some of the parallel stalls on public streets are labeled "compact." I don't think it makes sense to have compact stalls in a parallel parking public street situation.

- 7. Wind River land what occurs if the Wind River piece is not obtained? This is not defined in the set of drawings available to the public. What is the appropriate way to consider a development proposal in which a portion of the land is not under the control of the applicant?
- 8. Design of canopy and fences. The existing brick façade and windows have very high aesthetic value. There is a wonderful rhythm and hierarchy to these components and the materials are durable and of high quality. The skill of the architect and the quality of the materials allows this huge warehouse building actually to be beautiful. Please do not allow the application of new canopies and fences to ruin the beauty of the building.
- 9. Visual study from Buena Vista. The long arc of the façade when viewed from the east on Buena Vista is wonderful. It's a landmark when traveling along Buena Vista. There is a rendering of the drawing from Buena Vista, but the view point is quite close to the building, which masks the view of the raised portion of the building. It would be desirable to see a rendering from farther east on Buena Vista.
- 10. Parking
 - a. Unbundling. Because street parking is an option for residents of the project, unbundling will not have a strong deterrent effect on vehicle ownership by the residents. In fact, the higher the unbundled parking price, the more the parking demand is likely to be shifted to the neighborhood street parking.
 - Adequacy. Please review and analyze the parking demand for the project. It should be possible to estimate the parking demand by surveying similar projects in Alameda.
- 11. What happens at the west end of the site? What is the massing and what is the parking concept?
- 12. Master plan. What parts of the master plan are required for the Del Monte renovation? There are rights included in the master plan that don't relate to the Del Monte renovation that has been proposed. For example, there are requirements for minimum development that would apply to the land even if the Del Monte renovation did not proceed.

Thank you for your service to our community.

Sincerely,

Stuart Rickard

From:<barbkerr@mindspring.com>To:<ATHOMAS@ALAMEDACA.GOV>Date:9/9/2014 2:46 PMSubject:COMMRNTS FOR THE PLANNING BOARD MEEING ON DEL MONTE

DENSITY OF LOW INCOME HOUSING

State law prohibits concentration of subsidized housing. The proposals for density, not including Alameda Point, are all on the north side of the island.

VEHICLE ACCESS

The Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) states that vehicle access will be from I-880 through the Webster Tube. The tube is already backed up.

FORMER USE

The original site use was housing, not industrial. That there were subsequent industrial uses should not ignore the protection of the residential areas that abut the site.

PROJECT BOUNDARIES

The MND does not include any mention of the area immrdiately west of the project area. This historic district is zoned R2. It was subdivided in the late 1899's, before the Del Monte building was erected. It needs to be protected.

PARKS

The use of the existing Littlejohn Park to satisfy the open space requirement is inexcusable. When I was on the council, the addition of park area was mandatory for this development.

PARKING STICKERS

Do not preclude the use of parking stickers in the adjacent neighborhood. Although there has been some opposition by people who do not know the facts, including cost. about the stickers, everyone I have heard from are in favor. Without them, the aforementioned neighborhood would become a nightmare.

Barbara Kerr

Barbara Kerr barbkerr@mindspring.com 510-522-0126 September 9, 2014

Dr. Mr. Thomas and Members of the Planning Board:

As a concerned citizen, I want a Del Monte project that will benefit the entire community. But, there are a number of unresolved issues which are likely to be a problem for the community and the neighborhood and the development.

1. Parking and traffic are the most important issues for the neighbors and the community. Since we are an island, we are not like other communities of the same size. The fact that there are only four bridges and a tube to leave or enter the island makes the possibility of unbearable congestion at those points a real issue for everyone.

2. I think that there should be at least one parking spot per each unit. Residences should be encourages to use ride-share, public transportation or other means, but not be penalized if they elect to use their automobile.

3. I feel that the developer should be required to decide whether the units will be rental units or will be sold prior to confirmation of the developer.

4. I also feel that the developer should make a contribution to support and maintain the extra wear and tear on neighborhood facilities that the new development may affect. Such as Littlejohn Park, which is already a very busy and highly use park, fund to do additional maintenance may not be available since the Alameda Recreation and Parks Department is strapped for fund currently, maintenance will become a large issue.

Thank you for your consideration.

Evelyn Kennedy 1420 Court Street Alameda, CA 94501

Andrew THOMAS - Del Monte

From:Arthur Lenhardt <lenhardta@gmail.com>To:<athomas@alamedaca.gov>Date:9/9/2014 1:14 PMSubject:Del Monte

9 September 2014

City of Alameda Planning Board

Attn: Andrew Thomas, City Planner

Mr. Thomas and Members of the Planning Board:

When we first moved to Alameda in 1968 it was for several reasons: Schools, housing, public transportation, and general ambience. We thought it would be great to raise our children here, and we were right.

Part of the attraction was, of course, from the older homes and from the industrial areas that would become, we felt, re-vitalized or replaced. When I first saw the Del Monte warehouse I thought: Wow, this will be great when it's fixed up!

46 years later, I still think it would be great, but I just don't know what has taken so long. This Tim Lewis outfit seems to have had some background with this exact sort of building and their proposal is for housing and commercial space, all of which would be a boon to a blighted area. From what I can tell, traffic would be minimally impacted. They're even going to follow through with honoring Jean Sweeney's legacy.

So, I can only encourage the City to allow the company to proceed apace, bringing jobs, new faces, and making the view from Littlejohn/Buena Vista Park a good deal more appealing and, frankly, making the general area safer, particularly for children. We shouldn't have to wait another 46 years.

Sincerely

Arthur Ramirez Lenhardt

1321 Weber St.

94501-3943

510-331-0631

Andrew THOMAS - Del Monte project

From: To:	Pacita Dimacali <pdimacali@gmail.com> <athomas@alamedaca.gov></athomas@alamedaca.gov></pdimacali@gmail.com>
Date:	9/10/2014 11:23 AM
Subject:	Del Monte project

Dear Mr. Thomas and Members of the Planning Board:

I am one of several Alameda residents who support a sensible, viable Del Monte project that will benefit our community. The Del Monte project, as it was presented, raised several concerns, and are not acceptable.

Our concerns are about a number of significant, unresolved issues which are likely to have irreversible, negative consequences for the community in general and adjoining neighborhood in specific. We are hoping that the following actions should be required in order for the Del Monte development approval to be viable

 Require at least one permanent, off-street/on-site parking spot per housing unit. On a side note, has TLC considered stacked parking like many new projects in Emeryville, Oakland and San Jose are doing?
See <u>http://www.bizjournals.com/eastbay/stories/2008/06/30/focus4.html?page=all</u>

2. Require that the developer make a contribution to support and maintain the extra wear-and-tear on neighborhood facilities that the new development will bring.

a. Littlejohn Park is a highly used park: BBQ spots are booked almost every weekend, ball fields are in constant use and many youth sports teams practice and hold tournaments in this park

b. Current APR budget is barely sufficient to cover the cost of annual park maintenance.

4. Request an alternative design with a mix of sale and rental units, with more units large enough for singles and families to "grow" into the next stage of life.

The success of the Del Monte development relies on moving ahead with prudence and risk mitigation planning. The City of Alameda and her residents must not be left to live with these and pay for these consequences - especially when some simple actions can be taken to mitigate those risks. With these requirements in place, Del Monte is better positioned to be a successful project for everyone.

Sincerely,

Pacita C. Dimacali REALTOR, e-PRO, SRES, CDPE, MBA Relocation Specialist * Residential & Income Property * Distressed Property CalBRE #01367196 510 205 2992 Cell 510 550-7977 E-fax

Pacita@PacitaRealtor.comEmailhttp://activerain.com/blogs/pacitaBlog

Alain Pinel Realtors http://www.apr.com/pdimacali Website

Member of Team Ranked by the Wall Street Journal in 2012 and 2013 as one of the most successful real estate teams in the nation

September 9, 2014

Dear Mr. Thomas and Members of the Planning Board:

I support a sensible, viable Del Monte project that will benefit our community. However, there are a number of significant, unresolved issues which are likely to have irreversible, negative consequences for the community in general and adjoining neighborhood in specific. I believe that the following actions should be required in order for the Del Monte development approval to move ahead:

- 1. Require that at least one permanent, off-street/on-site parking spot per housing unit be included
 - a. Commuting patterns and distance of essential goods and services make it clear that residents will need at least one vehicle, even if they do not use personal cars to get work.
 - b. City code currently does not allow for street parking to count in meeting the required amount of parking for a housing unit.
- 2. Require that Tim Lewis Communities add "pending Clement completion," interim, on-site parking which meets current Alameda residential parking space ratio requirements.
 - a. There is no way to reduce traffic in general and truck traffic in specific on Buena Vista until Clement Avenue can be completed all the way through from Park St., as per the Master Plan. Until truck traffic can be moved to Clement, extra parking spaces cannot be added to BV
 - b. While TLC is relying on future Del Monte resident's use of a car share program in lieu of car ownership, the success of this approach has not been demonstrated in a city similar to Alameda
- 3. Require that the developer make a contribution to support and maintain the extra wear-and-tear on neighborhood facilities that the new development will bring.
 - a. Littlejohn Park is a highly used park: BBQ spots are booked almost every weekend, ball fields are in constant use and many youth sports teams practice in this park
 - b. Current APR budget is barely sufficient to cover the cost of annual park maintenance.
- 4. Request an alternative design for the Del Monte site that includes all housing units (market rate and affordable) within the property Tim Lewis Communities has in its possession at the time permits are issued and building is allowed to commence
 - a. While it may be in the City's best financial interest to provide the parcel of land for required affordable housing units in exchange for TLC's purchase of Wind River property and completion of Clement, the timeline for this to be completed is uncertain.
- 5. Request an alternative design with a mix of sale and rental units, with more units large enough for singles and families to "grow" into the next stage of life.

- Attracting residents who are interested in living quarters conducive to "settling in" and becoming part of their town/community can be a stabilizing force against rapid turnover and building neglect in an economic downturn
- b. As residents reach new life stages, the living options in Del Monte should include larger units that accommodate growing families who will become part of the fabric of this amazing and unique community

The success of the Del Monte development relies on moving ahead with prudence and risk mitigation planning. The City of Alameda and her residents must not be left to live with these and pay for these consequences - especially when some simple actions can be taken to mitigate those risks. With these requirements in place, Del Monte is better positioned to be a successful project for everyone.

Sincerely,

Genther Rittle 1626 MOETON STREET Alameda, CA 94501 heather-little@senecacenter.org

Andrew THOMAS - Del Monte Project

From:Miska Kazda <mschaos@gmail.com>To:<AThomas@alamedaca.gov>Date:9/9/2014 10:57 AMSubject:Del Monte Project

Dear Mr. Thomas and Members of the Planning Board:

I support a sensible, viable Del Monte project that will benefit our community. However, there are a number of significant, unresolved issues which are likely to have irreversible, negative consequences for the community in general and adjoining neighborhood in specific. I believe that the following actions should be required in order for the Del Monte development approval to move ahead:

1. Require that at least one permanent, off-street/on-site parking spot per housing unit be included

a. Commuting patterns and distance of essential goods and services make it clear that residents will need at least one vehicle, even if they do not use personal cars to get work.

b. City code currently does not allow for street parking to count in meeting the required amount of parking for a housing unit.

2. Require that Tim Lewis Communities add "pending Clement completion," interim, on-site parking which meets current Alameda residential parking space ratio requirements.

a. There is no way to reduce traffic in general and truck traffic in specific on Buena Vista until Clement Avenue can be completed all the way through from Park St., as per the Master Plan. Until truck traffic can be moved to Clement, extra parking spaces cannot be added to BV

b. While TLC is relying on future Del Monte resident's use of a car share program in lieu of car ownership, the success of this approach has not been demonstrated in a city similar to Alameda

3. Require that the developer make a contribution to support and maintain the extra wear-and-tear on neighborhood facilities that the new development will bring.

a. Littlejohn Park is a highly used park: BBQ spots are booked almost every weekend, ball fields are in constant use and many youth sports teams practice in this park

b. Current APR budget is barely sufficient to cover the cost of annual park maintenance.

4. Request an alternative design for the Del Monte site that includes all housing units (market rate and affordable) within the property Tim Lewis Communities has in its possession at the time permits are issued and building is allowed to commence

a. While it may be in the City's best financial interest to provide the parcel of land for required affordable housing units in exchange for TLC's purchase of Wind River property and completion of Clement, the timeline for this to be completed is uncertain.

5. Request an alternative design with a mix of sale and rental units, with more units large enough for singles and families to "grow" into the next stage of life.

a. Attracting residents who are interested in living quarters conducive to "settling in" and becoming part of their town/community can be a stabilizing force against rapid turnover and building neglect in an economic downturn

b. As residents reach new life stages, the living options in Del Monte should include larger units that accommodate growing families who will become part of the fabric of this amazing and unique community

The success of the Del Monte development relies on moving ahead with prudence and risk mitigation planning. The City of Alameda and her residents must not be left to live with these and pay for these consequences - especially when some simple actions can be taken to mitigate those risks. With these requirements in place, Del Monte is better positioned to be a successful project for everyone.

Sincerely,

-

Michala Kazda 1718 Jay Street

Andrew THOMAS - Letter to the Planning Board re: Del Monte

From:Denise Cicuto <dcicuto@gmail.com>To:<AThomas@alamedaca.gov>Date:9/9/2014 11:29 AMSubject:Letter to the Planning Board re: Del Monte

Dear Mr. Thomas,

I suggest the following actions before the Planning Board can recommend approval of the TLC development plan for Del Monte:

1. Require that at least one permanent, off-street/on-site parking spot per housing unit be included

a. Commuting patterns and distance of essential goods and services make it clear that residents will need at least one vehicle, even if they do not use personal cars to get work.

b. City code currently does not allow for street parking to count in meeting the required amount of parking for a housing unit.

2. Require that Tim Lewis Communities add "pending Clement completion," interim, on-site parking which meets current Alameda residential parking space ratio requirements.

a. There is no way to reduce traffic in general and truck traffic in specific on Buena Vista until Clement Avenue can be completed all the way through from Park St., as per the Master Plan. Until truck traffic can be moved to Clement, extra parking spaces cannot be added to BV

b. While TLC is relying on future Del Monte resident's use of a car share program in lieu of car ownership, the success of this approach has not been demonstrated in a city similar to Alameda

3. Require that the developer make a contribution to support and maintain the extra wear-and-tear on neighborhood facilities that the new development will bring.

a. Littlejohn Park is a highly used park: BBQ spots are booked almost every weekend, ball fields are in constant use and many youth sports teams practice in this park

b. Current APR budget is barely sufficient to cover the cost of annual park maintenance.

4. Request an alternative design for the Del Monte site that includes all housing units (market rate and affordable) within the property Tim Lewis Communities has in its possession at the time permits are issued and building is allowed to commence

a. While it may be in the City's best financial interest to provide the parcel of land for required affordable housing units in exchange for TLC's purchase of Wind River property and completion of Clement, the timeline for this to be completed is uncertain.

5. Request an alternative design with a mix of sale and rental units, with more units large enough for singles and families to "grow" into

Sincerely, Denise Cicuto 1510 Pacific Ave, Alameda

Denise Cicuto, L.Ac. 415.404.6109 http://www.cicutoacupuncture.com Follow me on: <u>Twitter</u> Facebook yelp

Andrew THOMAS	- PRO Del Monte comment	from a RENTER
---------------	-------------------------	---------------

From:Brian McGuire <brianrmcguire@gmail.com>To:<AThomas@alamedaca.gov>Date:9/10/2014 3:58 PMSubject:PRO Del Monte comment from a RENTER

Mr. Thomas and Planning Board members:

I want to encourage you to not lose sight of the big picture when considering the Del Monte proposals. Half of this island's population are renters, and we are acutely aware of the failures of the region to add an appropriate amount of housing stock over the years.

Some of us understand that the city is required to add 'x' number of new units. While the most noise gets made by those who wish they could just say no to any new development, there is a silent majority who need to be considered as well. Those most effected by the housing crunch are least likely to have the RAM to stay up to date on development proposals, and time to speak out at public meetings. They are simply trying to get by any way possible.

Since we have to add units, the question is not whether, but how. Many Alamedans understand, and many more who don't will appreciate the end result anyway, that using smart planning principles is the only responsible way to go. Too much parking will simply encourage more private car ownership and more trips per day. In addition, it will kill our chance at having a robust, successful suite of alternative transportation options.

All, this, to say nothing of the benefit to the community of an amazing adaptive re-use of this landmark structure.

Unbundled parking, less spaces per unit, robust FUNDING/BUY-IN from residents for alternatives, this is the way to go. Not every unit needs to accommodate every possible type of user (families vs. singles vs. seniors, etc), diversity of housing stock is a feature, not a bug.

I don't believe the adjacent neighborhood's street parking will be significantly effected. Regardless, if it were, there are solutions to this potential problem IF it arises. One of which is to acknowledge that there is not god-given right to free, taxpayer funded, unlimited car storage within spitting distance of your front door.

Get it done!

Brian McGuire 1621 Emerson Terrace Alameda, CA 94501

September 10, 2014

Members of the Planning Board and Mr. Thomas:

I support a sensible, viable Del Monte project that will benefit our community. However, there are a number of significant, unresolved issues which are likely to have irreversible, negative consequences for the community in general and adjoining neighborhood in specific. I believe that the following actions should be required in order for the Del Monte development approval to move ahead:

- 1. Require a complete redesign of the Del Monte structure. While history is being preserved and the Secretary of Interior Standards may be met, the inside with the large wooden beams will be destroyed. Current design concepts of the Del Monte structure remodel is what I would call a bad looking attempt at Bay Street Emeryville. At least that is how the renderings showed at the community workshop at Mastic and then again at the early summer Planning Board Workshop. The renderings from Tim Lewis Community Partners looked like a metal structure sticking up and out of a renovated Del Monte façade. I don't believe that is what Alameda wants to look like, since our neighboring city of Emeryville already emulated that look.
- 2. Two million dollars for Jean Sweeney Open Space Park isn't enough. At a minimum, Littlejohn Park should get an entire facelift. If you have seen how active that park is with weekend rentals, weekday use, soccer and baseball practice (and games), ARPD programs and drop-in community use, that park must get some much needed attention and infrastructure upgrades. Park trees are dying and falling on neighbors fences, the pathways are cracking, the turf is old, the building is deteriorating and the playground equipment will need to be replaced soon. I see no plans for improvement to that park throughout these development discussions. Along with improvements to Littlejohn, I believe the developers should create another park within the development as well to ease some of the use Littlejohn gets now.
- 3. Absolutely require less density for this project. Yes, I know the density is triggering all the water taxi funding and talk and the dreams that everyone will use this transportation system. Alameda Point has a maximum build out of approximately 1400 homes in about a 700 acre area of the city. The Del Monte property (and surrounding areas) which is approximately 20-30 acres, is proposing about the same build out of homes. This story is not really being told. 400 or so at Del Monte, 700 behind Del Monte and another 100 or so to the east of Del Monte (property already being graded). To put the same population in this small parcel of land is absurd and should be reconsidered.
- 4. Require that at least one permanent, off-site parking spot per housing unit be included
 - a. Commuting patterns and distance of essential goods and services make it clear that residents will need at least one vehicle, even if they do not use personal cars to get work.

- b. City code currently does not allow for street parking to count in meeting the required amount of parking for a housing unit.
- 5. Require that Tim Lewis Communities add "pending Clement completion," interim, on-site parking which meets current Alameda residential parking space ratio requirements.
 - a. There is no way to reduce traffic in general and truck traffic in specific on Buena Vista until Clement Avenue can be completed all the way through from Park St., as per the Master Plan. Until truck traffic can be moved to Clement; extra parking spaces cannot be added to Buena Vista Avenue.
 - b. While TLC is relying on future Del Monte resident's use of a car share program in lieu of car ownership, the success of this approach has not been demonstrated in a city similar to Alameda.
- 6. Request an alternative design for the Del Monte site that includes all housing units (market rate and affordable) within the property Tim Lewis Communities has in its possession at the time permits are issued and building is allowed to commence.
 - a. While it may be in the City's best financial interest to provide the parcel of land for required affordable housing units in exchange for TLC's purchase of Wind River property and completion of Clement, the timeline for this to be completed is uncertain.
- 7. Request an alternative design with a mix of sale and rental units, with more units large enough for singles and families to "grow" into the next stage of life.
 - a. Attracting residents who are interested in living quarters conducive to "settling in" and becoming part of their community can be a stabilizing force against rapid turnover and building neglect in an economic downturn.
 - b. As residents reach new life stages, the living options in Del Monte should include larger units that accommodate growing families who will become part of the fabric of this amazing and unique community.
- 8. Lastly, not sure why, but this project seems to be on the fast track for approvals. Had residents not shown up to Mastic in such numbers and then again at the early summer Planning Board meeting, the project likely would have already been approved. Only because of this community "push-back" is the process taking a little longer. I'm saddened to see the process being rushed through and respectfully ask the Planning Board to require Tim Lewis Community Partners to redesign a development with less density. As a neighbor, one that sees the Del Monte building every day, I'd like to see something done, just not so much density. The water taxi idea is a pipedream for most and will be used by a select few.

The success of the Del Monte development relies on moving ahead with prudence and risk mitigation planning. City of Alameda residents must not be left to live with these and pay for these consequences - especially when some simple actions can be taken to mitigate the risks. With these requirements in place, Del Monte is better positioned to be a successful project for everyone.

Sincerely, Jay Ingram, Del Monte neighbor

From:	Tracy O'Shea <tioshea@comcast.net></tioshea@comcast.net>
To:	"AThomas@alamedaca.gov" <athomas@alamedaca.gov></athomas@alamedaca.gov>
Date:	9/10/2014 7:24 PM
Subject:	Del Monte development

Dear Mr. Thomas,

The purpose of this letter is to express our strong concerns regarding the proposed Del Monte development. As a resident, we have concerns about traffic and parking. We live on a crowded street a block and a half away from Del Monte. It's already difficult to find parking on our street; I'm afraid the development's current plan of one car per unit will negatively affect our parking situation. Since the construction on Buena Vista began, there has been an increase in traffic on Pacific Avenue, our street. With more cars coming into these developments (Del Monte and others), I'm afraid traffic will get worse.

I'm also concerned with the plan's decision to separate the affordable housing from the market rate housing. This offends me. TLC is getting a density bonus for offering affordable housing; it should be included in the development that gets the benefit of that bonus, not segregated away.

I've lived in Alameda for over 20 years. I've always felt that Alameda does it right. If something needed fixing, from bike lanes to emergency phone calls, it got fixed. There was a logical, thoughtful approach to life in Alameda. I'm afraid I don't feel that way now. In fact, I am worried that these decisions regarding development are not thoughtful or logical and I fear their impact on the city I've grown to love and call home. I would like to see these developments put on hold until they too can be addressed with the thoughtfulness they deserve.

Sincerely,

Tracy O'Shea

1135 Pacific Avenue

Sent from my iPad

From:	Debra Arbuckle <zebra4@icloud.com></zebra4@icloud.com>
To:	Andrew THOMAS <athomas@alamedaca.gov></athomas@alamedaca.gov>
Date:	9/10/2014 10:06 PM
Subject:	Neighborhood objections to the Delmonte Project

To the members of The Planning Board,

I write you on behalf of myself and several of my elderly neighbors who do not use computers and are to frail to make it to a Planning meeting. We all have lived in the LittleJohn Park area for many, many years.

1. The project is to dense for the adjoining neighborhood. It is not at all similar to the adjoining neighborhood, either in size or planned demographic. We are very involved neighbor oriented neighborhood and want to see our families enjoy this for years to come.

2. There is a major issue with the deficient number of parking places per unit. There needs to be a minimum of one parking place tied to each unit on the Delmonte site. The parking plan doesn't take into account the full impact of 400 plus units, their friends and family or sufficient retail parking, and the effect on surrounding streets. Even if they do reduce traffic as they propose by 10 % that still leaves the other 90% as an increase in traffic, for parking, on the roads , and through the tunnel.

3. Then we have the issue of Little John park, this is a very heavily used park and that makes for some tight parking problems at times and a lot of wear and tear on the actual park. If there are not enough parking places on the Delmonte site this will spill right on to Buena Vista and streets surrounding and make use of the park impossible.

4. We have a complaint with the EIR relying on Clement being a through street and not being able to accomplish this. They say they are working to get the Wind River end of Clement to happen ,but we all know the Pennzoil portion of the Clement solution is not going to happen any time soon. So what good is a plan and EIR that depend on the connecting of Atlantic through to Clement and Grand when this is not a fact. Clement absolutely needs to be done as a requirement to to build. We need another EIR based on the the actual roads open to traffic. If Clement doesn't go through then extra on site parking will be needed at minimum.

5. If our understanding is correct all the units will not be fully integrated into the the Delmonte building proper. We want to see a mix of market rate and low income units mixed together, not separated.

Thank you for your time and attention, Debra Arbuckle 1505 Pacific St.

Sent from my iPad

September 10, 2014

Dear Mr. Thomas,

On behalf of PLAN! Alameda, I want to reiterate our support for moving ahead with a sensible, viable Del Monte project with modifications that will benefit and mitigate risk to our community. We appreciate the time that your office (you, in particular) and Mike O'Hare of Tim Lewis Communities (TLC) have spent meeting with some of our core team and discussing community concerns. While we have a much greater understanding of your goals and thought process, there remain a number of significant, unresolved issues which are likely to have irreversible, negative consequences for the community in general and adjoining neighborhood in specific. Until these are issues are addressed, we cannot support any decisions to grant approval for TLC to move forward with the Del Monte development.

Fundamentally, the goal of reducing personal car use and single-occupancy vehicle trips is one that most people support. The disconnect is in the City & TLC's attempt to prematurely implement a "TOD" type model with a large development. We have much to learn about how TOD can work in a city like Alameda; the fact that no one has been able to provide a successful working example of a city similar enough for us to model ourselves after emphasizes that we are not ready for some of the suggested actions in the current Del Monte plan.

The 2012 MTC Smart Growth Technical Model contains many great ideas which, at this point, remain ideas. Many of the strategies recommended can't be applied to Alameda: reducing parking in downtown/non-residential areas does not translate to the current plans for the Northern Waterfront, let alone Del Monte. Even the strategies for unbundled parking are positioned as a component of livable, walkable neighborhoods, and also come with employer-sponsored transportation. We do not have the employer bases of San Francisco and Berkeley, the examples in this document. Other good examples include cities giving residents cash incentives for giving up their cars. One misapplication of this document to the Northern Waterfront is in the approach to reducing parking spaces. This model discusses "minimum parking requirements" as detrimental in the context of large amounts of space dedicated to *unused parking*. This is one problem that Alameda residential neighborhoods do not have. All the zoning in the world does not change the fact that the Northern Waterfront area, and the Del Monte/Littlejohn neighborhood in particular, are definitely residential and most definitely not drowning in a sea of unused street parking. (See the attached "Littlejohn Street Parking" Powerpoint document).

Picking and choosing the elements that appear feasible for Alameda at this point in time is not a way to bring TOD to Alameda. The idea of TOD is a worthy goal, one that our city leaders should consider making a community-wide initiative. But we just aren't near to being ready.

The path forward with the Del Monte development requires that the city accept the reality and challenges we have in terms of transportation, traffic, parking and community demographics. The only thing ready to be "unbundled" at this point is the attempt to mash some elements of the MTC Model into this large development project as quickly as possible.

Another source of great anxiety is the impact of this development on our parks and community culture. We have become known as **the** place to come and raise a family. Yet, the developer's stated, target demographic is early career young adults who have been "priced out of the city and are willing to have roommates to split large rents." The size and number of smaller units in the Del Monte plan are not conducive to encouraging young adults to stay and grow into their next stages of life as part of the rich community we are known for. Although there is the expectation that "Encinal Terminal" may be more amenable to growing families, it is not clear that the developer is committed to moving forward with that project, nor does the neighborhood support another large development in this location. This underscores the need for the Del Monte building to be designed as a place that residents will see as their long-term home, in their town of Alameda.

A"win-win" Del Monte development enhances and embraces the community and culture of Alameda. "Doing our part" to address the Bay Area housing shortage does not mean building a "culturally separate" mini-city on the estuary.

Towards that end, we feel that the following actions are necessary and must be required in order for the Del Monte development approval to move ahead:

- 1. Require that at least one permanent, off-street/on-site parking spot per housing unit be included
 - a. Commuting patterns and distance of essential goods and services make it clear that residents will need at least one vehicle, even if they do not use personal cars to get work.
 - b. City code currently does not allow for street parking to count in meeting the required amount of parking for a housing unit.
 - c. Relying on "car share" availability to provide sufficient alternative transportation to personal car ownership is unproven; the stated "maximum number of 2 car share vehicles" has not been explained.
- 2. Require that Tim Lewis Communities add "pending Clement completion," interim, on-site parking spaces, sufficient to bring the total number to meet current Alameda residential parking space ratio requirements.
 - a. There is no way to reduce traffic in general and truck traffic in specific on Buena Vista until Clement Avenue can be completed all the way through from Park St., as per the Master Plan. Until truck traffic can be moved to Clement, extra parking spaces cannot be added to BV
 - b. While TLC is relying on future Del Monte resident's use of a car share program in lieu of car ownership, the success of this approach has not been demonstrated in a city similar to Alameda

- 3. Require that the developer make a contribution to support and maintain the extra wear-and-tear on neighborhood facilities that the new development will bring.
 - a. Littlejohn Park is a highly used park: BBQ spots are booked almost every weekend, ball fields are in constant use and many youth sports teams practice in this park
 - b. Current APR budget is barely sufficient to cover the cost of annual park maintenance.
- 4. Request an alternative design for the Del Monte site that includes all housing units (market rate and affordable) within the property Tim Lewis Communities has in its possession at the time permits are issued and building is allowed to commence
 - a. While it may be in the City's best financial interest to provide the parcel of land for required affordable housing units in exchange for TLC's purchase of Wind River property and completion of Clement, the timeline for this to be completed is uncertain.
- 5. Request an alternative design with a mix of sale and rental units, with more units large enough for singles and families to "grow" into the next stage of life.
 - Attracting residents who are interested in living quarters conducive to "settling in" and becoming part of their town/community can be a stabilizing force against rapid turnover and building neglect in an economic downturn
 - b. As residents reach new life stages, the living options in Del Monte should include larger units that accommodate growing families who will become part of the fabric of this amazing and unique community

Finally, there are some high-stakes questions, beyond the control of TLC or any one Developer, that need answers before a large-scale development can commence Regardless of the "Day One Shuttle" there is no way around the certainty of increased traffic in town and the immediate neighborhoods. Since a certain "critical mass" of population density must be reached before public transportation can be added, what happens "in between?" With the "public transportation" focused on commuters leaving town to go to work, how will the city support and encourage patronizing of small local businesses? Scenario planning and the detailed timeline must be developed and widely shared; piece-meal creation of a transportation management association, run by developers, is not acceptable

The implementation and building of the Del Monte development will set the tone for additional development along the Northern Waterfront. We look forward to continued dialogue and the difference that community input can make.

Sincerely, Alison Greene Pacific Ave

From:	Page Tomblin <page.tomblin@gmail.com></page.tomblin@gmail.com>
To:	"athomas@alamedaca.gov" <athomas@alamedaca.gov></athomas@alamedaca.gov>
Date:	9/11/2014 9:45 PM
Subject:	del monte bldg

Hi

There needs to be a plan for parking and traffic before a development can be built there. I have yet to hear one single resident support this new development. Page Tomblin

8

19th 7:30 MASTIK

We the undersigned, Alameda neighbors impacted by the proposed development of the Del Monte warehouse on Buena Vista and Sherman, want to advise the Alameda City Council and the Alameda Planning Board members that we are absolutely and unanimously against any proposal acceptance for this project that does not include enough parking spaces to support the number of persons residing in the structure or patronizing the businesses on site. We will not accept the condition of issuing parking passes to those already residing in the neighborhood in order to mitigate the stress of additional vehicles that the project would create. Please consider the below signatures as testimony to our collective opposition. Thank you.

Name	Address	Phone Contact	Email Contact
1 Heather little	1626 MORTON ST	415760 1647	heather_little Seneca center.org
2 Shoron Phillips	1623 Sherman St	510 390 3886	Shayphurray Ogmail.com
3 James Morry	1623 Sherman Freet	510.522.4222	jamesmurrayy @ gmail com
4 Mark Liffe	1626 Morten St.	415.583.9798	
5 Denise Cicuto	1510 Pacific Ave	510725-2860	
6 GUEVEPHP Wells	15/6 Shorwan	650-984-05	
7 EVAN DEMKO	1612 Sherman St.	415 - 343- 5863	Lusklighte hotmail.com
8 MEGAN DENKO	1612 GERMAN ST	415-343-5863	turklight@hotwail.com
9 Steve Ramos	1608 Shesman St.	510-523-1706	SSMZi@col.com
10 Florence Jaquier	1622 Sherman St.	650 713 9593	florence. provind smaller.
11 Stephen Te):11	1310 Pacific Aux	510-390-945	5 Swill \$ \$ \$ pochell, not
12 Scott Conkins	1630 Sherman St ApTA	510 205-579	teegraph@me.com
13 Isabelle Burgess-Cord	ins 1630 Shermanstap	A 510 521 050	3 corkins@comcost.net
1452 Opstion On LOACSA	Ne 1312 DACIFIC AVE	510 521-8932	SECONSTIANC OALDASSONALS.
15 MEQUESTEN.	1420 PACIFIC AVE	521-1356	SIWAST 1977
16 mary Solelo	letz Morton St.	510 523-6614	sofelomary@aol.com
17 Mathias V. GAREL	1420 MORTON ST.		Λ
18 Jay Chyran	1319 PACIFIL AVE (510)55 7.0365	ji 2 ingrame ADL. UpM
19 BIMUS SPEW	1612 MORTON ST.	510-523-664	
20 RONFOQUETTE	1621 MORTON ST.	510-523-594	ron-barbert. NET
21 Barray Norman F.	XQUETY 1421 NOTTO	St. 523-691	b 11
22 Sarah Coombs		510 995 6950	coombs515@yahoo.com
23 GARY MCKEPZE	2 1414 PACIFIC AVE	510 735-1881	garycmckenzie gyaha.com
24 Sharpon Marwell	452 Haight Are	619-895-5001	marcy meet the hotmail. com
25 David Maxmell	452 Haight Ave	40% 375 2550	

Name	Address	Phone Contact	Email Contact
26 JOHN MICHMER KYOLD	1821 CHAPIN St.	(915) 724-6055	JMKYONO Q RURMEDA VICTORIANS. CON
27 Zack Burnside	1606 morton St		Zacks, Deburns@ phas Gry
28 Pacita Dimacali	1414 A Pacific	510.205-2992	- Dolimacali equail.ci
29 Evelyn Kennar	1420 COURT ST	510-504-5612	evelyno evely kinnedy. c
30 GRAYSON	1574 Pacific Ade	510207-0222	Shayson Popac bell. Ne
31 EDDLE C FARALES	1625 MORTON ST	(310) 523-5887	fordese @ gmail.com
32 teo Fargier	1635 MORTON ST	(c) 5235887	
33 Prisoille Fanalel	LOAT MORTON ST	370 523-588	
34 Ban P. Royas	1629 MOPTON ST.	510-769-648	2
35 Editor T. Reych	1629 MORTON St.	510-769-68	sr —
36 GINA RIVA	The Pacificane	6 323-877-	9670 griva822 gmail.co
37 Nicole Van Alstyne	0 0 10	5127898974	Ni cole vanalstyne ayahoo .c
38 MATT VAN Alstyne	910 PACIFIC AVE	-	<u> </u>
39 Kut MADU	1524 Nonta st	521-9970	~
40 Culi Mardel	1524 Montarst	571-9970	-
41 Lisa Holt	1002 Benton st.	(914)(015-200)	lisaholt 09@gmail.com
42 Arthur Gold	1617 Benton St.	510-521-024	2
43 MILL RED BINDAN	1419 LNOUN AND.	510846.3935	mubonan 1 @ yahoo. com.
44 RAP BON CAN	1419 LIMVAN AR	51083096	
45 Catherine faule	1417 Lincoln Ave	510 769-1469	apauling Deskeley . ede
46 Joshug Rodrigel	10/15 LincolnAue	510 2398824	Estrevilta@gmail.com
47 HERBERT SAUTER	1413 UNCOW AVE	5/0-712-7801	KANKAT JAVION YATIDO
48 John Jana	1411 lingth Are	90-863-1615	atenekeroz Cyalco. Con
49 Ferdiguer 10 the	1319 Lincoln Ave.		edjanitorial Damail com
50 EVi - Perdby verva	1319 Lincoln Ave.	510.865-7132	futurehstry@gmail.com
51 Lindsong Perdiquerra	1319 Lincoln Ale.		lindsaymayette@gmail.on
52 Bob WILLSON	1622 MORTON ST		hobo bobwillson.com
0	1622 MORTON ST	510 2058691	Susan. wrigley picloud. con
54 Caura LeGense	1611 Motor St	50390-220	Irloganx Eyaho, com
55 Roud Lelian	1611 Monton St		Enaid Egans By alow con
56 Reverly Le Gaux	1611 Monton St.		bKoKsol@yahoo.com

, ŀ

Name	Address	Phone Contact	Email Contact
57 Condido Dina	1525#A Marton St	J23-0490	Candido Diwa Q y Ahoo.con
58 ACBORT J. STEPAN	525 MORTON ST.	4	-
59 Dom gillit	1108 PACIFICATE		9. Vitte Juni C. com
60 APRILC. TAYLON	IIIS PACIFICAVE		2philesuzannestaling.com
61 Barbare Thomas	1223 9454		barban themes esq @ ancest, n
62 Reyla Gre	bee 178 Basins	De Way	rey/29raberatal con
63KARI 14 LUCAS	1	523 6054	Karin Lucaszhotmarl.co.
64 DOUG OCHAAN	1319 PACIFIC	523 3712	DCZ DOVG @AOL, COM
65 Tran Oshen	1135 Pacific Ave	846-3615	Eloshea@Concact.net
66/ AUXENCE BOAG	-	305143169	LMDOHG CYHHOD. Com
67 R. T. CUADUM	1719 Bay St	510 521.330"	orionilla Qyahoo.com
68 OE CLOREN	1045 TAhiti		JojoCloren & Comcas
69 Nate Martis	1504 Verdi	415-205-4563	NATMARTIND 6MAIL COM
70 Jennifer Evans	1504 Verdi	410-279-8260	Jenjackson 24 gmail. com
71Rosemany Villalpando	1523 PACIFIC Are.		Roomary Vio Dyahos , con
72 ANITA Longocia	1523 PACIFIC LAVE	510205-4572	anita-longnia Qyahoo. con
73 ZAC MUTRUX	1613 BENTON ST APTI		ZMUTRUX Equail.com
74 Randy Villapando	1523 pacific AUR	370-938-0058	+andyvillapando@yahoo-icon
75 Gail Howell	1514 Verdi	510-522-8084	
76			
77			
78			
79			
80			
81			
82			
83			
84			
85			
86			
87			

s

,

We the undersigned, Alameda neighbors impacted by the proposed development of the Del Monte warehouse on Buena Vista and Sherman, want to advise the Alameda City Council and the Alameda Planning Board members that we are absolutely and unanimously against any proposal acceptance for this project that does not include enough parking spaces to support the number of persons residing in the structure or patronizing the businesses on site. We will not accept the condition of issuing parking passes to those already residing in the neighborhood in order to mitigate the stress of additional vehicles that the project would create. Please consider the below signatures as testimony to our collective opposition. Thank you.

Name	Address	Phone Contact	Email Contact
Λ			
1 Hizon Grien	BOS PAULAL	120	augreene @ MSN.con
2 DANAI LAMB	1525 PACIFIC	510 865-0204	danai@lamb.net
3 Candy Dewat	1028 Biena Vista	510 5233239	Candy dewitter comas. not
4 ALDEWAR	1028 Buena Dista	510 5233239	Candelden, HO concest-out
5 Cindy Chang	1528 Pacific	408.656.	cynthiaschang Ogmail Co
·MadieEdWA	rds 1552 Bicena Visa	9697 510306 0256	
Trene Hase	1719 STANTON ST	510-522-446	0
* angersetman	1559 Better Ave		L-Geischnarto Yaho.
9 Matriai Mingi	1S62 Pacific Ar	510 522 1547	pminger@pachee.net
10 DODR Zely		510-874-3974	the vicedo nac. com
114 udey Gustifin	1598 PaciFX	415-516-425	Anby GustaFson @mst.
12 K Sherre BAW	1522/ Panfic Arens	,	
13 Lozetta Childe	1524 Parific Que:	522-0615	
14 Robert Chills	1524 Pacific Ave	522-0615	
15 Steil Clink	1524 Pacificane	521-7317	
16 Janet Hammord	1522 PACIFIC AUG	521-3450	
17 PL Hamel	1522 PACIFIC AVE	521-3-150	

5 Name Address **Phone Contact Email Contact** 1526 homan Con 18 ("MRIS Salinas ISE Pacific AVE 570-927-7107 CSalinas69@ Holmed. 1557 Pacific Are Ghin 510867-6542 19 8/1e 1eepsee@quail.co an LoopBon 1557 Reith Ave SIDS ZO-SORS Ian @ CoffeBon.com 20 1526 Pacific Ave 510-228.5638CIAGoidSpinoberghoo 27 DINCO 28 Charlese Maney 1526 Paritic Ave 510-865-3024 C Maney@peratta.edu laus 1530 Pacific and rivell 23 570adams daniely 278 3 - 3142 Inlu 1546 Cattin RF 1346 Pacifie bure 24 Anda Faria 50-523-15 25th flood M & Olman 1525 HINGlader th 570 - 748-0262 Michael 5 and sey 20022000 your litterando 26 Kounde SID-938-0058) andy illapander yahoo som 1523 Pacific que semandaller 1523 Pacific AVE 27-510.522-1809 1523 PacificAue. 1523 Backichue 28 510 522-1809 1523 Paintic Ace Derspare 103 Pacifii 29 510 572-1809 (523 Feature 1.ce 30 Michele Kengle 1718 SACY ST 570 764.431 Uitz Hondhad 3/1 510 52296441 31 510-522-2368 ZAKAPLON & YAHOD. CO. UK 32 ZACH KAPLAN 1518 BUENA VISTA AVE. 510-522-2832 33 SUZAN KAPLAN 1721 JAY ST. Murk l. lstigen 1714 Jay Street 510 522 4284 Markestigor & comast ne 34 Ohi Eslegoy 17KH Days 570522-4284 35 570-333-9869 Mandon Ketwer Hibbord 611 petrich pearth lille, ut 36 6650 504 TRAID 37 2015C 510,522.6990 =10111 prother 153 pactwhile 05 38 1537 Partichue KNONK 512 - 487-5834 dense 39 40 Koty Komey 1517- tachtoff Hog-2103Katuli 1533 Pagph A 41 Benda Da fint 21() 248555 1533 Puche Ar 8317478377 (L

We the undersigned, Alameda neighbors impacted by the proposed development of the Del Monte warehouse on Buena Vista and Sherman, want to advise the Alameda City Council and the Alameda Planning Board members that we are absolutely and unanimously against any proposal acceptance for this project that does not include enough parking spaces to support the number of persons residing in the structure or patronizing the businesses on site. We will not accept the condition of issuing parking passes to those already residing in the neighborhood in order to mitigate the stress of additional vehicles that the project would create. Please consider the below signatures as testimony to our collective opposition. Thank you.

Name	Address	Phone Contact	Email Contact
1 Tim Falconen	1614 Sherman St	761-5297	
2 Adolfo (920	1627 Sherman St.	(A)	adolfolazopearthlink net
3 Michael Warden	1627 Shermonst #B		dylantour 1966 Eyzhoo com
4 Ellen Ferris	12/3 Pacific Ave	522-2568	ferris.jeffell@gmail.com
5 NEFF FERRIS	1213 PACIFIC AVE	522-2568	n u ne ne ne
6 Rice fell	1207 PACIFIC AL	326 6005	nphkoc spcgloble.ut
7 MILLE SCHERBLE	1201 PAURIE	B12 5757	MIKE . SLITERSVE · BLICONKARA
8 JANELL ALVAREZ	1611 SHERMAN ST. #4		DAKODA22@GMAIL.COM
9 Jeannie Belker	1715 BRY St.		5 bellau Aphbycon
10 Malin barron	1715 Bay 57		BARRON CARGENTOR O YALIOE
11 Shuree Velez	1209 Buenalista		Shereevelez@ Jahoo. Com Coz
			debbie, a, postlethoaiteBKP.
	1627 Sherman st		iskedosde ajahoo (
14 KENMILTER	1619 SHERMAN ST		Kennside q mail. con.
	1626 Sherman St		Leslie Cartero 1 & Concert re
16 jugue Stromberg	1626 Sherman 1	415.298.284	o tistromberg@quain
17 Michgel Johnson	1412 PARU ST.		Now mej Chotmailicom
		523-9465	jabucking hampatto Net
	1622 Shernon ST	832-231-0809	Seif. Athamneh@Gnaslic.
20 Susan Ramos	1628 Sherman St.	(510) 523-1706	SSNZi @ Aol, rom
21 WALTER EDWARDS		510-521-7563	TAD EDWARDS @
22 Dione mills	1826 Bay st	5107 526574	
23 Ton Milk	1826 BAYST	521-85	74
24) Laron Gardner	1064 San Antonio	865-2424	4
25 Lester labras	1319 versa, 1105 Au	521-6517	Caple 2 @ Comcast: wet

Addross	Phone Contact	Email Contact	1
			-
/ /	Market 197		
1319 paliticuse	453-1037	-	-
2780 Seaview	653-1165	-	-
DE 1860 NASON ST.	523-0216		_
1717 Joy 54	847-877-30	286	-
1518 Buena Ukta	522-2832	-	-
1729 Chapm	521-7783	cplanbdon@quai	L.con
1215 Acific Au	521-4924	John TP 77 Cool, Com	-
III Buenar statie	521-8669		-
1842 NasanSt	337-0707		-
131 Pacific Am	557-986	J.	
1827 Saint Charles St.	277-2653		-
1412 PARUST	869-1908		
2841 Van Buren 57.	522-0044	mavgaret m007 @comcast.m	et
1810 San Antonio Ave	523-1180	amyj330 yahoo.	m
		00 0	
alation of the constant of the second s			
			5
	2780 Seaview pe 1860 NASON ST. 1717 - Toy S./ 1518 Buena Vista 1729 Chapin 1215 Acific Bu 1215 Acific Bu 131 P Pacific Au	1709 BAY ST. 521-7365 1309 Pallticale 453-1037 2780 Seaview 653-1165 pe 1860 NASON ST. 523-0216 1717 - Toy S-1 847-673-30 1518 BUENAUKSTA 522-2832 1518 BUENAUKSTA 522-2832 1729 Chapin 521-7783 1215 Acific An 521-4924 ILL BUENALISTATVE 521-8669 1827 Saint Charles St. 277-2653 1412 PARC ST. 869-1908 2841 Van Buren ST. 522-0066	1709 ВАЧ 5Т. 521-7365 1319 Pallto Cave 453-1037 2780 Seaview 653-1165 pz 1860 MASON ST. 523-0216 1717 Joy 5-1 847-673-3081 1518 Buena Vista 522-2837 1729 Chapin 521-7783 cplanbdow Qma 1215 Acific Bun 521-4924 John TP77 Cool. Com 1111 Buena Vista Ave 521-869 1842 Nasa St 337 -0707 131 - Pacific Am 557-9861 1827 Snint Charles St. 277-2653 1412 PARCU ST 864-1908 2441 Van Buren ST. 522-0066 Maxgaret m007 Q concestin

T

We the undersigned, Alameda neighbors impacted by the proposed development of the Del Monte warehouse on Buena Vista and Sherman, want to advise the Alameda City Council and the Alameda Planning Board members that we are absolutely and unanimously against any proposal acceptance for this project that does not include enough parking spaces to support the number of persons residing in the structure or patronizing the businesses on site. We will not accept the condition of issuing parking passes to those already residing in the neighborhood in order to mitigate the stress of additional vehicles that the project would create. Please consider the below signatures as testimony to our collective opposition. Thank you.

Name	Address	Phone Contact	Email Contact
A 4 4	Audress		
1 Debra Arbuckle	1505 Pacfic	5228312	zelovil 4000 @AUL. Com
1Eddy 2 Wiedemeier	1504 Pacific	521-7394	Recomment @ aol. com
3 Wiedemeier	1504 Pacific	521-7394	Reament @ ash. con
4 RON GARLETS	1511 PACIFIC AUE	5107151645	RONGARUS@ YAHO, Com
5 Raymond - Paschke	1512 Pacific AV	115-740-003 6	Raymond . Paxiek & Apples Done
DAVID CLIFT	1514 PACIFIC AVE.		Delavie CLIET & GMAIL. CO.
7 NANCY GARLETS	1511 PACIFIC AUE	522-8923	
8 ELMER GARLETS	1511 PACIFIC AUE.	522-8923	
applie R. Avene	1710 Benton St	505 -2602	spikestrey hoors
10 Mars Stas	1725 B Paru St		marie. Si tarde ynhouron
	212 Y BUENAVISTA C	523-3776	
12 FUILSUbido	2124 Buend Vista C	1523 3770	
13 With Grand	1548 Verdist	5/0 - 303-4	szz Lugh Gron
14-) 1:04	(548 Violist	510 -303-4	szz glotzt.not
15 Willow	1502 Party	510-591-080	
16 Deckson Stinus	1501 Parichie	. ť	
17 Judith Hoff	1504 PACIFIC A	F 748 9196	shianesummer 1
			@ JUNO . COM

Name Address **Phone Contact Email Contact** 767 TAULOR 510 2 Az Amera 22 1540 Bibena Vista ave (925) 220444 Sitweisen ittle1066/attol, Com 18 DICHEGON 19 Keuin Osborns 726-7602 1540 BUGWAVISTA 510-725-5401 PAT LOPEL 7390 YAHOO. COM 20 1424 MORTON 570 521-1337 Kin 1 C Hammard 1522 Pacific Ace 510 521 348 22 Way Veinstock 310 Westline ADP 510 217 8205 23 1627 Service \$ \$102/3970 570 24 Karpart Bullo 960 Shorepoint of 510-326-25 chumachen 1811 Harvard Dr. (510)865-0953 26/ 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 й. 40 41

**

 \mathbf{r}^{-4}

We the undersigned, Alameda neighbors impacted by the proposed development of the Del Monte warehouse on Buena Vista and Sherman, want to advise the Alameda City Council and the Alameda Planning Board members that we are absolutely and unanimously against any proposal acceptance for this project that does not include enough parking spaces to support the number of persons residing in the structure or patronizing the businesses on site. We will not accept the condition of issuing parking passes to those already residing in the neighborhood in order to mitigate the stress of additional vehicles that the project would create. Please consider the below signatures as testimony to our collective opposition. Thank you.

Name	Address G Ave CUSO	Phone Contact	Email Contact	
1 Clarissa Charles	Ung Ridgen Dr.		reddorissa@gmai	.On
2 ENISE LAM	1013 PACITIC AVE		Raisinghelly provides	ual.c
3 Carol Gottstein	1114 Grand		carelgotts tel egahoo,	2
4 Brian Schunde	Kon 1811 Howard		belschumacher@cimail	. 201
	dan 1250 St. Cha	los	510 521-7165	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14	8		s. Se	
15	-			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

We the undersigned, Alameda neighbors impacted by the proposed development of the Del Monte warehouse on Buena Vista and Sherman, want to advise the Alameda City Council and the Alameda Planning Board members that we are absolutely and unanimously against any proposal acceptance for this project that does not include enough parking spaces to support the number of persons residing in the structure or patronizing the businesses on site. We will not accept the condition of issuing parking passes to those already residing in the neighborhood in order to mitigate the stress of additional vehicles that the project would create. Please consider the below signatures as testimony to our collective opposition. Thank you.

Name	Address	Phone Contact	Email Contact
26 Jin May	1018 Berton St. Ant. B. Alunda, CHIVE	415-867-4328	Kabarahek-Cyahoo, Con
27 Jubr Mart	1618 Benton St. A. HB Alamid a (# 9450)	415-867-4316	ambermerze hotmail.com
28	3253 Central Arc	510.593.3057	marivera 961 Ogmail. www
29	1124 HIGH ST.	415606-3548	Carnitas over exattor, con
30 auton	1124 HIGH ST.	415 819-2327	amelisagirl@yAhoo, com
31 Michael Strays	1504 Buena Vista	510-52(-326	y Straussom @gmel.com
32 Julie Strauss	1504 Buena Vista	531-3264	dedonre de gmail im
33 Eastin	1303 9th St	925 - 9125	direbet aral kommil
34 JOSUQ EMELIA	1713 Stanton St 44501	510 435 0650	apareen sen a gimail. con
35 Julipines Warker	1711 Stantin	(510) 522-0288	
36 Orm	1718 Arbor St 94801	5/0 521 4097	& Gridks Quahop. com
37	1525 Schiller & Apt B	(818)-854-134	Lindsy Franks Barnail.com
38		-	
39			
40			
41	9		
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47	-		×.
48		5	
49		2	
50	,		