## Exhibit 4

Item	Scenario 1: All Townhomes, No Workforce Housing	Scenario 2: 10% Workforce Units and Limited Single- Family	Scenario 3: 10% Workforce Units and No Single- Family	Scenario 4: All Remaining Units, No Single Family, and 45% Workforce Units
Single Family		24		
Town Home	208	156	180	107
Workforce		28	28	155
Moderate	25	25	25	29
Low/Very-Low Units <sup>1</sup>	44	44	44	52
TOTAL UNITS	277	277	277	343 <sup>2</sup>
Total Units less Collaborating Partner Units	233	233	233	291
Total Residual Value <sup>3</sup>	\$53,450,094	\$53,362,183	\$50,921,326	\$53,361,150
Infrastructure Cost	\$53,317,001	\$53,317,001	\$53,317,001	\$53,317,001
Net Residual Value per unit	\$133,093	\$45,182	-(2,395,675)	\$44,149
MEETS FEASIBILITY THRESHOLD	YES	YES	NO	YES

<sup>1.</sup> Low/Very Low Units provided by the Collaborating Partners Project assuming 267 units. The exact # of units provided will be determined in a RFQ process determined by the City Council.

<sup>2.</sup> Includes all remaining AP units within the 1,425-unit General Plan cap after assumed Collaborating Partners 267 units, Site A's 800 units, and 67 existing market rate units

<sup>3.</sup> Total residual value is the sales price multiplied by the number of units minus the total development and sales costs

Includes all remaining AP units within the 1,425-unit General Plan cap after assumed Collaborating Partners 267 units, Site A's 800 units, and 67 existing market rate units