

Quarterly Sales Tax Report for the Period Ending March 31, 2021

CITY OF ALAMEDA SALES TAX UPDATE 4Q 2020 (OCTOBER - DECEMBER)



-2.0%

STATE

 \downarrow

ALAMEDA

-10.1% 4Q2020



TOTAL: \$2,821,495



Measure F TOTAL: \$1,917,729 -3.7%



CITY OF ALAMEDA HIGHLIGHTS

Alameda's receipts from October through December were 12.6% below the fourth sales period in 2019. Excluding reporting aberrations, actual sales were down 10.1%.

Activity diminished in the businessindustry group; several segments filed smaller returns. Lingering impacts related to the coronavirus pandemic occurred for the fourth time; all segments of restaurants sold fewer meals while service station's decline was attributed to less gallons of fuel vended under stifled retail prices.

General consumer goods and autostransportation decreased; buyers were

fewer in number. Bright spots popped up in foods-drugs with the addition of a new business early last year. Online spending spiked in response to COVID-19 merchant restrictions; use tax allocations climbed 19% which partially mitigated local brick and mortar decreases.

The transaction taxes remitted from Measure F as noted above dipped 3.7% over year-ago figures; eateries and gasoline sellers suffered big drops even though other categories posted improved results. Net of aberrations, taxable sales for the Bay Area declined 8.0% over the comparable time period.

(\$ **TOP 25 PRODUCERS**

ABB Optical Group Abbott Diabetes Care Aisle 1 Alameda Electrical Distributors Bay Ship & Yacht Bed Bath & Bevond Chevron **CVS** Pharmacy In N Out Burger Kohls Lucky Market Main Street Supply Michaels Arts & Crafts Nob Hill Food Penumbra

Petco Pottery Barn Outlet Ross Safeway Safeway Fuel Target TJ Maxx Trader Joes Walgreens Webster 76

Hdl[®] Companies Published by HdL Companies in Spring 2021



STATEWIDE RESULTS

The local one cent sales and use tax from sales occurring October through December, the holiday shopping season, was 1.9% lower than the same quarter one year ago after adjusting for accounting anomalies and back payments from previous periods. Lower receipts were primarily concentrated in the Bay Area and coastal southern regions while much of inland California, including the San Joaquin Valley, Inland Empire, and northern regions, exhibited solid gains.

As expected, the larger place of sale categories which have been negatively impacted throughout the pandemic continue to be brick and mortar general consumer goods retailers like family apparel, department, and electronics/ appliance stores. With limited to zero allowed indoor dining (depending on a County's Covid-19 tier assignment), restaurants and hotels suffered the largest losses especially in communities that strongly rely on tourism. Although the workforce has slowly begun to return to physical office environments, fuel and service stations revenues lagged the prior year performance.

It does not appear that Governor Newsom's second 'shelter at home' directive, initiated by the increase in Covid-19 cases had an impact on overall results. While some merchants chose to utilize the Governor's executive order allowing for a 90-day deferral of sales tax remittance, it was substantially less than the similar opportunity companies utilized during the 1st and 2nd quarters of 2020. The outstanding payments for most California cities will be remitted before the end of the 2020-21 fiscal year.

On the bright side, as consumer confidence stabilized post the national

presidential election, customers were motivated to comfortably spend on high-end luxury automobiles, boatsmotorcycles, RVs, and sporting goods/ equipment.

The building-construction sector, with 1) increased price of goods – like lumber, 2) continued home improvement projects, and 3) advantageous fall/ winter weather conditions saw strong gains that remained consistent throughout the calendar year.

Exponential growth from countywide use tax pools further helped offset the declines. Greater online shopping signifying a permanent shift of consumer habits to this more convenient experience was inevitable.

On the horizon, mass deployment of the Covid-19 vaccine will help a greater number of businesses, restaurants and theme parks to reach reopen status. Recent approval of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 will further support greater consumer spending, albeit in targeted segments. Pent up demand for summer outdoor experiences and travel is likely and thereby household spending is temporarily reverted away from taxable goods when compared to recent activity.



TOP NON-CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS TYPES

Alameda Business Type	Q4 '20*	Change	County Change	HdL State Change	
Casual Dining	201.2	-40.8%	-41.8% 🗸	-39.4% 🕠	
Service Stations	167.8	-32.5%	-37.9% 🕔	-31.2% 🕕	
Grocery Stores	126.3	2.1%	-3.3% 🕠	5.2%	
Quick-Service Restaurants	113.6	-16.9%	-19.1% 🕔	-8.7%	
Family Apparel	85.3	-10.0%	-24.8% 🕠	-16.1% 🕕	
Specialty Stores	64.6	-16.6%	-14.3% 🕠	-6.7%	
Fast-Casual Restaurants	48.8	-12.4%	-21.9% 🕔	-12.0% 🕕	
Home Furnishings	48.6	13.3%	-4.7% 🗸	1.0%	
Convenience Stores/Liquor	40.5	10.2%	0.1%	-2.0%	
Boats/Motorcycles	37.9	-7.4%	1.7%	22.8%	
*Allocation aberrations have been ad	les activity	*In thousands of dollars			



CITY OF ALAMEDA

MAJOR INDUSTRY GROUPS

Major Industry Group	Count	<u>4Q20</u>	<u>4Q19</u>	\$ Change	% Change
State and County Pools	-	710,547	595,889	114,658	19.2%
Business and Industry	646	655,370	773,057	(117,687)	-15.2%
General Consumer Goods	1,450	464,185	511,923	(47,738)	-9.3%
Restaurants and Hotels	359	370,218	566,208	(195,990)	-34.6%
Food and Drugs	104	232,923	216,729	16,194	7.5%
Fuel and Service Stations	19	170,239	249,194	(78,954)	-31.7%
Autos and Transportation	126	115,723	121,294	(5,571)	-4.6%
Building and Construction	62	95,149	93,172	1,977	2.1%
Transfers & Unidentified	57	7,141	10,367	(3,225)	-31.1%
Total	2,823	2,821,495	3,137,832	(316,337)	-10.1%

4Q19 Compared To 4Q20



4Q20 Percent of Total



CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION HdL • 909.861.4335 • www.hdlcompanies.com



CITY OF ALAMEDA MAJOR INDUSTRY GROUPS - 13 QUARTER HISTORY

Sales Tax by Major Industry Group



Agency Trend \$3,200K \$3,000K Alameda \$2,800K \$2,600K 13 Quarter Trend: -6.1% \$2,400K \$2,200K \$2,000K 4Q 1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q 1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q 1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q 17 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 20 20 20 20

Periods shown reflect the period in which the sales occurred - Point of Sale

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION HdL • 909.861.4335 • www.hdlcompanies.com



CITY OF ALAMEDA GEO AREA COMPARISONS - 13 QUARTER HISTORY



* Periods Shown Reflect the Period in Which the Sales Occurred - Point of Sale

CITY OF ALAMEDA

ADJUSTED FOR

PER CAPITA SALES TAX SURPLUS/GAP COMPARISON - 4 QUARTERS ENDING 4Q 2020



The above graph compares **per capita** sales tax generated from targeted retail categories against countywide averages. A **retail surplus** suggests the community is capturing its local market for that category of goods plus attracting shoppers from outside the jurisdiction. A **retail gap** suggests the possibility that residents may have a greater demand for products in the specific category than is being satisfied by local businesses. The information is provided only as a general **starting point** in identifying new opportunities and is solely based on your jurisdiction's population. It is not market specific and does not factor in traffic patterns, demographic characteristics or potential competition within the market area but outside your jurisdiction's boundaries. For a comprehensive and detailed analysis of potential opportunities that your market can support, contact <u>ECONSolutions@hdlcompanies.com</u>

HOLE ALAMEDA COUNTY ALL AGENCIES

SALES TAX TRENDS FOR ALL AGENCIES - 4Q 2020 SALES

Agency allocations reflect "point of sale" receipts

Agency Name	Count	Current Year 4Q 2020	Prior Year 4Q 2019	Share of County Pool	Actual Receipts % Change	Adjusted % Change
San Leandro	2,777	7,084,831	7,822,219	10.7%	- 9.4%	- 3.1%
Fremont	5,539	11,736,562	12,838,300	17.6%	- 8.6%	- 4.6%
Newark	1,449	2,677,133	3,163,793	4.0%	- 15.4%	- 7.1%
Dublin	1,453	4,633,036	5,198,356	7.0%	- 10.9%	- 8.3%
Union City	1,357	2,143,631	2,373,538	3.2%	- 9.7%	- 10.5%
Hayward	4,480	7,559,190	9,077,530	11.4%	- 16.7%	- 11.0%
Alameda Co. Uninc	2,682	2,108,105	2,646,458	3.2%	- 20.3%	- 14.2%
Albany	551	500,510	674,932	0.8%	- 25.8%	- 15.3%
Livermore	2,893	6,818,186	8,340,635	10.3%	- 18.3%	- 15.6%
Piedmont	193	44,224	63,766	0.1%	- 30.6%	- 16.4%
Alameda	2,823	2,058,889	2,533,893	3.1%	- 18.7%	- 17.0%
Pleasanton	3,572	4,609,573	5,162,634	6.9%	- 10.7%	- 20.3%
Oakland	13,921	9,809,021	12,444,838	14.7%	- 21.2%	- 21.9%
Berkeley	4,595	3,182,205	4,452,922	4.8%	- 28.5%	- 24.7%
Emeryville	833	1,537,499	2,207,382	2.3%	- 30.3%	- 25.3%
Totals	49,117	66,502,595	79,001,196	100.0%	- 15.8%	- 13.0%
Alameda Pool	17,872	21,459,103	18,104,693		+ 18.5%	+ 23.7%



CITY OF ALAMEDA AGENCY COMPARISONS



Per Capita Sales



$Hd L^{\textcircled{O}}_{4Q\ 2020} \text{ CITY TO STATE COMPARISON}$



HdL Client Database Statewide Totals

