

CITY AQUATICS FACILITY - PROS AND CONS OF POTENTIAL LOCATIONS

EXHIBIT 1

<i>Site</i>	<i>Parking, traffic and transportation</i>	<i>Nighttime use, lighting impacts and noise</i>	<i>Ability of site to accommodate min vs max</i>	<i>Cost and infrastructure/ difficulty</i>	<i>Time needed to complete the project</i>	<i>Ease of student use and geographic equity</i>	<i>Other special considerations</i>
Emma Hood	Parking Garage likely available weekdays. Good regional transportation.	Commercial District. Lighting already existing onsite. Limited nearby resident impact	Likely both (Splash zone unclear). Room to add multi-use building	Existing pool infrastructure (water, etc.)	Faster completion, but Emma Hood would have to close during construction	AHS Adjacent	Accommodates non-school daytime use. Bleachers, etc. become a tight fit.
Thompson Field	Build parking on-site. ½-hour transit connection (Line 19).	Current lights have been an issue (fall winter, 17 night games). Would be large increase in lights/noise and total use hours	Full accomodation	New meter needed, building parking is expensive	Would require rebuild of football field on new site before pool construction. But Emma Hood could stay open during pool construction	Walkable (football and soccer teams already do).	Football Field would relocate to Lum/Wood (shared w/ two HS). Less wind
Lum School	Build on-site parking, no transit		Full accomodation, may compete with other school district plans	Knock down school (est. \$500k)	Major considerations for potential AUSD plans and unknown timeline for decisions.	Not easily accessible	Competes with football field
Alameda Point Sports Complex	Lots of parking. No public transit.	No issues	Full accomodation	Conditioned on VA project for infrastructure.	Could get held up if further VA delays. Add 1+ year for master planning process for Sports Center	Not easily accessible	Away from all people

CITY AQUATICS FACILITY - PROS AND CONS OF POTENTIAL LOCATIONS

EXHIBIT 1

<i>Site</i>	<i>Parking, traffic and transportation</i>	<i>Nighttime use, lighting impacts and noise</i>	<i>Ability of site to accommodate min vs max</i>	<i>Cost and infrastructure/ difficulty</i>	<i>Time needed to complete the project</i>	<i>Ease of student use and geographic equity</i>	<i>Other special considerations</i>
Alameda Point AUSD 7 acres	Lots of parking, No transit.	No issues	Full accommodation	Conditioned on development for infrastructure.	Could get held up if further VA delays. Add 1+ year for master planning process for Sports Center	Not easily accessible	Away from all people
Alameda Point AUSD 15 acres	Lots of parking, No transit.	No issues	Full accommodation	Conditioned on development for infrastructure.	Could get held up if further VA delays. Add 1+ year for master planning process for Sports Center	Not easily accessible	Away from all people
Alameda Point Officers Pool	Lots of parking, No transit.	No issues	Full accommodation	Conditioned on development for infrastructure.	Could get held up if further VA delays. Add 1+ year for master planning process for Sports Center	Not easily accessible	Away from all people
West End of Sweeney Open Space	No parking (hard to provide more than already planned for park). Good regional transit.	New impacts for neighbors	Tight	Contingent on Park funding	New meter	Not easily accessible	JSOS planning process suggested pool and it was not selected by public
Godfrey Park	No Parking. No transit	New impact for neighbors	Full accommodation	Big public process needed	New meter	Not easily accessible	Heavy wind, loud at airport, competition with soccer and others

CITY AQUATICS FACILITY - PROS AND CONS OF POTENTIAL LOCATIONS

EXHIBIT 1

Lincoln Park	No parking, poor transit	New impact for neighbors	Accommodation reliant on large tree removal	100-year old tree removal	Existing meter	Not easily accessible	Could accommodate smaller space
HB Club	No parking, poor transit	New impact for neighbors	unclear	Remove tennis courts	Existing meter	Not Easily accessible	PPP, could we get pool time during Emma Hood Construction