

Summary of Governance and Elected Issues Considered:

Recommend for NOT moving forward

- District Elections
 - 82% unfavorable response
 - Pro: better, more in-touch representation, lower the bar for participation
 - Con: Too small a city, divisive, pitting neighborhoods against each other
- Ranked-Choice Voting
 - 71% unfavorable response
 - Pro: increased representation, more effective
 - Con: too complicated,
- Campaign Ethic Rules
 - 66% in favor
 - Key: 25% say “not in charter” more than “not interested”
 - Pro: increase transparency, set financial limits, public finance
 - Con: Not in charter, Who sets rules
- Revise Elected Term Limits
 - 65% in favor
 - Pro: Establish for all elected, require a break from office
 - Con: current system works/no limits at all
- Eliminate Direct Election of Mayor
 - 75% Not in favor
 - Pro: broaden the base,
 - Con: Stronger mayor, better accountability
- Remove Elected Auditor and Elected Treasurer
 - 53% Not in favor
 - Pro: accountability,
 - Con: No longer relevant, waste of money
 - Add term limits to Auditor/Treasurer
 - This was mentioned multiple times under this item

Recommend for Moving Forward:

- Increase Council Pay
 - 85% in favor
 - Pro: attract more people to run
 - Con: more money won't impact pool of candidates
- Remove Pay from Charter
 - 71% in favor
 - Pro: Keep guidelines in charter
 - Con: residents should set the pay by vote
- Align Term limits for all elected

Not Surveyed but recommended for moving forward:

- Provide City Attorney's office with explicit prosecutorial authority
 - Council directed to look at after outreach
- Clean-up
 - 2-11 - add direct employees of electeds (regional)
 - Gender-neutral Charter
 - Bring remote meeting rules into 21st century to accommodate parents