From: Alameda Housing Providers

To: Mayor Spencer and City Council Members

Re: Proposed Rent Review Ordinance

Our preference would be "Ordinance B" exhibit, but with the provision that the following concerns would be addressed:

Section 6-56.5 – Trigger to be 10%. Understand that if less than 10%, a tenant can request conciliation. Important. - If a tenant avails of the Conciliation process and refuses to accept the Conciliators' recommendation then there would be no right of appeal to the Rent Board.

Section 6-56.7 - Encourage Tenant to first talk to Housing Provider. This should appear in wording of Landlords Notice to Tenant.

Section 6-56.10 - Omit the one year penalty. These borders on Rent Control. City Liability. Remember that the Housing Provider has to wait 30 or 60 days for re-notice and the tenant can again appeal.

2-23.2 - Mandating City Residency on Property Providers is absurd. He pays big Real Estate Taxes, Business License Fees, City Sales Taxes, Employment Taxes, etc. Yet he is denied a voice.

The Excessive 15% or more increase. (See attached)

Do something really Positive for Alameda Tenants. Step outside the box and address this very real issue.

Thank you, \

John Sullivan

510-538-4898

Submitted by John Sullivan Re: 6-6

# RENT INCREASES EXCEEDING 15%

Devastating to Tenants, to City and County Governments. Generally triggered by a sale of a property. Old longtime Owner perhaps allowed Rents to drop below Market.

New Buyers hit with County Tax Assessor raising taxes to match today's value. Examples:

- New Mortgage Interest Old Owner properly had no loans or very low loans.
- New City and County Transfer Taxes.

Sure the New Owners can easily justify the increase.

### So what can be done for the Tenants?

In Mediation the one factor that is always a relief to Tenants is EXTRA TIME.

Then Mandate double the Notice Time. 120 days in place of 60 days.

Tenants now have options. Sure of 4 months out old Rental Rates. Time to plan. Buy that house or Condo. Evaluate the Rental Market. Share the rent with someone else etc. Bottom line – there is time to plan.

## **HOW OFTEN DOES THIS HAPPEN?**

Too often. We have cases in all jurisdictions.

#### Alameda:

- A) Remember the case that started this discussion.
- B) A more recent case where an 18% to 20% was put forward.

## San Leandro:

Several

#### Castro Valley:

Two weeks ago 50 unit complex SOLD. Rents well under Market. To justify Sale Price and to secure financing – increases varying 20% to 30% went to Tenants, leaving then unprotected.

IMPORTANT: There are those who will say that this contradicts State Law "Special Circumstances" etc. to make it happen. It's worth fighting for.