***** CAUTION:** This email message is coming from a non-City email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe. Please contact the Help Desk with any questions. *******

From: Christopher Buckley [mailto:cbuckleyaicp@att.net]
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2019 11:11 PM
To: 'Heather Coleman' <hcoleman@citycontext.net>
Cc: 'Allen Tai' <ATai@alamedaca.gov>; 'ANDREW THOMAS' <athomas@alamedaca.gov>; 'Henry Dong'
<HDong@alamedaca.gov>
Subject: RE: Objective Multifamily Design Review Standards - -Markups of existing documents and possible additional provisions (1 of 3)

Hi Heather,

J Tai

I am sending you the following materials:

- 1. Marked-up draft Objective Design Review Standards, including additional text and several illustrations;
- 2. Marked-up pages from the Webster Street Design Manual;
- 3. Marked-up pages from the Citywide Design Review Manual;
- 4. A proposed "Neighborhood Compatibility (Context)" section, based mostly on Oakland's Design Review Manual for One and Two Unit Residences, with various changes so it reads as objective standards and with a new architectural styles criterion that ties into the styles methodology in the Citywide Manual. I have drafted this so it applies only to residentially zoned areas, but it could be broadened to also apply to non-residential areas, including historic areas, such as Alameda Point and the traditional business districts.
- 5. Additional architectural standards that could be incorporated into the Draft Standards' "Building Mass and Articulation" section.

Since some of the file sizes for the attachments are large, I am sending this in three separate emails.

Most of the Webster Street and Citywide Manuel mark-ups identify provisions to consider for incorporation into the Draft Standards. Since SB 35 projects will likely, at least initially, be limited to new construction, I have identified only those provisions of the Webster Street and Citywide Manuals that are applicable to new

construction. Some of the indicated provisions should be wordsmithed to adequately read as "objective" standards. Please let me know if we should structure the Standards to also apply to additions and alterations.

There is some overlap between the Webster Street and Citywide Manual provisions and those of the Draft Standards. These provisions should be consolidated to provide a more succinct document. Alternatively, the Webster Street provisions might be treated as a special section of the Draft Standards, applicable only to Webster Street and supplemental to the Standards, similar to the existing relation between the Webster Street Manual and the Citywide Manual.

I have excluded provisions that either are difficult to express as objective standards, are better expressed in other documents, or might be appropriate as guidelines, but too limiting as standards, such as Webster Street Manual Criterion 2.3's restriction on sloped roofs.

The additional architectural standards (Item 5 in the above list) are mostly intended to promote good composition and proportions. There is also a provision that requires architectural detailing to be derived from existing buildings, to help ensure that the detailing is high-quality and does not look kitschy. Many architects and designers do not have a good understanding of how historic architecture works and will often apply detailing arbitrarily without considering its role articulating façade composition and proportions. What I have drafted for the additional architectural standards section could be more nuanced and more provisions could be added, but I thought it best to limit it as currently submitted to see if it is headed in the right direction.

I apologize for the crude illustrations. The intent is to show in a simplistic manner the application of certain standards. If any of the illustrations are considered useful, they could be refined into more presentable versions. Is there a graphics person available who could help do this? I can provide additional illustrations if you would like me to do so.

I have referred the attached materials to both the Alameda Architectural Preservation Society and the West Alameda Business Association for review, but have so far received only minimal (although favorable) feedback. A key AAPS member, who is also an architect, has been out of town and won't return until next week and has not yet commented.

After you have had a chance to review the materials we should probably get together to compare notes and discuss next steps. Alternatively, I could meet with you tomorrow (October 8) and walk you through the materials to help you get oriented. Please let me know your preference. If you would like to meet tomorrow, afternoon works best for me.

I am including Henry Dong in this email distribution. Allen originally thought that Henry could help guide this project while Allen is out of the office, but then reconsidered, since Henry's workload is already very heavy. However, I thought it would be good to include Henry in at least this email so that he is in the loop.

Chris

Christopher Buckley, AICP City Planning Consulting 1017 San Antonio Avenue Alameda , California 94501 Phone: (510) 523-0411 Fax: (510) 523-1039 Email: <u>cbuckleyAICP@att.net</u>

From: Christopher Buckley [mailto:cbuckleyaicp@att.net] Sent: Tuesday, October 1, 2019 7:01 PM COMBINE WITH VARIOUS SECTIONS OF 10/4/M WEBSTER STREET DESIGN MANNAL CITTUIDE DESIGN RENTEN MANNAE MAD GUIDE TO RESIDENTIAL DESIGN. STEE MANNED-UP SIELECTIONS PRIM THESE DECUMENTS.

Objective Design Review Standards for Multi-family Residential Development

APPLICABILITY

The Objective Design Review Standards apply to buildings that contain three or more residential units. They also apply to groups of three or more attached townhomes (row houses), regardless of whether multiple townhomes occupy the same lot or each townhome is located on a separate lot. The standards also apply to mixed-use buildings that include multi-family residential units.

All development must comply with the Zoning Ordinance (Alameda Municipal Code Chapter XXX, Development Regulations). The Objective Design Review Standards supplement the development standards in the Zoning Ordinance and further the goals, policies, and actions of the General Plan, which encourage high quality design and the quality of life that an enhanced built environment fosters.

The Objective Design Review Standards serve as minimum requirements for multi-family residential development, as well as for mixed-use development that contains residential uses. The standards will be mandatory for any qualifying residential project for which a streamlined approval process is requested pursuant to state law provisions that reference objective design standards. For any developer of a qualifying project seeking exceptions to these standards, or any of the City's applicable design guidelines, the City's existing discretionary design review process is available.

STANDARDS

- **1.** STREET CONNECTIVITY.
 - A. Internal Connectivity. New streets must form a continuous vehicular and pedestrian network within the development.
 - **B. External Connectivity.** Streets within any proposed subdivision or development site shall be aligned with existing and planned streets in adjacent neighborhoods so as to create a continuous street pattern. All streets, alleys, and pedestrian pathways in any subdivision or development site shall connect to other streets and to existing and planned streets outside the proposed subdivision or development.
 - **C. Cul-de-sacs and Dead-end Streets.** Any cul-de-sac or other dead-end street longer than 300 feet shall be connected to other streets by a pedestrian path.
 - D. No Gates/Barriers. Automobile and pedestrian access points into multi-family residential developments shall not be gated or closed off to the public.

Exhibit 1 Item 7-D, September 9, 2019 Planning Board Meeting E. Block Length/Mid-Block Pedestrian Connections. Blocks shall not exceed 600 feet in length, measured from street centerline to street centerline, unless midblock pedestrian connections are provided at intervals of no more than 350 feet apart. Such pedestrian connections shall include a walkway at least 10 feet wide.

Corresponding existing design guidelines and policies on street connectivity:

- Alameda General Plan Transportation Element policies 4.1.1.j and 4.1.1.k;
- Alameda Point Town and Waterfront Precise Plan block design guidelines;
- Northern Waterfront General Plan Amendment Policy 10.6.a;
- NAS Alameda Community Reuse Plan Planning Guideline One.

2. PARKING LOCATION AND ACCESS.

- A. Parking Location. Off-street parking serving multi-family residential development shall be located in one of the following facilities:
 - i. Surface parking lots, garages, or carports located to the side or rear of residential buildings in relation to adjacent streets. (If a site fronts on two or more streets, the standard shall apply on the street with the highest classification in the General Plan. If a site fronts on two public streets of equal classification, the project applicant may determine on which frontage to meet the standard.)
 - ii. Garages with side entries, in which the face of the garage door is generally perpendicular to the fronting street.
 - iii. For row houses, individual garage doors that face the street but do not occupy more than 50 percent of the width of any street-facing building façade. Where this option is used, garages shall be set back at least five feet behind the front façade of the dwelling or the front of a covered porch.
 - Parking structures in which parking is located underground or the exterior facades are treated according to the standards of Section 4B, Façade Articulation, of these Objective Design Review Standards.
- B. Maximum Parking Frontage. The total width of parking areas visible from the street, including open parking, carports, and garages, but excluding underground parking and parking located behind buildings, may not exceed 30 percent of any street frontage. This limitation does not to frontages along alleys.
- **C.** Access to Parking. Curb cuts and driveways providing access to parking facilities shall be from an alley or secondary street, rather than from the principal street, wherever such alley or secondary access is feasible.

Objective Design Review Standards for Multi-family Residential Development

C. Vertical Articulation for Tall Buildings. In buildings of four or more stories, upper and lower stories shall be distinguished by incorporating one or more of the following features. These features may be applied to the transitions between any floors, except where otherwise specified.

REQUIREIN ALL CASSES EXCEPT ii. WHERE

located on an

Α.

i.

interiorside relevation

the front elever.

with a proted

projection with a

horizontal area

25-Eect

miniman

within B'st

- A change in façade materials, along with a change in plane at least one inch in depth at the transition between the two materials.
- A horizontal design feature such as a water table, belt course, or bellyband.
- iii. A base treatment at the ground floor consisting of a material such as stone, concrete masonry, or other material distinct from the remainder of the façade and projecting at least one inch from the wall surface of the remainder of the building.
- iv. Setting back the top floor(s) of the building at least five feet from the remainder of the façade.
- D. Façade Transparency/Limitation on Blank Walls. At least 20 percent of the area of each street-facing facade of a residential building (50 percent if located within the planning area of the Alameda Point Town and Waterfront Precise Plan) must consist of windows, doors, or other openings. No wall that faces a sidewalk, pedestrian walkway, or publicly accessible outdoor space shall run in a continuous plane of more than are feet without a window, door, or other opening.

Corresponding existing design guidelines and policies on building mass and articulation:

- Alameda Point Town and Waterfront Precise Plan, guidelines on bulk, massing, and façade and entry design;
- Citywide Design Review Manual guidelines on building articulation in 2.2.A
 Commercial Block, 2.2.B Workplace Commercial, 2.2.E Stacked Flats, 2.2.F
 Multiplex, 2.2.G Rowhouse, and 2.2.H Courtyard Housing.

BUILDING ORIENTATION AND ENTRIES.

Main Entry Orientation.

- (cither)
- A primary building entry shall face the street or be oriented to within 45 degrees of parallel to the street. Direct pedestrian access shall be provided between the public sidewalk and such primary building entry. Where a site is located on two or more public streets, the primary building entry shall be oriented toward the street with the highest classification in the General Plan. If a site fronts two public streets of equal classification, the project applicant may determine on which frontage to meet the standard.

CLARIFY LANGUAGES

ADD/DE DIAGRAM

PRAVIDE

delete?

Window Aleteral and Belall Stranger

ii.

Windows

15 motel

Shall either

bich word or

simulated word; or

in contormity with two buildings architectural style

as set Grather in

Sections 4.2. 1

of the City will)

Design Renning

mand and shall

6.

Conferm with the

dimensions

shown in

Figure la

ĆB.

C.

and 4.2.6

Conterminis with Standard 5. A. i. ababe.

Objective Design Review Standards for Multi-family Residential Development sorting of

anterie?

Actess

STAIRS

above

not

over 6¹

gradeshall

extend.

from a

street-

FACINE

wall,

elantims

Set breek

at lenst

1 Stafferrow

ctreet. facial

elevatin

and only if

In courtyard-style developments in which residential buildings are located in the interior of a block, entries may face interior courtyards, walkways, and paseos. However, those buildings or portions of buildings adjacent to the public street shall include a primary entry facing the street, with direct pedestrian access between the entry and the public sidewalk.

Dwelling Unit Access/Configuration of Entries to Units. Exterior entrances to residential units shall be in the form of individual or shared entrances at the ground floor of the building. Exterior entrances to individual units on upper floors are also permitted; several foorder to avoid a "motel-style" appearance, mexterior access corridor located above the ground floor and visible from the stream may provide access to four or more units, are permitted only on non Stract terring

Entry Area and Cover. Exterior entrances serving multiple units must have a roofed projection or recess with a minimum depth of five feet and a minimum horizontal area of 60 square feet. Exterior entrances serving a single unit must have a roofed projection or recess with a minimum depth of at least five feet and a minimum horizontal area of at least 25 feet.

Corresponding existing design guidelines and policies on building orientation and entries:

- Northern Waterfront General Plan Amendment Policy 10.8.d; .
- Citywide Design Review Manual guidelines in 2.2.E Stacked Flats, 2.2.F Multiplex, 2.2. G Rowhouse, 2.2. H Courtyard Housing, 3.2. C Formal Entry, 3.2. D
 - Stoop, and 3.2.E Frontvard;
- Guide to Residential Design, New Construction, Site Plan Considerations.

WINDOW DETAILS.

Window Recess. Windows must be recessed at least/three-guarters/(3/4) of an inch from the surrounding wall, measured from the exterior wall to the glass surface. Window surrounds shall not count toward the recess dimension.

- Divided Lites, Molling, Divided-lite windows, where utilized, may consist of B. true/full divided lites or simulated divided lites, in accord with the following standards: muntuis
 - Muntins or grids shall project at least three-eighths (3/8) of an inch from i. the glass surface.
 - Muntins or grids shall be used on both the exterior and interior of the ii. glass.
 - For simulated divided lites, spacers shall be used between panes. iii.

*= INCLUDE IN DEDECTIVE DESTAN REVIEW STAJUARUS All fulleline Massine and Reported

Traditional downtown streetscapes are characterized by a continuous street wall that creates a sense of enclosure and consistency in the building facades resulting in a sense of unity. CHANGE EVILLENCE TO STANDALS" IN ALL ILLUSTRATIONS. creates a sense of enclosure and consistency in the scale and proportion of the individual

Streetwall

(See Alameda General Plan policy 3.3.f)

- 1.1 Maintain continuous streetwall - avoid separations between structures.
 - Do not setback unless for important streetoriented activities such as.
 - Areas of intense outdoor activity (e.g. sidewalk cafes)
 - Entrances to alley ways, pedestrian thoroughfares, and important pedestrian entrances to buildings.

Building Width

- Building widths shall reflect traditional lot sizes. 1.3 Emphasize narrower, individual lot widths on facades if the building is located on assembled lots
- 1.4 Break up facades into smaller sections by design elements to mitigate the impact of a wide buildings. Use vertical articulation of architectural elements to reference narrow adjacent building widths.

Building Height

Limit building height to two or three stories. (40 foot maximum per City of Alameda Zoning 1.5 Ordinance). Use taller (two and three story) buildings to anchor corners and lower (one and two story) buildings mid-block.

buildings.

CHANDS "ENCORADED" 70 "PERMITTED" IN ALL ILUSTRATIONS.

Webster Street Design Manual Page 9

10/4/19

Guideline 1.2: Encouraged - Streetwall can be set back for important street oriented activities such as sidewalk cafes.



Guideline 1.4 (Encouraged) Newer building is divided into multiple "bays" to relate to neighboring

1.2

Building Massing and Proportions

Facade Composition

- 1.6 For multi-story buildings, differentiate the ground floor architecturally from the upper floors to create a visual base for the building.
- 1.7 Distinguish ground floors from upper floors by using such architectural elements as:
 - Belt cornice or entablature.
 - · Large storefront display windows on ground floors; smaller "punch-out" windows on upper floors.
 - · Change in materials.
- 1.8 Emphasize verticality by using vertical windows on upper stories.



Guidelines 1.7 and 1.8: Encouraged - "Punch-out" vertical windows on upper floors.

Articulate Corner Locations



Guidelines 1.6 and 1.7: Encouraged - Belt cornices, large ground floor windows vs. smaller upper floor windows, and changes in materials differentiate ground floors from upper floors.

X



Guideline 1.9: Encouraged - At street corners, provide upper floor wrap-around windows, turrets and corner entries.

On corner sites, provide prominant corner entrances, wrap-around windows, turrets, and other distinctive elements to emphasize the location and provide visual interest.

> Webster Street Design Manual Page 10

1.9

×

1

2.0 Roof and Cornice Lines

Roof edges are key components of the building facade. Richly detailed cornices typically embellish roof edges on older, historic buildings.



2.2 Place a parapet in front of flat roofs.

2.1

×

Guideline 2.1: Tile roof on a Mediterranean building

Guideline 2.2: Encouraged -Use parapets to conceal flat roofs.



- 2.3 Use sloped roofs only where appropriate, such as a pent (shed) roof above a cornice, or on towers, turrets, and other free-standing elements.
 - 2.4 Use cornices or similar moldings at the tops of building facades to clearly articulate the top of the building.
 - 2.5 Minimize the visibility of rooftop equipment by grouping all plumbing vents, ducts and rooftop mechanical equipment away from the public view.

Guidelines 2.3 and 2.4: Pent roof above cornice.

3.0 Surface Materials

A variety of building materials is essential to the diversity of the individual buildings which make up the street facade. Recognizing the materials used in the historical development of Webster Street is also integral in defining the character of the area. The materials employed, the quality of the finish material, their application, as well as the quantity, all determine the material's compatibility.

3.1 a. Use high-quality, durable materials that are easily maintained. Use traditional facade materials like smooth stucco, pressed brick, glazed tile, wood, terra cotta, and stone to provide a sense of continuity with the rest of Webster Street.

*

- b. Avoid synthetic-looking materials such as vinyl (plastic) or textured hardboard siding, or overly rustic materials such as rough sawn wood and rough stone.
- c. Also avoid aluminum and other metals. These materials seldom blend with traditional architecture and frequently are found in corroded condition on older buildings.
- 3.2 Limit surface materials, textures, and colors to a selection of 2-3 (excluding windows, awning, and canopies.) Select surface materials, textures, etc., that are compatible with each other and with the building overall.



Guideline 3.1a: **Encouraged** - Use high quality materials like architectural terra cotta (above) or pressed brick.



Guideline 3.1b: Discouraged - Avoid Gverly rustic materials like veneer rough stone (at left).



Page 12

Surface Materials

3.3 Clean and properly tuck-point brick walls. Clean masonry structures with nondestructive methods to maintain the integrity of the brick or stone surface. Do not sandblast masonry or other materials. Refer to National Parks Service website at www2.cr.nps.gov/tps/tax/rhb/stand.htm. for further discussion regarding non-destructive cleaning methods.



4.0 Integrating New Buildings with Neighboring Buildings

Webster Street still retains many of its distinctive late nineteenth and early twentieth century buildings. These "thematic buildings" give much of Webster Street, especially the section south of Lincoln Avenue, the image of a traditional business district with a strong sense of time and place. The architectural styles of these buildings include: Victorian, Beaux Arts Classicism, Mediterranean, and Early 20th Century Commercial.

The Webster Street Design Manual seeks to enhance this traditional image. New buildings and major remodelings of existing buildings must be compatible with Webster Street's thematic buildings and incorporate their major design characteristics.

- 4.1 a. In order to best fit in with the character of the Webster Street District, look to the proportions, massing, rhythm and materials of the District's thematic buildings, while not necessarily mimicking historical architecture.
 - b. Also incorporate important compositional elements, such as cornices, belt courses and/or changes in materials, found on these thematic buildings which help give focus to the design. These elements can be treated in simplified form without the level of detail often found on the originals.
 - c. Note: Attempts to imitate historic buildings in a literalistic manner are often unsatisfactory. To be successful, the designer must have a thorough knowlege and understanding of these buildings' architectural vocabulary.



Guideline 4.1a: Encouraged - For new buildings, maintain the proportions, overall façade composition, rhythm and materials of the district's existing thematic building.



Guideline 4.1a: **Discouraged** - Avoid infill buildings that do not maintain the proportions, composition, rhythm and materials of the existing thematic buildings.



Guideline 4.1c: **Discouraged** - Attempts to imitate historic buildings are often overly elaborate, ignore important proportions or compositional features, or are otherwise unsuccessful.

Integrating New Buildings with Neighboring Buildings

¥

4.2 Carry through the horizontal lines from neighboring buildings in cornices, tops and bottoms of windows, storefronts and other horizontal elements. Also maintain the rhythm established by vertical elements such as the width of storefronts and the width and placement of upper floor windows.

4.4



Guideline 4.2: **Encouraged** - Continue the horizontal lines and vertical rhythm of existing neighboring buildings.

- 4.3 Do not mix architectural styles on the same building.
 - Do not design buildings or storefronts in "corporate" or "franchise" styles, where chain store business uses a particular building type, style or combination of architectural elements that is intended to be synonomous with that business.

These businesses must adapt their building designs to the traditional character of Webster Street.



Guideline 4.4: Discouraged - Do not design buildings or storefronts in "corporate" or "franchise" styles.

6.0 Storefronts

A high quality, pedestrian scale and walkable area are overall objectives for the Webster Street district. Site and building design should create inviting entrances and display windows to establish and maintain pedestrian interest. Design new storefronts to include traditional storefronts with bulkheads, transom windows and recessed entries.

- 6.1 Avoid solid, blank walls and other "dead" or dull spaces at the street level.
- 6.2 Orient outdoor seating and dining areas to face the sidewalk/street.
- 6.3 Avoid storefronts that are inconsistent with other storefronts in the same building.



TRANSOM AVMINIS RECESSED ENTRY STOREFRONT WINDOW BUCKHEAD

Encouraged- Typical storefront elements



Guideline 6.1 Discouraged - Avoid solid blank walls at street level.



Guideline 6.3 Discouraged - This building features storefronts that are inconsistent within the building.

Entry

Y

6.3 Provide at least one clearly defined primary public entrance from Webster Street in the building frontage.





Guideline 6.4a: Encouraged -Recessed entry vestibule.

Guideline 6.4b: (right) Encouraged -Special pavement on entry vestibule flows.

Guideline 6.5: (far right) Encouraged - This entry door displays a large amount of glazing.

Windows

- 6.4 Provide high-interest and high-quality recessed entry vestibules. Such recesses provide protection from the elements and reinforce pedestrian visual interest.
 - (a) Recess entry doors at least 2 feet but not more than 5 feet from the facade.
 - (b) Pave vestibule floors with tile, stone or similar hard surface, high quality materials to set the area apart from the sidewalk and provide pedestrian interest.
- 6.5 Use extensive glazing for main entry doors.



- 6.6 Provide large storefront windows on all facades facing Webster Street. Sidewalk level windows allow visual access to interiors and encourage activity on the street.
- 6.7 Do not use reflective film or a coating on windows. Do not use tinted display window glass, which impedes visual access to the building interior.

Storefronts

that, including doors, transom wondows and other openings constitute at lost 80% of the surface of each standforming

possible. Large glass areas offer merchants the opportunity to display merchandise. Window

6.8 Use large display windows is much as-

attractive display for pedestrians.

decoration and merchandise should be changed often to provide an interesting and



Guideline 6.8: Encouraged- Large display windows help maintain pedestrian interest.

- 6.9 When using openable storefront windows, select windows which are compatible with the overall façade and style of the building. Sliders, folding, casement, and awning windows are acceptable openable window types.
- 6.10 Retain and repair historic storefronts. Replacement storefronts shall be similar to historic storefronts. Older storefronts typically have slim profile storefront window frame sections set in the face of the bulkhead.



Guideline 6.10 (right): Slim profile window frame on older storefront.

CONSIDER THIS ILLUSTRATION FIR GUIDGING 6.11



Webster Street Design Manual Page 23

Storefronts



6.12

- 6.11 For tile, stone or brick bulkheads, either:
 - a. set the storefront windows at or near the face of the bulkhead; or
 - b. incorporate the bulkhead material into the sill detailing.



Guideline 6.11 a (top left): Encouraged - Window set at face of bulkhead. Guideline 6.11 b (top right):

Encouraged - Window set back and bulkhead material used on sill.



Guideline 6.12: Restored Victorian storefront with wood bulkhead and wood sill.

+ leas storefront frames, and for wood bulkheads, Proved A 15 Transom windows as typical features of historic 6.13 Ó each torefr storefronts, which allow valuable daylight into 61 the building interior Do not cover existing 7. transom windows. Restore such windows if they have been previously covered or removed

Provide projecting wood sill detailing for wood

6.14 Do not use residential style windows (such as those with nailing fins) as the storefront system. It is acceptable to install mulled wood units (where jambs are joined) to avoid short vertical segments of wall between window. Do not use vinyl windows on the lower

floor

Guideline 6.14: Discouraged - Residential style windows are not appropriate windows for storefront systems.



Webster Street Design Manual Page 24

Storefronts

Bulkheads

Guideline 6.15: Allowed - This storefront does not have a bulkhead; the window terminates at the sidewalk grade.

6.15 Maintain the height of any existing bulkheads below the storefront window. Bulkheads should normally be less than 24" high, unless restoring a historic configuration. Bulkheads can occasionally be omitted in cases where the storefront window extends down to sidewalk grade. Do not set the window sill directly on grade.

Shall

6.16 Select bulkhead materials that are compatible with the materials of the overall façade and style of the building.

6.17 Select high quality, durable, smooth-surfaced materials for bulkheads with a high level of pedestrian interest, such as glazed tile, stone, marble, wood panels and pressed brick.





6.18 Do not use informal or rustic materials such as roughsawn wood, rough stone or common brick. Do not use recessed metal panels or synthetic materials such as vinyl or cultured stone

6.19 Stucco is usually discouraged as a bulkhead material. In limited cases where the overall façade and style of building displays stucco, its use as a bulkhead material may be appropriate.





Guideline 6.18a (left): Discouraged - Avoid informal or rustic materials like rough stone or common brick.

Guideline 6.18b (right): Discouraged - Recessed metal panels used as bulkhead.



Webster Street Design Manual Page 25



Signage is a vitally important part of the streetscape. Its impact should be recognized at every stage of the design process, not as an afterthought at the completion of a project.

General Sign Guidelines

Special Note: The following are "guidelines" and are not intended to supercede the Alameda Zoning Ordinance. All signs must comply with the Alameda Zoning Ordinance, or the most restrictive code. This Design Manual will be updated to conform with the soon-to-be adopted revised Sign Ordinance.

- 7.1 Signs should not obscure other building elements such as windows, cornices or architectural details.
- 7.2 The size and the lettering of signs, canopies, or awnings should be in scale and proportional to the space in which they are located. Also consider the size of signs and lettering on neighboring buildings.



Sign Types and Illumination

- 7.4 7 Signs which are integrated into the the facade above transoms or awnings are encouraged.
- 7.5 Tubular neon may be appropriate for a building on Webster Street, but its use should be limited.



X

- Blade signs, those that project perpendicular to the building face, are encouraged. Locate blade signage near the entrance.
 - Internally illuminated signs those with a light source contained within the sign are prohibited.
 - Externally illuminated signs those with a direct spotlight or halo light, are encouraged. Individual letters placed on a sign frieze, or wood background, that are externally illuminated are allowed.

7.9 Do not use box or cabinet signs.
7.10 Signage on awnings should be limited to the valance.



Webster Street Design Manual Page 26

Sign Lettering at Buildings and Awnings and Canopies

- 7.11 A lettering style should be chosen that is refined and reflects the character of the business or the building. Large, plain, boldface type should be avoided.
- 7.12 Signs with too much information can appear cluttered. Limit sign wording to just the business name and logo.

Signs in Windows

7.13 Permanent window signs should not exceed 25% of the window area in which the sign is located. Temporary window signs are not allowed as per the City of Alameda Zoning Ordinance.





8.0 Awnings and Canopies

Awnings above storefronts promote a sense of pedestrian scale and provide protection from the weather. Awnings also help articulate individual business storefronts and provide visual relief from a flat building façade, especially on a multi-story building.

Materials

(Donot ke)

- a) Use non-glossy materials, such as fabric metal-framed glass, and painted or patinated sheet metal. Galvanized sheet metal is discouraged.
- b) Do not use corrugated sheet metal or frequently-seamed metals.
- 8.2 Select fire and fade resistant awning fabric.
- 8.3 Use awning materials compatible with the overall façade and building styling. Do not use materials such as vinyl, plastic, or other polymer products.

Size and Positioning



Guideline 8.1: Encouraged - Metalframed glass awning.

8.4 Relate awning shapes and placement to others on the building and in the same side of the street in the same block.

a) Use the same awning alignment and shape on the same level of any single building if consistent with other guidelines. Standards.

b) Mount awnings so that their lower edge will relate to those of adjacent buildings

- 8.5 Do not cover transom windows or architectural detailing with awnings.
- 8.6 Place awnings over individual display windows between prominent vertical elements such as pilasters or columns. Do not use continuous awnings across the entire building frontage.



Guideline 8.5: **Discouraged**- Avoid awnings that cover pilasters and columns.



Guideline 8.6: Encouraged-Place awnings over individual display windows and between pilasters and columns.

Awnings and Canopies

8.7 Design awnings to conform to the Building and Fire Codes.

- a) Do not extend awnings more than seven feet from the face of the building, nor closer than two feet to the curb, nor more than two-thirds of the distance from the property line to the curb face.
- b) Provide eight feet minimum vertical clearance over the sidewalk for framed or rigid portion of awning, and seven feet minimum vertical clearance for any unframed valance.

Style Use

8.8 a) Slanted awnings are preferable to flat or curved awnings.
b) However, domed awnings may be used over arched windows.
c) Valances are attractive additions to slanted awnings.

wather than

- c) valances are attractive additions to stanted awin
- 8.9 Do not internally illuminate awnings.



Guideline 8.8 a: Encouraged - Traditional slanted awnings are professed:

8.10 Retractable awnings are encouraged.

- 8.11 Remove mansard-styled awnings, especially if they -have been added to a historic storefront and are covering significant architectural elements.
- 8.12 Limit awning signage to the valance. Awning signage is included in the total sign area allowed by the Alameda Sign Ordinance.



Guideline 8.8 b: Allowed - Domed awning(s) over arched window(s).

* - INCLUDE IN OBJECTIVE DISING REVIEW STANDARY (ODRS) BUILDING TYPES

10/4/19

NOTE: "BUILDANG TYPE PROVISIONS I DESUTOPHIED FOR INCLUSION IN TOME ODRS ARE INTERDUCED TO A COLT TO ALL BUILDANG TYPES 2.1 Overview

Purpose and intent This section contains guidelines for all Building Types to be used in combination with regulations for Frontage Types and Architectural Standards and Guidelines to ensure that new development is consistent with the City's goals for building form and community character.

2.1.1 Applicability

A range of Building Types ensures visually appealing neighborhoods, districts, and corridors Each proposed building shalt the designed as one of the individual building types permitted for the District in which the property is located. Multiple building types may be built on a single property.

A complex building type may incorporate components of multiple individual building types within a single structure. Each individual building component should adhere to the saidulines fouris respective Building Type. *



2.1.2 Contents

For each Building Type, a brief description is followed by guidelines as follows:

 Massing and Composition describes the organization of masses, volumes, and disposition relative to its surroundings.

2. Articulation informs a buildings's perceived scale and character by "breaking down" its horizontal (length) and vertical (height) façades into human-scale components supportive of Alameda's small-town character.

3. Building Elements refers to design features that create opportunities for expression of architectural character and detail. Suggested elements may not be appropriate to all architectural styles. Design and arrangement of specific building and façade elements should be in accordance with the building's architectural style.

Access and Parking refers to the circulation for pedestrians and autos.

5. Frontage Types specifies a list of treatments to guide the space between the building and the public right-of-way.

6. Common Architectural Styles suggests styles found in the Manual's Architectural Style Guidelines that are recommended for the Building Type.

A *perspective sketch* illustrates common massing and composition. These drawings <u>do not</u> represent a required design or configuration.

Photographs of exemplary buildings are provided to illustrate typical configurations and common styles. These examples <u>do not</u> indicate required aspects of building design, and are provided as visual aids to assist with the application and design review process.



BUILDING TYPES

2.2.F Multiplex

ERG

Et.al



Citywide Design Review Manual

privacy conflicts with neighboring buildings.

USE ONKOMIN'S DESIGN STANDARD

FOR PRIVACT

5

add visual interest

Brackets and other design features may be used to

BUILDING TYPES

- they are din a f the the (vir at Luns Street 10 - Crow 1 DSAN 00 Straw the MAN ON 1120 10 2 Auto 5. Frontage Types Parking should be in garages or car ports accessed Formal entries shall be used for all access to ground Frontyards may be terraced above the sidewalk to prohibits rear or side access. Services should be accessed through an alley where A forecourt may be used to create an attractive entry Garages or driveways may be accessed directly from rear alleys or side streets where possible. gathering spaces for residents. Stoops and porches are recommended where and opportunity for gardens and outdoor seating. from the primary street only where site development located at the rear of property. Free subscling garages or earninge houses may be rear-alleys or driveways. The parts Internal "tuck-under" garages may be accessed from first floors are raised above the sidewalk to create floor lobbies. possible. Store (1)
- the building taçade, and may include a low wall or enhance the transition between the sidewalk and decorative fence at the back of sidewalk.

6. Common Architectural Styles

- Victorian
- **Colonial Revival**
- Neoclassical
- Craftsman
- Mediterranean
- Art Deco
- Modern



iron detailing, and decorative landscaping. Frontyard is sidewalk. 'terraced' and includes a decorative wall at the back of homes. Formal entries with ornamental walls, wrought Spanish Mission Revival 'Villa' containing six individual



enhance residential character. Multiplex building containing six individual homes. Tudor architectural style and shared common yard



and two story apartment above. Duplex with ground floor garden unit



frontyard and decorative fence. Colonial Revival multiplex with



stoop and formal entry. Mediterranean Revival quadruplex with

FRONTAGE TYPES



patio for residents of this villa

3.2.8 Forecourt

suited for shared gardens and plazas. For commercial and live-work development types, the space may be and enclosed by building volumes on adjacent sides. used for outdoor dining or display of goods. For residential development, the forecourt is ideally façade is significantly setback from the public sidewalk A recessed area where a portion of the primary building

Cividelines

- The side of the forecourt that opens to the public. a maximum of 40 feet wide. sidewalk should be a minimum of 15 feet wide and
- \sim The forecourt should not exceed 30 feet in depth
- يد: Forecourts may be raised above the sidewalk and retained with a decorative wall or plant materials
- -Fountains and other water features make an
- excellent amenity in a forecourt. Resident grind they main







155

multiplex building Formal entry to lobby of

> portico on live-work units. Raised formal entry with

3.2.C Formal Entry

overhangs to provide shelter and to further distinguish the entrance. A portico, consisting of a roof located above the buildings. Formal entries should be prominent and easy to identify from the sidewalk. Entries should have roof residential or mixed use building. They may also be used for access to stairs leading to upper floor uses in multistory Formal entries may define the primary pedestrian entrance to a workplace commercial building or a lobby of a deer-upported by columns is a use much direction to add grandeur to eivic, eutural, workplace and residential

2120 Street Cristin Xe at levet 25% glazons

- 1. Where formal entries are elevated above finished grade, stairs having decorative sidewalls and/or handrails should be provided.
- Entry roofs should project from the Juilding façade a minimum of 2 feet to provide adequate shelter
- Entries shall be designed in accordance with overall building architecture style.
- çn. \geq Formal entries shall be well-lit Sconces or other docorative lighting is strongly recommended to frame entries. Formal entries may be recessed into the building facycle to provide shelter and accentuate building articulation.
- 6, Formal entries should be treated with significant architectural expression.
- ~1 A grand stairway leading to a portico is a reconfimended treatment for large buildings.
- -Entrances may be used slightly from the primary building wall and are typically raised above finished grade.
- 10. Double-doors may be used to create prominent entries a. Formal entry doors chemic containent forme to enhance pedestrian comfort.

Citywide Design Review Manual

29

ARCHITECTURE











Early 20th Century Commercial block building

いいもい ちょう

4.2 Architectural Standards and Guidelines For All Buildings the Ort Schester Fronthose listed , and the Historic Pressouring Blance Instant 15 TO A 1.2.2 Integrating New Buildings into Existing Alenas Sugn der 13 m UCSI-X-Re Juni

Alameda is rich with neighborhoods and districts having a mix of traditional

Neighborhoods and **Districts**

vague architectural expression, is not appropriate in Alameda design elements from non-related styles from different time periods resulting in transition it was common for buildings to incorporate design elements and styles that are key to the city's rich built environment. During periods of style materials shared among different architectural styles. However, the blending of Alameda's architectural heritage is embodied by the variety of distinct building

4.2.1 Styles

长 \sim Standards 1. All buildings shall have a predonyinant identifiable architectural style All aspects of building design including massing, articulations, materials, selected architectural style. colors, and building element should be designed in accordance with the EQUEN BURN MINNEL WITH SECTION REPUBLE VITA FROM 36011 DARGEN DESIL 440 10 throughout the city's neighborhoods key elements of scale, craft, and character found on adjacent buildings and occurs, it is essential that building design is considerate of, and builds on and contemporary architectural styles and character. As new development Massing and proportions of new buildings should complement the Selection of architectural style should take into consideration the Building design should consider the vertical and horizontal rhythm of of adjacent buildings. neighboring building elements including windows, cornice lines, belt the district or neighborhood. rooflines, cornices, belt courses and other horizontal elements with those comice, pilasters, and canopies. La the extent teastble/ flign windows, predominant styles of key historic and otherwise notable buildings within character of adjacent buildings. Shall incorporative

Citywide Design Review Manual

4.2.3 Building Articulation

A. Height Articulation

Alameda's historic districts and corridors are comprised primarily of single and two story buildings with a height ranging from 20 to 30 feet. The following guidelines are provided to ensure that new development over three stories or forty feet are designed to be harmonious with the character found throughout the city's neighborhoods, districts, and corridors. See architectural style guidelines for suggested treatment of third story terminus and fourth story stepback.

Pur port

- The primary building mass above the third floor or 40 feet should be setback no less than 5 feet from the primary building wall on all sides in accordance with standards of the Alameda Municipal Code.
 - Corner volumes may encroach into the stepback area to create a prominent architectural volume.
- The recessed area may be used as an inhabitable outdoor space such as a grand terrace or series of balconies.
- For Mediterranean and Colonial Revival architectural styles, the fourth story setback requirement may be fulfilled by incorporating domner windows into a sloped roof form, creating a prominent three story building mass and reduced fourth story.
 - Fixed shading devices and trellises may encroach into the setback area, and may be incorporated into a railing or parapet wall.
- Non-fixed elements including sculpture, furniture, planter pots, and similar features may be used to furnish and accentuate the stepback area.







ulation and composition shering not stop at the corner. Where

visible, side elevations sheets be articulated with a level of

articulation and massing similar to the primary facade.

int including all elements of artic-

The primary facade treatmy

ġ

the building.

Corner mass accentuates building style and incorporates unique roof form.

and micorpolates unique ro

Citywide Design Review Manual

status -	Brick is used to provide onn tal relief at parapet.	Stone is used as the primary cadding material on the his post office.
As On storeford bulkles to vice mateoria with a high level of perhabilis vice mateoria with glazed tile, polished muchle famile as other clime word product arble famile as other clime the area starte chile for building.	 Guidelines 1. Natural and sustainable materials are encouraged. 1. For additions and accessory buildings, wall cladding materials should complement or match the primary building materials. 3. The following materials are recommended based on their appropriateness to the range of recommended architectural styles: a. Brick based on their appropriateness to the range of recommended architectural styles: a. Brick based on their appropriate primary cladding for commercial, mixed-used, and live-work buildings; Full brick or thin brick vencers are acceptable. Full brick may be used at window recesses and building corners. Full brick may be used to provide original and installed to give the appearance of full brick may be used to provide original corners. 	
o On starkford hadleherds vi o high terred of predeshing 3/12-18 tile, polished mubles word proves and pressed briefs the outh teacher chile forth	Menclassical brick building with precast pilater capital.	Ceramic tile is used for primary cladding material.
OWSING WITH OF STREET DELINE	 2.4. Materials 2.4. Materials high level of crart and quality, and to protect and enhance overall district character. Standards Façade materials shall be selected in accordance with the building's architectural style. 2. Façade cladding materials shall be high quality durable, easy to maintain, and installed with a high degree of craftsmanship. 3. If exposed wood is not prevent noticeable weathering. Exposed rough sawn wood and pressure treated wood is not permitted. b. Synthetic and recycled materials shall be painted, stained, stained, and maintained to prevent noticeable weathering. Exposed rough sawn wood and pressure treated wood is not permitted. b. Synthetic and recycled materials shall be used in they are internet. Finany wouldings. a. Rustic materials shall be avoided on commercial buildings. a. A maximum of 3 cladding materials shall be used for commercial building. a. All building anderials shall be avected on commercial shall be used constraintly building materials shall be used constraint window and door trim, and context and secondary building materials undervious shall be used constraint, window and door trim, and context and building elements. a. All building materials used on exterior elevations shall be intended specifically for exterior applications. 	K

Trameir-

<-----





Citywide Design Review Manual

38

	Model siding and decorative conversions on Victorian building.
	 d. Mood d. Mood d. Mood Wood y a highly appropriate primercal flor several residential and mixopleuse skyles and yorkplace commercial Traindomys. Wood siding shingles, board and batten understores Wood siding shingles, board and batten timbe and workplace commercial thorek and workplace commercial thorek and workplace semercial thorek and workplace semercial thread in the second siding shingles, shore and yorkplace semercial thread and batten developes to an appropriate praterial for structural exposed. Wood siding shingles, stokes and batten timbe and structurate an appropriate praterial for structural exposed. Timber is an appropriate praterial for structures are an appropriate for structures and restrictures and restrictures and restrictures and the structures and the stru
	Smooth strees is an appropriate and the strees is an appropriate and the strees is an appropriate and the strees is an appropriate strees appropriate strees is an appropriate strees appropriate strees is an appropriate strees is a strees strees is a strees is a strees is a strees is a
ARCHITECTURE	 b. Stone pre-cast stone and concrete: Stone and pre-cast stone, pre-cast stone, pre-cast stone, pre-cast stone and concrete (GFRC) and fiber reinforced fiber reinforced concrete (GFRC) and fiber reinforced fiber reconnended. When stone fiber reconserved fiber reconnended fiber reconnended fiber reconnended. Funce fiber reconnended fiber reconnended fighty fiber reconnended fiber reconnended. Funce fiber reconnended fiber reconnended fighty fiber reconnended fiber reconnen

39 Citywide Design Review Manual

monthereds.

4.2.5 Roofs

<u>Standards</u>

- All roofs shall be designed in accordance with the architectural style of the building.
- Roof compositions shall relate to building massing and articulation ~i
 - installed with a high degree of craftsmanship. Roof materials shall be of high quality, and m
- Mansard roofs shall be interrupted at the building corners by towers or parapets. -
- a. Mansard eave overhangs shall be 'open' with exposed rafter tails, 'boxed' with brackets, or incorporate a moulding.
 - Mansard roofs on corner buildings shall be consistent along both facades. ġ
- All flat roof edges shall include a shaped parapet. railing, notches for scuppers, or a parapet cap to ornamental band, cornice, roof overhang, roof create an interesting skyline. 5
 - Roofs of additions and accessory buildings shall complement the design, material, and roof pitch of the main or original building. ġ,

Guidelines

- Roof overhangs should support facade
- a. Roof overhangs should be a minimum of 18 articulation, and add depth and shadow.
- Eave overhangs may be 'open' using exposed Open eave overhangs should be terminated with a fascia, decorative gutters, or shaped rafters, or 'boxed' using concealed rafters. rafter tails. inches. Ŀ.
- Eave overhang soffits should be finished. Smooth painted plywood or tongue and groove is recommended. đ



Barrel roofs are appropriate for modern buildings.



Eave overhang with exposed rafter tails and decorative gutter.



*



rative soffit

Citywide Design Review Manual

4.2.6 Windows

Windows are one of the most important elements of ouilding design. Their quality and appropriateness to the overall design has a significant affect on the visual quality of the building.

Standards

- Windows shall be designed in accordance with the architectural style of the building.
- constructed of durable materials including wood, Window materials should be used consistently. Second floor and storefront windows may vary with overall building style. Windows shall be in material provided but shall be consistent All window frames shall be recessed from aluminum, steel, fiberglass, and vinyl. building walls. 0 $\hat{}$
 - minimum of 2 1/2 inches measured from the exterior wall to the glass surface. a. Window frames shall be recessed a
- Window surround thickness shall not count. toward the recess dimension. ġ
 - windows, the glass may be recessed the For bay windows with wrap around dimension of the window frame. Ċ,
- P. lites or simulated divided lites. Muntims or grids shall project at least 3/8" from the glass surface. divided lites, shall not be used. Roll on or tape Divided lite windows may utilize true divided d. Modern style buildings may be excepted. acated between two panes of glass to imitate used on the exterior and interior of the glass. Sandwich mununs, where munum material is simulated divided lites, spacers shall be used muntins shall not be used. Muntins shall be between panes
 - All windows other than small accent windows ŝ



curved recess and divided lites.



Neoclassical commercial block building.



storefront and transom window.

40





windows.

11 4 45



Window sill with terra-cotta tiles



Windows create a unifying pattern along façade.



eccept for bothroom

Window glass should be clear. If tinted glass is should be integrally colored. Aluminum and complement building façade colors. Wood used, tight green shades are recommended. realhoring whyl and therefores windows Window materials should be colored to be treated with a preservative to prevent windows should be painted, stained or energy efficient. Sh , 11 steet may be painted.

5.4 colors Using colors the SULTERING



commercial building with divided Early 20th century workplace lite clerestory windows.



dows with metal accents, com-The Old Post Office uses winmon of the Beaux Arts style.



floor of this Art Deco workplace Windows define the ground

commercial building.

Citywide Design Review Manual

4.2.7 Ornamentation

accurately executing ornament with proper materials, the building and is important to achieving a cohesive The character of a building's ornamentation places proportion, and placement, reinforces the style of the design within a historic context and is a clear indicator of architectural style. Choosing and design.

Standards

 Ornamentation shall be used in accordance with the architectural style of the building.

Guidelines

to the building. Oversized ornament should be Ornamentation should be appropriately scaled avoided. .



Vediterranean Revival Ornament with floral motif.



Cast stone medallion in spandrel.



Recessed building entry with soffit treatment.

4.2.8 Building Entries

Well designed primary and secondary building conveying the use, whether it be commercial, entries welcome pedestrians while clearly workplace, or residential.

Standards

- casy to identify and integrated within the design 1. Main building entries shall be clearly marked, of the front building façade.
 - clearly distinguishable from ground floor retail Formal entries to upper story uses shall be entrances. 2

distinguishable from ground floor

retail entrances.

entrance to upper story uses is

Commercial block building

- quality durable materials selected in accordance Primary entry doors shall be made of a high with the architectural style. ÷
- Primary entry doors shall provide transparency at the primary street facade. d'
 - right of way shall have a minimum 10% of a. Residential entry doors facing the public

×

b. Commercial entry doors shall have a minidoor area glazing.



Guidelines

the style of the building and should include one 1. Building entries should be accentuated using architectural elements designed according to ar more of the following treatments:

Streamline Moderne recessed entry treatment.

- a. Recessed building entries may include special paving, soffit treatment, and decorative
 - Building entries may be accentuated with light fixtures. ġ.
 - canopies, overhangs, and awnings.
 - Entry doors should include a transom
- window or sidelights, and a clearly marked ت
 - address.



Special paving at recessed entry.

Citywide Design Review Manual

42

4.2.9 Garage Doors

- 1. The design and material of gatage doors shall Standards
 - complement the architectural style of the building.



recommended to feduce the overall visual impact The following design indaments are VINGTR

a. Doors(short) have a minimum of 10% glass. h. Doorscheeld be recessed a numining of 6 of garages:

Single car garage doors are tycommétided. Where used, double doorg sherted fust exceed 18 feet in width and should hypera as individual doors. inches from the wall surface, Show ~

(Should)



driveway, and single-loaded lownhomes with shared UL USIDATION

Bunnan

「「「「「「」」

Printer St



Contemporary design extends to

4.2.10 Colors

- 1. Building colors should complement the Guidelines
- architectural style of the building and should be Primary colors should be used for the building compatible with overall district character. e.
 - a. Neutral hues are recommended for primary building colors. Vivid hues should be walls and/or cladding material.
- primary color and may be used to accent key Secondary colors should complement the avoided.
- a. Warm/cool color combinations should be architectural elements and trim. avoided.
 - 4. Ethnorescent colors should not be used as a primary or secondary building color.
- 5. Limit use of gold or silver (metallic) accenting.



Pastel tones are recommended for Colonial Revival buildings.



Secondary colors are used to accentuate architectural details.



C

accentuate storefront windows. Façade colors used to



43

townhouse garage doors.

Li	1
2	-
)
FC)
1	1
	-
T	-
()
2	4
1	

4.2.11 Lighting Fixtures

Standards

- 1. The size, style, and material of exterior lighting shall complement the architectural style of the building.
- accordance with the building type. For example, residential lighting fixtures shall not be used for Exterior lighting fixtures shall be selected in commercial buildings. 04
 - All exterior lighting fixtures shall be constructed of durable materials specifically designed for exterior applications. ŝ

Guidelines

- Recommended placement includes on walls or 1. Light fixtures should be placed to create a repetitive pattern at the street facade.
- Commercial wall-mounted lighting fixtures should pilasters between building bays. d
 - Exposed fluorescent lighting should not be used be used at the ground floor level. m
- Warm white lighting is recommended for exterior on the building exterior. ÷
- Lighting conduit should not be visible on the exterior of the building. applications. u.



Modern style light fixture.



Mediterranean Revival decorative wrought iron light fixture.

Mechanical equipment should be integrated with 4.2.12 Mechanical Equipment and Screening

the building design to prevent visual clutter that distracts from the building's appearance.

Standards

- Downspout is located on side of house. be within an enclosure that is consistent with or 1 All roof mounted mechanical equipment shall derived from the style of the building.
- Roois vents shall be ganged together and placed Skylights, plumbing vent pipes, satellite dishes, on the roof shall not be visible from the street. and any other mechanical equipment located Ground floor mechanical equipment shall be screened and not be visible from the street. on the rear-facing slope where possible. N ക്
 - derived from the style, materials and colors a. Screening shall include characteristics of the building.
 - Screening with chain link fencing or pressure treated wood is not pennitted. , ci
- prominent element along commercial corridors. building's roof forms and shall not appear as a Solar panels shall be integrated with the



de residential buildings, downspouts should be-Window-mounted air conditioning units should using materials and colors consistent with the vonmentational diags, Downspouls should be not be visible on the primary building facade. Strue !! congealed within walls whenever possible- Roof draimage elements shall be designed placed on side elevations where pessible: 2: For commercial block and workplacy architectural style. 30





Half round gutters are appropriate for Mediterranean style buildings.



Roof drainage system is concealed from view.

Locusof Or weichen

Citywide Design Review Manual

façade.

44
ARCHITECTURE

4.3 Architectural Style Guidelines

4.3.1 Overview Q

renovations, and additions. New construction is strongly encouraged to reflect qualities of scale and refinement common to historic styles. Creativity is encouraged, however, range of permitted styles. Guidelines are applicable to new construction, restoration, efforts should be made to build on the character of the City's architectural heritage. Architectural Style Guidelines address defining elements of building design for a Lach applicant shall identify the architecture style of the proposed building.

1. For rehabilitation of existing buildings, architectural style shall be based on visual

Inspection or historic records. $3\delta_{20}$ 11 2. For new construction, architectural style way be selected based on building type and in consideration of context, including proximity to historic buildings, and prevailing district character.

¥

×

3. Multiple architectural styles should not be employed on a single building.

See also Section -- Neighburhood Computer Solity (Context)

Styles are listed chronologically based on their appearance in Alameda. Guidelines for each style are as follows:

- Recommended building types
- Massing and articulation
- Third story terminus and fourth story setback
 - **Building materials**
 - Roof treatment
 - Windows
- Building elements
 - Ornamentation

 - Entries
- Signage and lighting
 - Colors
- Storefront treatment

2

Citywide Design Review Manual

		G. Neoclassical	F. Medilerranean	I. Modern	48
		B. Colonial Revival	E. Craftsman	H. Streamline Moderne	Citywide Design Review Manual
ARCHITECTURE	4.3.2 The Styles	A. Victorian	D. Early 20th Century Commercial	G. Art Deco	



Objective Design Review Standards for Multi-family Residential Development

- iv. Sandwich muntins, where muntin material is located between two panes of glass, but not on the exterior or interior of the window, are prohibited.
- v. Roll-on or tape muntins are prohibited.

Corresponding existing design guidelines and policies on window details: Guide to Residential Design, Section III, Building Materials and Detailing; Citywide Design Review Manual 4.2.6, Windows.

7. SCREENING.

A.

A. Equipment Screening. All exterior mechanical and electrical equipment shall be screened or incorporated into the design of buildings so as not to be visible from the street. Equipment to be screened includes, but is not limited to, all roof-mounted equipment, air conditioners, heaters, utility meters, cable equipment, telephone entry boxes, backflow preventions, irrigation control valves, electrical transformers, pull boxes, and all ducting for air conditioning, heating, and blower systems. Screening materials shall be consistent with the exterior colors and materials of the building.

NSELT

Corresponding existing design guidelines and policies on screening:
Citywide Design Review Manual 4.2.12, Mechanical Equipment and Screening.

- 8. ADDITIONAL STANDARDS FOR MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT. Mixed-use buildings that include residential uses shall meet the Objective Design Review Standards for multi-family dwellings, as well as the following additional standards.
 - Ground-floor Height. Any building with commercial uses on the ground floor shall have a ground-floor height of least 14 feet, measured from floor to ceiling.
 - **B.** Entry Area and Cover. Pedestrian entries to ground-floor and upper-floor commercial uses shall meet at least one of the following standards:
 - i. The entrance is recessed in a vestibule time to five feet in depth.
 - ii. The entrance is covered by an awning portico or other architectural projection that provides weather protection.
 - C. Ground-floor Transparency. For ground-floor commercial uses, exterior walls facing a street shall include windows, doors, or other openings for at least 75 percent of the building wall area located/betagen two/and eight feet above the level of the sidewalk for office, hotel, and convertible ground-floor uses.
 - Ctransparency shall be at/least 50 percent. No wall may run in a continuous plane for more than 10 feet without an opening. Openings fulfilling this requirement shall have transparent glazing and provide views into work areas, sales areas, lobbies, or similar active spaces, or into window displays at least five feet deep.

COMBINE WITH STORFFRONT PROVISIONS IN WEBSTER STREET DESIGN MANNEN

Page 6

INSERT 1 (on Page 6)

C. For paired, triple or other grouped windows, all sash shall be separated by a wood or simulated wood vertical casing at least 5 1/2 inches wide, except where the architectural style is Art Deco, Streamline Modern or Moderne as defined in the Citywide Design Review Manual.



D. Exterior screens, if any, on double hung or single hung windows shell cover both sash.

E. Meeting rails for double hung or single hung windows and horizontal mullions for all windows shall be positioned in the upper 50% of the window opening.



- The dimensions shown on Attachment 1 shall be the same for all sash within a window opening.
- G. Muntins, if used, shall be distributed in either a uniform pattern within each window opening or concentrated in the upper 50% of each opening.



Ę.



H. Horizontal slider windows are not permitted.



I. Within each window opening, position sash, mullions and muntins in a symmetrical pattern.



2

Pagelbb



DRAFT 10-4-19

NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY (CONTEXT)

INTRODUCTION

proposed development and within 300 feet of the development's property lines. Contextual conformity for the new development shall New non-mixed use residential buildings within existing residentially-zoned areas shall conform with any prevailing architectural features or "contexts". The context area shall consist of residential buildings on the same and opposite sides of the street(s) as the be determined according to the following architectural parameters of buildings within the context area:

- Roof pitch and forms;
 - Principal entryway; ii.
 - Building setback;
- Surface materials; 2
- Windows and openings; >
 - Architectural detailing; VI.
 - Architectural style. vii.

For all of the above context parameters, if 50% or more of the context buildings share the same context treatment for that parameter, that parameter, the proposed development may select its treatment from one of the four most prevalent treatments within the context area if the selected treatment is used for at least 20% of the context buildings. If less than 20% of the context buildings use the same the proposed development shall also exhibit that treatment. If less than 50% of the context buildings exhibit the same treatment for treatment, then the proposed development is not subject to that context parameter.

parameter treatment altered, shall have that treatment assigned to them by staff based on the altered building's original architectural Historic Preservation Element of the Alameda General Plan. For example, a Victorian house that has been covered with stucco or Buildings within the context area that have had their surface materials, windows, architectural detailing or other original context vinyl or aluminum siding will be considered to have 6"-9" V-groove or 9" channel rustic horizontal wood siding for purposes of style(s) and the characteristics set forth for that architectural style in Section 4.3 of the Citywide Design Review Manual and the establishing a surface materials context.

1-2 2rd

(context)	Contraction of the series of t	ETI A THREET	會懂	2010 bistored it at tapat 60%, of aver situid stappes such manasard, etc. foor pricin & of the pediange in the	a as delined by the tour	K		r of the photo does not mean findings for the the eavys at the sums the meighborhood, it
- 24.	<u>a</u> aaaaaa	Acol pitch and form context astablished.	回會合會國		controls group trajes atmiliar coul stoppe as data categories at talk.			Fig. 8-4. The house towards the center of the photo does not read, the root plich and form context findings for the registration However, by beginning the serves at the sume point as this other homes in the neighborhood, it demonstrates successful mitigation.
Criterion 8: Criterion 8:	Ing kouses Photog	From these photographics, City staff will determine which content issues apply. At have the form the surrounding thesees must exhibit similar characteristics in order for a context have to apply. Characteristics for which context has been established but not considered positive attributes (such as materials not on the approved list incoutering to dominance of open parking in the front) will be eliminated from context consideration.	ext Sections the Stands	To determine if there is a summy roof pitch and form context, at least 20% of the buildings must have similar shapes (gable, hip, gambrel, mansard, etc.), and similar stopes as defined by four categories:	states water water	If there is a root shape and/or a root slope context, the proposal shouky contorm to all established contexts, including overhangs if established in the context. In order to be considered as a successful response to this context, the root form and shape context must apply to at least 75% of the project's root area. See Fig. 8-3 & Fig. 8-4.	If the roof context includes overhangs, or parapets, then the design should include similar overhangs. The minimum overhang is considered to be 12 inches unless a lesser overhang is appropriate in the context.	
. 12,4 St.	(NYROONCAIGNA) The applicant is responsible for j muchinelade houses on lea-five-f	From these photographics construction of the stand which content from these photographs, City staff will determine which content the surrounding thesees must exhibit similar characteristics in apply. Characteristics for which context has been established attributes (such as materials not on the approved list in containing in the front) will be eliminated from context consideration.	CONDECINES:	To determine if there is a strong must have similar shapes (gable, by four categories: Flat:	Low: Moderate: Steep:	If there is a root shape and/or a root slope context, established contexts, including overhangs if estat/sish considered as a successful response to this context, th apply to at least 75% of the project's roof area. See Fig.	If the roof context includes overhad overhangs. The minimum overhad appropriate in the context.	

OAKLAND DESIGN REVIEW MANUAL FOR ONE AND TWO UNIT RESIDENCES Criterion 8: Neighborhood Compatibility (Context)

> Principal Entryway Context 20

The entryway constitutes the passageway to the primary entrance(s) of the building. A lamous

Front entries are prevalent in most Series of neighborhoods. An entryway is considered to be located in the front if a dignificant portion offits form is oriented to, and visible from, the front of the site. See Fig. 8-5.

To determine if a strong entryway context exists, the surrounding houses are surveyed for the following three entry components: (i) location, (ii) type [e.g. projecting with root, projecting without root, recessed, etc.), and (iii) floor elevation height. If an entryway context is established, for any of these three components, the applicable components should be noted and incorporated into the proposal. See Fig. 8-6.



Fig. 8-5. The raised entry porches in this neighborhood creates a strong transition boween public and physics speces. In addition, all entry units are prominently borated ratio the in the street.



Fig. 9-6. The size, shape and orientation of the porch relative to the dwelling and the energies subvively projecting beyond the tool facade of the dwelling provide for a prominent entrymey.

Page 8-3



Page 8-5 OAKLAND DESIGN REVIEW MANUAL FOR ONE AND TWO UNIT RESIDENCES Neighborhood Compatibility (Context) Fig. 8-11. Devote the redemputer window context, the proportione and attention to detest of the arched window prests a rich visual character. Fig. 8-10. The consistent use of windows favory the stread constraint in more unified streadscope and foster a service of constraintly. type (double how) considered attal Criterion 8: Property S buildings must display similar treditments of windows and openings in terms of their steel, number, materials, proportions, and composition of the facedes viewable from the street. See Fig. 8-10 & Fig. 8-11. To determine the existence of algebrand windows and openings context, the surrounding is the contract The Lyn, by F A. Creaticul US, herizant If there is a windows and openings context, the proposal cheeker appondation to the proposal CINAND No L'S. it at lea intart wests fer each 4.4 works Steely de-Characture tec. win veres 2 have a Trag 1 Stal 2 ·bulding 8.5 Windows and Openings Context antor port 2 Pak シントリ Bar and a sur and Lorgens Stan X うちゃ 2090 T.

Childhoo o: Weignbounou Companianty (Context)	is 8-12% and Seethore of Seethore of Seethore and the overall presence of the overall presence of the sector of th	Proposal reasonably ucontoms. Fig. 8-13. The use of door and window film, window all Guideline will not apply See Eig. 9-13. 3 at established contexts is for its maintenance). Fig. 4.18. A veuely rich reproduction of the of the entry atales to all established contexts is for its maintenance). Fig. 4.18. A veuely rich reproduction of the of the entry atales at established contexts is for its maintenance).
8.5 Architectural Detail Context	The existence of an architectural detail context is determined by the overall presence of detailing on existing buildings in the area. If there is an architectural detail context, the proposal provides even to or expression to or expression the prevailing characteristics identified in the context. See Fig. 8-12x and Sectrom Sectrom Sectrom Sci (A-electron Style (Context)) and Sectrom S	8.7 Landscaping Context To determine the existence of a landscaping context, there must be a strong, positive presence of trees, sinute, and ground cover in the context, the Guideline will not apply if such lands apping exists, but is sparsely located droot maintained. See Eig. 8-13. If there is a lankscaping context, the proposal should conterm to all established contexts (trees, sinutes, groundcover) and provide adequate watering facilities for its maintenance).

8.7 Architectural Style Context.

least 20% of the context buildings. If less than 20% of the context buildings use a particular architectural style, any of the styles listed architectural style may be selected from one of the four most prevalent styles within the context area if the selected style is used on at Preservation Element of the Alameda General Plan. If 50% or more of the context buildings use the same architectural style, then the architectural style using the architectural styles set forth in Section 4.3 of the Citywide Design Review Manual or in the Historic in Section 4.3 of the Citywide Design Review Manual and in the Historic Preservation Element may be used for the proposal. To determine if there is an architectural style context, at least 20% of the buildings in the context area must exhibit the same proposal shall also use that style. If less than 50% of the context buildings use a particular architectural style, the proposal's

L-Sred

DRAFT 10-4-19

4. Architecture (Combine with Section 4—"Building Mass and Articulation" of the Draft Objective Design Review Standards and/or with the indicated provisions from the Citywide Design Review Manual.)

A. To ensure that the proposal's architectural detailing is well-executed, the detailing shall be derived from one or more existing buildings that are either Alameda Historical Monuments or on the Historic Building Study List that exhibit the proposal's selected architectural style. For proposals that use a "modern" architectural style, as defined in Section 4.3 of the Citywide Design Review Manual, the detailing derived from any of the following buildings shall be accepted:

1925 Park St.

Alameda Theater Cineplex addition at 2301Central Avenue

(List other buildings—perhaps good examples in Alameda Landing?)

The address and photographs of the existing prototypical buildings shall be included as part of the proposal's application, along with photographs of the prototypical details that will be used. The proposed detailing shall be consistent with the dimensions, locations, proportions and, for repetitive elements (such as dentils and brackets on cornices and entablatures), spacing.

- B. On street-facing elevations and except: (i) where the proposal's architecture is "Streamlined Modern" or "Modern" as defined in Section 4.3 of the Citywide Design Review Manual; and (ii) for ground floor non-residential space:
 - (i) Use window sash with vertical rather than horizontal proportions (taller than wide), although grouping of such windows may be in horizontally-proportioned openings; and



U

C. For all street-facing doors and windows:

(ii)

- (i) Arrange doors and windows in vertical alignments between floors and the tops of doors and windows in horizontal alignments;
 - Use consistent shapes and dimensions;
 - (iii) For at least 2/3 of the windows on each floor on each elevation except for ground-floor non-residential space: (a) horizontally align the bottoms of the windows; and (b) provide window heights of at least 4 feet or 50% of the floor-to-ceiling height (whichever is greater);

NOT PISEMITIES

(iv) Do not use random fenestration patterns;



D. On street-facing elevations, arrange windows, bay windows and vertical facade articulations in a regular rhythm, with equal spacing between windows or window groups and between vertical articulations.



PERMITED



Ċ

E. Unless a sloped roof is provided, avoid a horizontal separation between the tops of the top floor windows and the top of the wall that exceeds the height of two-thirds of the top floor windows on each street-facing elevation without providing a horizontal molding at least 18 inches in height 50% of the distance from the top of the windows to the top of the wall.



G. Do not set back portions of floors below cantilevered upper floors or roofs at building corners without corner columns. Any such setbacks shall not exceed one story.



H. If the wall height of a new building exceeds the wall height of an adjacent building across a side lot line by at least 8 feet (approximately one story) and the adjacent building's wall height is at least 18 feet (approximately two stories), set the new building's walls that face the adjacent building and exceed the adjacent building's wall height by 8 feet so that they do not penetrate a 45° skyplane angled upward from the top of the new building's side-facing walls and originating the height where the new building's side-facing walls exceed the adjacent building's wall height by 8 feet.



I. For new buildings over three stories with sloped roofs, enclose the top floors within the roof envelope, using dormers and, for gable roofs, gable ends to maximize floor area.

J. If brackets are used under roof eaves, balconies and other projections: (i) the bracket height from the base of the strut (or similar outward and upwardly angled supportive element) to the edge of the roof eave shall be at least 18 inches: and (ii) the width of each bracket member at least 3 1/2 inches and the thickness of each bracket member at least 2 1/2 inches.



K. The tops of porch and balcony guardrails shall horizontally align with at least two-thirds of the window sills on the same floor on each street-facing elevation. If the guardrails must be higher to conform with the building code, provide a supplemental or "booster" rail that extends along the top of the "architectural" rail to obtain the required additional height using attenuated materials, such as metal rods or tension cables, to minimize the booster rails' visibility.



L. All street-facing projecting porches and balconies shall have roofs. All projecting balconies shall have columns supporting the roof except where the proposal's architecture is "Streamlined Moderne" or "Modern".



September 8, 2019

(By electronic transmission) Planning Board City of Alameda 2263 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, CA 94501

Subject: Proposed objective design review standards for affordable multifamily housing projects (Item 7-D on Planning Board's 9-9-19 agenda) --Preliminary comments and request for continuance.

Dear Boardmembers:

It is good that staff is developing "objective design review standards" for eligible multifamily projects pursuant to SB 35. It is important that the City has such standards in place when the first projects seeking to use SB 35's streamlined "ministerial review process" submit applications.

However, given that properties eligible for the ministerial review process and using the objective standards could be developed citywide, the standards need to be crafted to ensure that the designs of the projects are consistent with the architectural character of the surrounding area. The Alameda Architectural Preservation Society (AAPS) is especially concerned about ensuring these projects' architectural compatibility with the older neighborhoods on the main island and the historic commercial districts—Park Street, Webster Street and the "Stations".

The draft standards are a good start. AAPS has preliminarily reviewed the draft and identified various provisions that we believe should be expanded or clarified. However, AAPS needs more time to complete our review and there has not been enough time for AAPS to provide complete comments before the September 9 Planning Board meeting. The draft standards first became available for public and Planning Board review on Thursday, August 30, which provided only an 11 day review period, including a holiday weekend. Eleven days is insufficient for an important document such as this.

AAPS therefore requests that the Planning Board continue its consideration of the proposal to a future meeting.

As drafted, the proposed standards do not appear sufficient to maintain the architectural compatibility recently obtained with new multifamily projects in Alameda's older neighborhoods. For example, the relatively high quality designs ultimately approved for the Mulberry project bounded by Eagle Avenue, Willow Street and Clement Avenue, and the Housing Authority's affordable housing project on the north side of Eagle Avenue between Park and Everett Street on the former Island High School site, would probably not have been possible under the standards as proposed. The final designs of these projects are major improvements over the initial designs as a result of comments from AAPS and neighbors submitted to the Planning Board and the Planning Board's responsiveness to these comments.

There are a number of issues that are not addressed by the proposed standards but should be. These issues include:

- 1. **Surface materials.** For example, vinyl siding should probably be prohibited and cement fiber and other imitation wood siding should be smooth surfaced rather than with imitation raised wood grain.
- 2. Consistency with the surrounding architectural context, especially in historic areas, such as was the case for the Mulberry and Island High School projects. "Context" could be defined by architectural features of neighboring buildings, such as those within the same block face within perhaps 300 feet, as well as those across the street.

Elements of context could include, among others:

- a. Roof type, pitch and form (symmetrical versus asymmetrical);
- b. Window type (single/double hung, casement, etc.), material and proportions (vertical vs. horizontal);
- c. Surface materials; and
- d. Architectural style (perhaps selected from a list, such as that which is already in the Citywide Design Review Manual)

If a specified proportion (e.g. 50%) of buildings within the context area reflect a particular treatment or range of treatments for any of the above context elements, a "context" is considered established for that element and applied to the proposed project. Other communities have developed systems for applying context in this manner for new construction and could be used as starting points for Alameda's approach.

3. Ensuring that the architectural detailing referred to in Sections 4B.iii and 4C.ii and all other detailing are well executed and do not look kitschy, perhaps by requiring that they be derived from existing well-designed buildings that have the same architectural style. A list of such buildings could be developed and include all architecturally intact historic buildings (Historical Monuments and Study List buildings) plus well-designed newer buildings, such as 1925 Park Street and the Alameda Theatre Cineplex.

In addition:

- a. The window standards should be expanded to more fully reflect the criteria in the Guide to Residential Design and the Webster Street Design Review Manual.
- b. Provisions such as Section 4D's requirement that there must be a door, window or other opening every 30 feet within a street-facing wall are insufficient. Every 6 feet would probably be about right, but the distance could also be a function of the total number of openings and their size (individual and cumulative) within a particular wall length.

c. Some of the standards are not clear. For example Section 8D.ii. states, as one option, that storefront windows shall be supported by "a base treatment bottom frame element at least 4 inches in height". Does this "bottom frame element" refer to the bottom rail of the sash or some other architectural feature? An illustration or diagram would be helpful.

The above comments are only preliminary. We plan to expand them after we have reviewed the standards in more detail.

AAPS is prepared to suggest specific language to improve the standards and can supply rudimentary graphics illustrating some of the standards. We would like to work with staff in developing this language and the graphics.

Because of the time needed to develop the improved language for the standards and the desirability for staff review prior to submittal of revised standards to the Planning Board, continuing consideration of the standards to at least the Planning Board's October 14 meeting would be helpful.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Please contact me at (510) 523-0411 or <u>cbuckleyAICP@att.net</u> if you would like to discuss these comments.

Sincerely,

Christopher Buckley, Chair Preservation Action Committee Alameda Architectural Preservation Society

cc: Andrew Thomas and Allen Tai (by electronic transmission) AAPS Board and Preservation Action Committee (by electronic transmission) September 6, 2019

(By electronic transmission) Planning Board City of Alameda 2263 Santa Clara Avenue Alameda, CA 94501

Subject; Adoption of objective design review standards for multi-family residential development involving affordable housing (Item 7-D on Planning Board's 9-9-19 agenda)--REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE

Dear Planning Board members:

The West Alameda business Association (WABA) and its Design Committee are active participants in the design review of projects within the Webster Street Business District and have worked closely with planning staff, project sponsors and the Planning Board on the design of these projects. We initiated and, working with our consultant, wrote most of the Webster Street Design Review Manual that was adopted by the Planning Board in 2001, updated in 2005 and is now part of the Citywide Design Review Manual.

We are therefore very interested in the subject design review standards, since they apply to mixed use projects and could significantly impact development within the Webster Street Business District.

We would like to give the proposed standards a thorough review, including solicitation of input from our members, but not enough time has been provided for us to do this prior to the Planning Board's September 9, 2019 meeting. The draft standards only became available for public and Planning Board review late on August 30 as part of the website posting of the Planning Board's September 9 agenda, allowing only an 11 day review period, including a holiday weekend. This is insufficient review time for such an important document.

We therefore request that the Planning Board continue its consideration of the proposal, preferably to no sooner than its October 14, 2019 meeting.

Sincerely,

Linda Asbury, Executive Director West Alameda Business Association <u>linda@westalamedabusiness.com</u> 510.523.5955

By electronic transmission:

 cc: Andrew Thomas, Allen Tai, Nancy McPeak and Erin Garcia (Department of Planning, Building and Transportation)
 Mayor and City Council Members
 WABA Board and Design Committee

From:	pennycozad 1 <penny@cozad.com></penny@cozad.com>
Sent:	Saturday, September 07, 2019 7:02 AM
То:	NANCY McPeak
Cc:	Dorothy Freeman; Kate Pryor; stephbutler43@yahoo.com; wholebodies@msn.com; Christopher Buckley
Subject:	Proposed streamlined review process for affordable housing projects to be considered at 9-9-19 Planning Board meeting

*** CAUTION: This email message is coming from a non-City email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe. Please contact the Help Desk with any questions. ***

I am requesting a continuance of the Planning Board's September 9, 2019, meeting agenda item for the adoption of "objective design review standards" for multi-family residential projects that provide 50% affordable housing and payment of prevailing wages, among other requirements. The proposed standard will have a big impact on the future image of projects built in Alameda.

The Alameda Architectural Preservation Society and community members need more time to consider possible revisions to the proposed standards. Among the considerations would be: designs that fit in with the surrounding built environment, specification of materials for exterior walls, and the use of architectural detailing based on a list (to be developed) that includes architecturally intact historic buildings as well as recent construction that represents the historic quality of Alameda.

Alameda currently has a distinct architectural image that is a part of the city's desirability. Please remove item 7-D - 2019-7231 from the Sept 9 agenda items.

Penny Cozad 2049A Eagle Avenue Alameda, CA 94501 Penny@cozad.com cell: 510 499-3399

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Virginia Dofflemyer <wholebodies@msn.com> Saturday, September 07, 2019 2:24 PM NANCY McPeak Continuance for Proposed Agenda Item (7-D - 2019-7231) on Alameda Planning Board Meeting (9-09-19_</wholebodies@msn.com>
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

*** **CAUTION:** This email message is coming from a non-City email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe. Please contact the Help Desk with any questions. ***

The proposed meeting of the Planning Board on September 9, 2019 is scheduled to approve a streamlined review process for affordable housing projects. These projects tend to be larger in scope than those that may be less dedicated to affordable housing; and they are often folded into mixed use developments. Once completed, the anomalies and unconsidered design flaws (whether aesthetic or functional) are ultimately impossible to address even if there were a potential desire to do so. Careful design planning is perhaps one thing when addressing a single project, but to create a streamline review process for all affordable housing projects going forward within the city limits invites a careful study of the proposed plan, of the "failures" of projects already constructed, and community-wide input of the ways and means whereby such new housing entities might blend as seamlessly as possible with the architectural ambiance of the city; might functionally serve the communities who might potentially occupy them; and explore the optimal materials and standards for the safety and optimal interface of business and residential occupation.

The Alameda Architectural Preservation Society and members of the Alameda community would like to be involved in a more considered review of the proposal in order to consider potential revisions to the proposed standards that might be needed. Of considerable significance are the following: (1) preservation of homogeneity within the built environment in which such projects are intended to be constructed; (2) the clear specification of materials for exterior walls incorporated into the proposed standards together with a "to be prepared list" of specific architectural "detailing" of historical and modern architectural designs in the city of Alameda that might better support a conscious effort to preserve the integrity of the city's history (past and present).

I am requesting that the proposed agenda Item (7-D - 2019-7231) for the Alameda Planning Board Meeting (9-09-19) be removed and the item be continued for a future time, allowing Alameda community members more time to thoughtfully review the proposal and potentially make useful suggestions.

Sincerely,

G. Dofflemyer

From:Allen TaiSent:Monday, September 09, 2019 11:40 AMTo:NANCY McPeakSubject:FW: Proposed streamlined review process for affordable housing projects

-----Original Message-----From: Patsy Paul [mailto:patsypaul@comcast.net] Sent: Saturday, September 7, 2019 5:45 PM To: Ronald Curtis <rcurtis@alamedaca.gov> Cc: ANDREW THOMAS <ATHOMAS@alamedaca.gov>; Allen Tai <ATai@alamedaca.gov> Subject: RE: Proposed streamlined review process for affordable housing projects

*** CAUTION: This email message is coming from a non-City email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe. Please contact the Help Desk with any questions. ***

I'd like to request a continuance of this proposal so that AAPS will have adequate time for review and comment.

Thank you. Patsy Paul—home owner 2426 Buena Vista Ave.

From:	Allen Tai
Sent:	Monday, September 09, 2019 11:40 AM
То:	NANCY McPeak
Subject:	FW: Proposed streamlined review process for affordable housing projects to be considered at 9-9-19 Planning Board meeting

From: Melanie Wartenberg [mailto:mwartenberg@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, September 7, 2019 5:09 PM

To: Ronald Curtis <rcurtis@alamedaca.gov>; Jeffrey Cavanaugh <JCavanaugh@alamedaca.gov>; Alan Teague
 <ateague@alamedaca.gov>; Rona Rothenberg <RRothenberg@alamedaca.gov>; Asheshh Saheba
 <asaheba@alamedaca.gov>; Teresa Ruiz <truiz@alamedaca.gov>; Hanson Hom <hhom@alamedaca.gov>
 Cc: ANDREW THOMAS <ATHOMAS@alamedaca.gov>; Allen Tai <ATai@alamedaca.gov>
 Subject: RE: Proposed streamlined review process for affordable housing projects to be considered at 9-9-19 Planning Board meeting

*** **CAUTION:** This email message is coming from a non-City email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe. Please contact the Help Desk with any questions. ***

Dear Planning Board and staff,

I am requesting a continuance of this proposal so that AAPS and other residents all have adequate time for review and comment. As a neighbor directly across from the Housing Authority's affordable housing project on Eagle Avenue between Park and Everett Street on the former Island High School site, I am concerned about the standards as proposed. The final design of the Eagle housing I look at each and every day was a major improvement over the initial designs as a result of comments from AAPS and neighbors submitted to the Planning Board and the Planning Board's responsiveness to these comments. All future residents should have the same opportunity to participate and we need more time to fully understand the current proposal.

Thank you,

Melanie Wartenberg 2422 Eagle Ave