

NANCY McPeak

From: City Clerk
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2020 12:51 PM
To: NANCY McPeak; ERIN GARCIA
Subject: Fwd: Feedback and questions for Planning Board on North Housing

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Here is the public comment from last night.

Thanks

Begin forwarded message:

From: Courtney Shepler <c_shepler@hotmail.com>
Date: May 11, 2020 at 7:31:17 PM PDT
To: City Clerk <CLERK@alamedaca.gov>
Subject: Feedback and questions for Planning Board on North Housing

*** **CAUTION:** This email message is coming from a non-City email address. Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe. Please contact the Help Desk with any questions. ***

As a homeowner in Alameda Landing, I remain concerned about the considerable density of this project, and in particular, the following points:

1. The parking planned is shockingly insufficient and I request evidence of how these numbers of allowed spaces were derived. As a neighboring community, the insufficient parking provided at North Housing will negatively impact our community with overflow. What about guest parking for the visitors to residents at North Housing? How will the Housing Authority enforce these provisions and ensure that the parking planned will be sufficient? Will it be covered as a requirement in the lease terms and conditions?
2. The Housing Authority references private property of Alameda Landing (bike path) as amenities they cite for this property. How can they currently assert that they will have use of the private property of the Alameda Landing Homeowner's Association? The Housing Authority has not been in communication with the Board of the Alameda Landing HOA in months, and no such agreement has been reached. The lack of recent communication, partnership, and transparency of the Housing Authority is of significant concern.

Thank you,

Courtney Shepler