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## City of Alameda Sales Tax Update

## Alameda

## In Brief

Alameda's receipts from April through June were $23.5 \%$ above the second sales period in 2018. Last year's launch of the State's updated tax filing system delayed the timely processing of multiple returns in the year-ago quarter. Comparisons in restaurants, fuel-service stations and food-drug were more heavily inflated due to these delayed distributions. Excluding all reporting aberrations, actual sales were up $8.8 \%$.
Purchasing activity boomed during spring months as business-industry plus boats/motorcycles dealers posted hearty gains. Family apparel was temporarily inflated due to statewide misreporting of taxes in the comparable quarter; this error was later corrected.
Solid point of sale results were complimented by a $28 \%$ jump in the allocation from the countywide use tax pool. Missing payments accounted for most of the reduction from specialty stores.
Measure F, the City's locally approved $0.50 \%$ transactions and use tax, reported for the first time; $\$ 1,690,247$ was collected beyond the amounts noted above. Busi-ness-industry generated $27 \%$ of this revenue; $21 \%$ came from general consumer goods.
Net of aberrations, taxable sales for all of Alameda County grew $0.2 \%$ over the comparable time period; the Bay Area was up 1.9\%.

## Sales Tax by Major Business Group



## Top 25 Producers

In Alphabetical Order

| ABB Optical Group | North Face |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aisle 1 | Penumbra |
| Alameda Electrical | Pottery Barn Outlet |
| Distributors | Ross |
| Arco | Safeway |
| Bay Ship \& Yacht Co | Safeway Fuel |
| Bron Tapes | Standard Process |
| Chevron | Northern |
| CVS Pharmacy | Calfornia |
| Delphi Productions | Sushi House |
| In N Out Burger | Target |
| Kohls | TJ Maxx |
| La Penca Azul | Walgreens |
| Nob Hill Food | Webster 76 |

REVENUE COMPARISON Four Quarters - Fiscal Year To Date (Q3 to Q2)

2017-18
2018-19

| Point-of-Sale | $\$ 8,937,394$ | $\$ 10,645,486$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| County Pool | $1,483,382$ | $1,928,740$ |
| State Pool | 5,249 | 5,495 |
| Gross Receipts | $\$ 10,426,025$ | $\$ 12,579,721$ |
| Cty/Cnty Share | $(521,301)$ | $(628,986)$ |
| Net Receipts | $\$ 9,904,724$ | $\$ 11,950,735$ |
| Measure F |  |  |

## California Overall

The local one percent share of California's sales and use tax from April through June sales was $20.4 \%$ higher than the same quarter in 2018. However, the actual gain came to $2.9 \%$ after factoring for online filing issues and accounting anomalies. Fiscal year 2018-19 ended with an increase of $3.6 \%$ over the previous year after similarly adjusting for reporting aberrations.

The quarter exhibited continuation of a recent softening for most taxable categories. Rising used car sales and rentals helped offset what was otherwise, a generally flat quarter for the auto-transportation group. An acceleration in online shopping boosted receipts from county wide pools while gains for brick and mortar stores were limited to value-priced apparel, discount department stores and jewelry.
Restaurant patronage appears to be leveling with a shift toward lower cost dining options that produced relatively modest gains for the group when compared to previous quarters. New cannabis operations resulted in a small rise in food and drug receipts.
A $2.5 \%$ gain in business-industrial sales and use tax revenues came primarily from online fulfillment centers, logistics and utility company purchases and ongoing investment in automation and information technology. A similar rise in receipts from the building-construction group was due to a variety of infrastructure and onetime special projects that offset declines in material purchases for new home construction.

## Marketplace Facilitator Act

Effective Oct. 1, 2019, companies such as Amazon, eBay and Google who provide sales tax related services to other retailers are required to assume the obligation for collecting and remitting their client's sales and use tax. The definition of sales-related services includes payment processing, inventory and shipping of merchandise, order taking, providing customer service, or assisting with re-
turns and exchanges.
The Marketplace provision was part of AB 147 which was adopted to implement California's approach to the U.S. Supreme Court decision in South Dakota v. Wayfair Inc.

AB 147 requires out-of-state retailers with annual combined sales of $\$ 500,000$ or more to now collect and remit this state's sales and use tax from its customers. Applying the $\$ 500,000$ threshold to the sum total of all the third-party transactions that facilitators process for their clients, is hoped to produce moderate gains in previously uncollected revenues for the state, cities, counties and local transaction tax districts.

Facilitator tax remittances from merchandise inventoried in California will be allocated to specific jurisdictions while receipts from deliveries outside of the state will be distributed via the pools. Some facilitators have begun to collect and remit taxes ahead of this deadline. This is evidenced by new pool allocations and increases in direct allocations to certain jurisdictions.

SALES PER CAPITA


Revenue By Business Group Alameda This Quarter


Alameda Top 15 Business Types

| *In thousands of dollars | Alameda |  | County | HdL State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Type | Q2 '19* | Change | Change | Change |
| Boats/Motorcycles | 88.6 | 89.2\% | 43.6\% | 20.2\% |
| Business Services | 68.4 | 48.3\% | 79.7\% | 42.0\% |
| Casual Dining | 325.3 | 29.5\% | 25.3\% | 24.5\% |
| Convenience Stores/Liquor | 40.8 | 42.8\% | 17.1\% | 14.9\% |
| Discount Dept Stores | - CONF | ENTIAL - | 22.8\% | 26.3\% |
| Drug Stores | - CONF | ENTIAL - | -2.2\% | -0.3\% |
| Family Apparel | 113.9 | 44.9\% | 41.7\% | 45.3\% |
| Fast-Casual Restaurants | 59.4 | 6.0\% | 15.2\% | 18.6\% |
| Grocery Stores | 100.7 | 8.8\% | 19.2\% | 9.6\% |
| Light Industrial/Printers | 35.6 | 11.6\% | 37.4\% | 51.3\% |
| Medical/Biotech | - CONF | ENTIAL - | 39.2\% | 39.4\% |
| Plumbing/Electrical Supplies | - CONF | ENTIAL - | 68.3\% | 28.9\% |
| Quick-Service Restaurants | 142.8 | 36.1\% | 19.8\% | 15.8\% |
| Service Stations | 262.7 | 19.9\% | 50.4\% | 51.4\% |
| Specialty Stores | 53.4 | -29.1\% | -6.1\% | -8.1\% |
| Total All Accounts | 2,566.1 | 22.6\% | 17.6\% | 20.1\% |
| County \& State Pool Allocation | 500.9 | 28.1\% | 22.9\% | 22.4\% |
| Gross Receipts | 3,067.0 | 23.5\% | 18.5\% | 20.4\% |
| City/County Share | (153.3) | -23.5\% |  |  |
| Net Receipts | 2,913.6 | 23.5\% |  |  |

Major Industry Group
Business and Industry
Restaurants and Hotels
State and County Pools General Consumer Goods

Fuel and Service Stations
Food and Drugs
Autos and Transportation
Building and Construction
Transfers \& Unidentified
Total

| Count | $\underline{\mathbf{2 Q 1 9}}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 649 | 813,443 |
| 378 | 548,234 |
| - | 490,874 |
| 1,588 | 474,372 |
| 20 | 260,877 |
| 98 | 190,313 |
| 126 | 155,073 |
| 69 | 91,937 |
| 53 | 3,757 |
| 2,981 | $3,028,880$ |


| $\underline{\mathbf{2 Q 1 8}}$ | \$ Change <br> 701,932 | \% Change <br> 516,146 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{n} 2111,511$ | $15.9 \%$ |  |
| 421,682 | 32,088 | $6.2 \%$ |
| 492,806 | 69,191 | $16.4 \%$ |
| 248,620 | $(18,434)$ | $-3.7 \%$ |
| 188,116 | 12,257 | $4.9 \%$ |
| 136,297 | 2,197 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 74,527 | 18,776 | $13.8 \%$ |
| 2,779 | 17,411 | $23.4 \%$ |
| $2,782,905$ | 978 | $35.2 \%$ |
|  | 245,975 | $8.8 \%$ |

2Q18 Compared To 2Q19



CITY OF ALAMEDA
MAJOR INDUSTRY GROUPS - 13 QUARTER HISTORY

Sales Tax by Major Industry Group

| Business And Industry |
| :--- |
| Count: 649 |
| Restaurants And Hotels |
| Count: 378 |
| State \& County Pools |

Agency Trend


CITY OF ALAMEDA
GEO AREA COMPARISONS - 13 QUARTER HISTORY

Geo Area Names
Sales Tax by Geographic areas


CITY OF ALAMEDA
PER CAPITA SALES TAX SURPLUS/GAP COMPARISON - FISCAL YEAR 2018-19


The above graph compares per capita sales tax generated from targeted retail categories against countywide averages. A retail surplus suggests the community is capturing its local market for that category of goods plus attracting shoppers from outside the jurisdiction. A retail gap suggests the possibility that residents may have a greater demand for products in the specific category than is being satisfied by local businesses. The information is provided only as a general starting point in identifying new opportunities and is solely based on your jurisdiction's population. It is not market specific and does not factor in traffic patterns, demographic characteristics or potential competition within the market area but outside your jurisdiction's boundaries. For a comprehensive and detailed analysis of potential opportunities that your market can support, contact ECONSolutions@hdlcompanies.com

Agency allocations reflect "point of sale" receipts

| Agency Name | Count | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Current Year } \\ & \text { 2Q } 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Prior Year } \\ & 2 Q 2018 \end{aligned}$ | Share of County Pool | Actual Receipts \% Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adjusted } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dublin | 1,487 | 5,197,722 | 4,261,872 | 6.9\% | + 22.0\% | + 14.1\% |
| Alameda Co. Uninc | 2,614 | 2,589,361 | 1,946,454 | 3.4\% | + 33.0\% | + 8.3\% |
| Hayward | 4,425 | 8,956,245 | 6,270,866 | 11.8\% | + 42.8\% | + 7.9\% |
| Alameda | 2,981 | 2,566,116 | 2,092,913 | 3.4\% | + 22.6\% | + 7.5\% |
| Piedmont | 204 | 44,009 | 33,513 | 0.1\% | + 31.3\% | + 6.1\% |
| San Leandro | 2,680 | 7,010,944 | 5,671,239 | 9.2\% | + 23.6\% | + 4.7\% |
| Livermore | 2,932 | 7,502,293 | 6,329,921 | 9.9\% | + 18.5\% | + 4.5\% |
| Oakland | 14,289 | 13,182,148 | 10,482,905 | 17.4\% | + $25.7 \%$ | + 3.5\% |
| Albany | 561 | 603,261 | 478,599 | 0.8\% | + 26.0\% | + 2.8\% |
| Pleasanton | 3,984 | 5,103,097 | 4,223,493 | 6.7\% | + 20.8\% | + 2.4\% |
| Newark | 1,438 | 2,911,981 | 2,386,101 | 3.8\% | + 22.0\% | + 0.9\% |
| Berkeley | 4,946 | 4,137,456 | 3,230,313 | 5.5\% | + 28.1\% | - 0.1\% |
| Union City | 1,360 | 2,477,142 | 1,746,554 | 3.3\% | + 41.8\% | - 3.1\% |
| Emeryville | 863 | 1,863,415 | 1,297,151 | 2.5\% | + 43.7\% | - $4.9 \%$ |
| Fremont | 5,576 | 11,700,539 | 14,023,931 | 15.4\% | - 16.6\% | - $25.2 \%$ |
| Totals | 50,339 | 75,845,730 | 64,475,825 | 100.0\% | + 17.6\% | - $1.7 \%$ |
| Alameda Pool | 17,815 | 14,769,942 | 12,024,339 |  | + 22.8\% | + 11.8\% |

Per Capita Sales

| Alameda |
| :--- |
| Count: 2,981 |
| Oakland |
| Count: 14,289 |
| El Cerrito |
| Count: 661 |
| Union City |
| Count: 1,360 |
| San Leandro |
| Count: 2,680 |
| Berkeley |
| Count: 4,946 |
| Hayward |
| Count: 4,425 |

Per Capita Sales


[^0]
## HdW CITY TO STATE COMPARISON 2Q 2019

## City of Alameda



HdL Client Database Statewide Totals



[^0]:    Periods shown reflect the period in which the sales occurred - Point of Sale

