PETITION FOR APPEAL

SF Alameda

Community Development • Planning & Building 2263 Santa Clara Ave., Rm. 190 Alameda, CA 94501-4477 alamedaca.gov 510.747.6800 • F: 510.865.4053 • TDD: 510.522.7538 Hours: 7:30 a.m.–3:30 p.m., M–Th

Please print clearly. This petition is hereby files as an appeal of the decision of the:

Mameda Planning B.	ogral	, which
(Community Development Director/Zoming Adm	ninistrator/Planning Board/Historica	I Advisory Board)
(Denied/Granted/Established Conditions)	building tootprint	for application
Design Review & De	velopment Plan	number
(Application Type)		(Application Number)
at 2900 Harber Bay 1	arkway	on5/28/19
(Street Address)		(Date of Action) '
State the reasons or justification for an appeal	(attach additional sheets if needed)):
SPP Q1	tached sheet.	

Appellant Freeport Phone: 5/C ian em Name: Address: emper @ Or Email:

Alameda Municipal Code (AMC) 30-25, Appeals and Calls for Review, provides that within ten (10) days a decision of the Community Development Director or Zoning Administrator may be appealed to the Planning Board, and decisions of the Planning Board or the Historical Advisory Board may be appealed to the City Council. In addition to the appeal process, decisions of the Community Development Director or Zoning Administrator may be called for review within ten (10) days to the Planning Board by the Planning Board or by the City Council and decisions of the Planning Board or the Historical Advisory may be called for review by the City Council or a member of the City Council.

Fees (must accompany this petition)

Single-Family or Duplex Residence: \$250 plus time and materials cost up to \$500, max \$750.

Multi-Family Residential, Commercial, or Non-Residential: \$350, plus time and materials costs up to \$2,500, max \$2,850

↓2,000 	6 - 5 - 19
Appellant Signature Brian Tremper Print name	Date
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	RECEIVED
Received by: Receipt No.:	574 Date Received Stamp
Revised 11/6/2013 G:\Comdev\Forms\Planning Applications\Petition for Appeal.docx	PERMIT CENTER ALAMEDA, CA 94501

Page 2 of 2

June 5, 2019 Appeal by Brian Tremper (Freeport H.O.A.):

State the reasons or justification for an appeal:

"The approval by the Planning Board of the architectural design and building footprint of the proposed Marriott Residence Inn on Bay Farm Island was based on incomplete design drawings which did not include an 400 + square foot area required by the Marriott Corporation for an employee breakroom and additional storage. The developer could not explain at the May 28th Planning Board meeting where this additional area would fit into the building footprint for which he was requesting final approval as all of the footprint area had been already been designated for their respective purposes. Despite this, the Planning Board approved these drawings as the "Final" Design. In addition, the City did not conduct, as promised at a February 16, 2019 Community meeting, iterative hotel design (and landscaping) working group meetings with the Developer and the Community in the development of the hotel architecture and building footprint approved at this meeting."