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## City of Alameda Sales Tax Update

## Alameda

## In Brief

Alameda's receipts from July through September were $8.0 \%$ below the third sales period in 2018. Excluding reporting aberrations, actual sales were up 1.5\%.
Returns by out-of-state retailers generated new taxes under the Wayfair decision; when combined with the rise in online procurement, $27.4 \%$ growth occurred in the pool allocation. The business and industry group had the largest gains after the pool. Casual dining and quick-service restaurants posted gains of $2.7 \%$ and $1.2 \%$ respectively , as a result of rising menu prices.
Service station declines reflected lower pump prices. General consumer goods were down 0.5\%; family apparel declined $0.9 \%$ and home furnishing dropped $2.8 \%$.
The voter-approved Measure F generated $\$ 1,853,722$ this quarter. Business and industry contributed $23 \%$ of the revenue followed by general consumer goods generating $22 \%$ and restaurants/hotels and autos/transportation tied at $15 \%$ each.
Net of aberrations, taxable sales for all of Alameda County declined 4.4\% over the comparable time period; the Bay Area was down 0.5\%.

## Sales Tax by Major Business Group


*Allocation aberrations have been adjusted to reflect sales activity

Top 25 Producers
In Alphabetical Order

| ABB Optical Group | Penumbra |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aisle 1 | Pottery Barn Outlet |
| Alameda Electrical | Ross |
| $\quad$ Distributors | Safeway |
| Arco | Safeway Fuel |
| Bron Tapes | Standard Process |
| Center for the | Sushi House |
| $\quad$ Collaborative | Target |
| $\quad$ Classroom | TJ Maxx |
| Chevron | Trabocco |
| CVS Pharmacy | Trader Joes |
| In N Out Burger | Walgreens |
| Kohls | Webster 76 |
| Nob Hill Food |  |
| North Face |  |

Revenue Comparison

| One Quarter - Fiscal Year To Date (Q3) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| Point-of-Sale | \$2,786,399 | \$2,481,146 |
| County Pool | 457,873 | 502,296 |
| State Pool | 1,466 | 1,021 |
| Gross Receipts | \$3,245,738 | \$2,984,464 |
| Cty/Cnty Share | $(162,287)$ | $(149,223)$ |
| Net Receipts | \$3,083,451 | \$2,835,241 |
| Measure F | \$0 | \$1,853,722 |

## Statewide Results

The local one-cent share of statewide sales and use tax from sales occurring July through September was $2.2 \%$ higher than the summer quarter of 2018 after adjusting for accounting anomalies.

The bulk of the increase came from the countywide use tax allocation pools and is due to the acceleration in online shopping where a large volume of the orders are shipped from out-of-state.
Online shopping also produced gains in the business-industrial group with in-state industrial zoned logistics centers filling orders previously taken by brick and mortar retailers. Purchases to support healthcare, food processing, logistics/warehouse operations and information/data technology also helped offset declines in other business-related categories.
With the exception of some discount and value-oriented retail, most categories of general consumer goods were down. New cannabis related start-ups offset declines in the food and drug group while a softening in building-construction receipts was consistent with recent declines in the volume and value of new building permit issuances.

Overall growth in restaurant receipts continued to soften with a shift toward lower cost dining establishments and takeout meal options. Reports of labor shortages and the impact of homelessness on customer traffic in metropolitan areas were reportedly factors in the decline in tax revenues from higher price, fine dining establishments.
Despite a slight uptick in used auto and auto lease receipts, the auto related group was significantly down due to a drop in new car and RV sales. Previously propped up by a $23 \%$ subprime rated customer base and six- and seven-year financing, loan delinquencies have recently surged back to levels last seen in 2009.

## Additional Tax Districts Approved

Voters approved eight of the nine sales
tax measures on the November 2019 ballot adding six new districts and extending two others.
This brings the total number of local transactions and use tax districts (TUT's) to 325 with 62 that are levied countywide and 263 imposed by individual cities. The number of local districts have close to tripled over the last decade as agencies deal with rising costs and service needs. TUT's have been a favorable option as visitors contribute to the tax and a collection system is already in place that minimizes administrative and monitoring costs.

California's basic rule is that the rate for all local TUT's combined, shall not exceed $2.0 \%$ or a total of $9.25 \%$ including the state levy. However, the state legislature has authorized higher caps in some jurisdictions with the highest voter-approved, combined state/local rate now at $10.5 \%$.

Thirty-five or more additional local TUT measures are currently being considered for the March 2020 ballot.

## Sales Per Capita*


*Allocation aberrations have been adjusted to reflect sales activity
Revenue By Business Group Alameda This Quarter*

*Allocation aberrations have been adjusted to reflect sales activity

## ALAMEDA TOP 15 BUSINESS TYPES**

| */n thousands of dollars | Alameda |  | County | HdL State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Type | Q3 '19* | Change | Change | Change |
| Boats/Motorcycles | 38.1 | -26.5\% | -14.5\% | 1.8\% |
| Business Services | 53.7 | 29.3\% | 10.0\% | 7.4\% |
| Casual Dining | 303.7 | 2.7\% | 3.7\% | 2.3\% |
| Convenience Stores/Liquor | 36.1 | -5.6\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% |
| Discount Dept Stores | - CONF | ENTIAL - | 1.5\% | 2.8\% |
| Drug Stores | - CONF | ENTIAL - | -2.4\% | -1.2\% |
| Family Apparel | 135.0 | -0.9\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% |
| Fast-Casual Restaurants | 61.1 | 6.1\% | -1.4\% | 5.2\% |
| Grocery Stores | 97.6 | 6.6\% | 3.7\% | 1.7\% |
| Home Furnishings | 46.4 | -2.8\% | -0.4\% | -1.0\% |
| Medical/Biotech | - CONF | ENTIAL - | 6.4\% | 6.5\% |
| Plumbing/Electrical Supplies | - CONF | ENTIAL - | 9.0\% | 4.3\% |
| Quick-Service Restaurants | 136.2 | 1.2\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% |
| Service Stations | 239.0 | -3.4\% | -4.3\% | -1.5\% |
| Specialty Stores | 65.8 | 5.0\% | -1.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Total All Accounts | 2,465.0 | -2.4\% | -7.9\% | 0.2\% |
| County \& State Pool Allocation | 487.4 | 27.4\% | 17.4\% | 14.9\% |
| Gross Receipts | 2,952.5 | 1.5\% | -4.4\% | 2.3\% |


| Major Industry Group | Count | $\underline{3 Q 19}$ | $\underline{3 Q 18}$ | \$ Change | \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Business and Industry | 656 | 787,023 | 704,494 | 82,528 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Restaurants and Hotels | 368 | 527,319 | 513,889 | 13,430 | $2.6 \%$ |
| General Consumer Goods | 1,569 | 504,177 | 506,714 | $(2,537)$ | $-0.5 \%$ |
| State and County Pools | - | 487,423 | 382,489 | 104,933 | $27.4 \%$ |
| Fuel and Service Stations | 19 | 239,081 | 247,364 | $(8,283)$ | $-3.3 \%$ |
| Food and Drugs | 101 | 181,503 | 185,246 | $(3,743)$ | $-2.0 \%$ |
| Autos and Transportation | 125 | 123,221 | 125,126 | $(1,906)$ | $-1.5 \%$ |
| Building and Construction | 68 | 99,450 | 87,662 | 11,788 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Transfers \& Unidentified | 47 | 3,272 | 154,443 | $(151,172)$ | $-97.9 \%$ |
| Total | 2,953 | $2,952,468$ | $2,907,428$ | 45,040 | $1.5 \%$ |

3Q18 Compared To 3Q19



Sales Tax by Major Industry Group

| Business And Industry |
| :--- |
| Count: 656 |
| Restaurants And Hotels |
| Count: 368 |
| General Consumer Goods |
| Count: 1,569 |

Agency Trend


CITY OF ALAMEDA
GEO AREA COMPARISONS - 13 QUARTER HISTORY

Geo Area Names
Sales Tax by Geographic areas



The above graph compares per capita sales tax generated from targeted retail categories against countywide averages. A retail surplus suggests the community is capturing its local market for that category of goods plus attracting shoppers from outside the jurisdiction. A retail gap suggests the possibility that residents may have a greater demand for products in the specific category than is being satisfied by local businesses. The information is provided only as a general starting point in identifying new opportunities and is solely based on your jurisdiction's population. It is not market specific and does not factor in traffic patterns, demographic characteristics or potential competition within the market area but outside your jurisdiction's boundaries. For a comprehensive and detailed analysis of potential opportunities that your market can support, contact ECONSolutions@hdlcompanies.com

Agency allocations reflect "point of sale" receipts

| Agency Name | Count | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Current Year } \\ \text { 3Q 2019 } \end{gathered}$ | Prior Year 3Q 2018 | Share of County Pool | Actual Receipts \% Change | Adjusted \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hayward | 4,474 | 8,867,459 | 8,722,130 | 11.7\% | + 1.7\% | + 11.4\% |
| Albany | 572 | 629,496 | 678,818 | 0.8\% | - 7.3\% | + 7.7\% |
| Alameda Co. Uninc | 2,627 | 2,683,459 | 2,822,834 | 3.5\% | - $4.9 \%$ | + 4.1\% |
| Union City | 1,361 | 2,409,379 | 3,055,185 | 3.2\% | - 21.1\% | + 3.0\% |
| San Leandro | 2,691 | 6,900,453 | 7,829,817 | 9.1\% | - 11.9\% | + 2.7\% |
| Emeryville | 854 | 2,191,735 | 2,763,083 | 2.9\% | - 20.7\% | + 1.7\% |
| Livermore | 2,966 | 7,627,587 | 8,649,971 | 10.1\% | - 11.8\% | + 0.6\% |
| Berkeley | 5,058 | 4,190,850 | 5,109,926 | 5.5\% | - 18.0\% | - 2.2\% |
| Pleasanton | 3,950 | 4,976,239 | 5,859,029 | 6.6\% | - 15.1\% | - 2.3\% |
| Alameda | 2,953 | 2,481,146 | 2,786,399 | 3.3\% | - 11.0\% | - 2.4\% |
| Oakland | 14,504 | 13,265,633 | 14,936,227 | 17.5\% | - 11.2\% | - 3.7\% |
| Dublin | 1,457 | 4,981,341 | 5,851,243 | 6.6\% | - 14.9\% | - 5.1\% |
| Newark | 1,430 | 2,765,799 | 3,765,855 | 3.6\% | - 26.6\% | - 12.1\% |
| Fremont | 5,564 | 11,861,987 | 19,605,076 | 15.6\% | - 39.5\% | - 35.5\% |
| Piedmont | 205 | 37,864 | 47,282 | 0.0\% | - 19.9\% | - $35.5 \%$ |
| Totals | 50,665 | 75,870,426 | 92,482,876 | 100.0\% | - 18.0\% | - $7.9 \%$ |
| Alameda Pool | 18,710 | 15,359,605 | 15,197,163 |  | + 1.1\% | + 17.6\% |

Per Capita Sales

| Alameda |
| :--- |
| Count: 2,953 |
| Oakland |
| Count: 14,504 |
| EI Cerrito |
| Count: 667 |
| Union City |
| Count: 1,361 |
| San Leandro |
| Count: 2,691 |
| Berkeley |
| Count: 5,058 |
| Hayward |
| Count: 4,474 |

Per Capita Sales
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## HdW CITY TO STATE COMPARISON <br> 3Q 2019

## City of Alameda



HdL Client Database Statewide Totals



[^0]:    Periods shown reflect the period in which the sales occurred - Point of Sale

