

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING
TUESDAY- -DECEMBER 4, 2018- -7:00 P.M.

Mayor Spencer convened the meeting at 7:06 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL - Present: Councilmembers Ezzy Ashcraft, Matarrese, Oddie, Vella, and Mayor Spencer – 5.

Absent: None.

AGENDA CHANGES

(18-651) Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft stated that she would like to consolidate the McKay Hearing [paragraph no. 18-662] and the certificate of sufficiency [paragraph no. 18-662B].

Mayor Spencer stated that should would like to move the referral update [paragraph no. 18-661] above the McKay Hearing; stated that she does not want to consolidate the two items; the is the first time the matters are being heard; the issues are different; if the items are combined she would like speakers to have three minutes.

Councilmember Oddie inquired the number of speakers.

The City Clerk responded there are approximately 9 speakers on each and some people submitted slips for both.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft moved approval of moving the certificate of sufficiency to the regular meeting and consolidating it with the McKay Hearing, and hearing the combined matter after the referral update.

Vice Mayor Vella seconded the motion with an amendment to give the speakers three minutes.

Under discussion, Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft accepted the amendment to the motion.

On the call for the question, the motion carried by the following voice vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Ezzy Ashcraft, Matarrese, Oddie and Vella – 4. Noes: Mayor Spencer – 1.

PROCLAMATIONS, SPECIAL ORDERS OF THE DAY AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

(18-652) Proclamation Declaring December 4, 2018 as Sea Fox Crew Appreciation Day.

Mayor Spencer read the proclamation and presented it to Sea Scouts Cole Harris, Mason Ensley, Ken Kirwin, and Skipper Ken Shupe.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS, NON-AGENDA

None.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Mayor Spencer announced the Annual Review of the Affordable Housing Ordinance [paragraph no. 18-655] was removed from the Consent Calendar for discussion

Councilmember Matarrese moved approval of the remainder of the Consent Calendar.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 5. [Items so enacted or adopted are indicated by an asterisk preceding the paragraph number.]

(*18-653) Minutes of the Special and Regular City Council Meetings Held on November 7, 2018. Approved.

(*18-654) Ratified bills in the amount of \$2,285,182.03.

(18-655) Recommendation to Accept the Annual Review of the Affordable Housing Ordinance and the City's Affordable Housing Unit Fee Consistent with Section 27-1 of the Alameda Municipal Code and California Government Code Section 66001, and Accept the Annual Affordable Housing Unit Fee Fund Activity Report.

The Base Reuse and Community Development Director stated the she and the Housing Authority Management Analyst are available to respond to any questions.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft requested the Base Reuse and Community Development Director to explain the fee.

The Base Reuse and Community Development Director stated every year, the City is required to file an annual report with the City Council on the amount of money received, the amount of money expended, and the purpose of the expenditure; the fee is charged to certain commercial development projects to mitigate impacts on affordable housing.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft stated that she has no problem levying the fee and collecting it; expressed concern over the ending fund balance of \$144,609; stated that she would prefer not to see a six figure balance left.

The Base Reuse and Community Development Director stated the fund can fluctuate, and is used for special studies, projects and administrative costs; staff cannot rely on the same amount of money remaining in the fund every year.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft requested staff to discuss other pressing needs that the funds might be applied towards in the future.

Councilmember Oddie moved approval of the staff recommendation.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 5.

(*18-656) Recommendation to Authorize the Interim City Manager to Execute a Second Amendment for One Year with NCE, in the Amount of \$95,375 with the Option for Two One-Year Extensions at the same Terms and Cost, for a Total Five Year Expenditure Under the Agreement, as Awarded, of \$359,890 for Municipal Regional Stormwater Permit Compliance Support. Accepted.

(*18-657) Recommendation to Authorize the Interim City Manager to Execute a Three Year Contract, in an Amount Not to Exceed \$30,000 Annually for a Total Three-Year Expenditure Amount Not to Exceed \$90,000, with Physio-Control Inc. for Warranty and Maintenance of Fire Department Advanced Life Support (ALS) Medical Monitors and Equipment. Accepted.

(*18-658) Resolution No.15459, “Setting the 2019 Regular City Council Meeting Dates.” Adopted.

REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS

(18-659) Presentation Providing an Update on the Veterans Affairs Project at Alameda Point by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Health Administration.

The Base Reuse and Community Development Director made brief comments.

Councilmember Matarrese inquired if the presentation is a response to the letter sent following a referral, to which the Base Reuse and Community Development Director responded the presentation is a bonus in addition to the letter.

Larry Janes and Bill Ulibarri, Department of Veterans Affairs, gave a Power Point presentation.

Councilmember Matarrese inquired what the Secretary of the VA can do to accelerate the project.

Mr. Ulibarri stated he will relay the inquiry from Councilmember Matarrese to the Secretary.

Councilmember Oddie inquired if there is a way to quantify what part of the project delay is due to the Army Corps of Engineers as opposed to anything the VA may or may not have done.

Mr. Ulibarri responded that he would rather not say; stated there have been some challenges; the Army Corps, being newly involved, are going to want to take the project from zero and go over the whole project design again.

Mr. Janes stated the process has been lengthy with transitions; the entire design of the project had to be reviewed with comments provided, and was finally accepted in 2017; the VA is working with utility companies through the permitting process; during the non-breeding season, the VA has provided licenses to law enforcement agencies to conduct annual Urban Shield exercises, as well as licenses to the Air Museum for the Doolittle Historic Walk; a contractor with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is working to install cameras around the least tern colony.

Stated the project is fantastic; expressed concern over taking care of veterans and using the area for urban shield exercises: Richard Bangert, Alameda.

Stated the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) permit with the VA has expired; suggested the VA return to BCDC to renew the permit: Irene Dieter, Alameda.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft expressed disappointment with the opening date being pushed to 2026; stated construction delays will not coincide with surrounding development, leaving equipment present longer than desired; expressed concern for veterans and their families; stated that she wants to see the project proceed with as much speed as possible.

Councilmember Matarrese stated that he would like Council to give direction to the City Manager to stay on top of the project; other projects have tight timelines that must be adhered to; if delays occur, a report back to the Council is needed; a promise was made by the VA to have a clinic and columbarium cemetery to serve veterans in Alameda; requested assistance from lobbyists to help expedite the project in any possible way.

Vice Mayor Vella inquired if a letter should be sent to the Army Corps due to its substantial involvement in the project; stated if the site needs substantial changes, the Army Corps can provide more insight; that she would like direction given to also reach out to the Army Corps via a letter from the City's Mayor and City Manager; there is a level of concern from the public related to Urban Shield, about the agreement being out of compliance and the exercises being shocking; transparency is needed when exercises occur; expressed concern about the infrastructure timing being disruptive to other projects occurring in the area.

Tim Graham, VA, stated that he agrees the VA can do a better job of being transparent; committed to promptly notifying the City of any upcoming Urban Shield activities; stated the exercises are permitted within the VA's legal interpretation of the environmental document during the off season for least tern breeding; Urban Shield exercises will not occur once the VA clinic is built.

Councilmember Oddie stated reaching out to the Army Corps is important; expressed disappointment in the clinic timeline being extended; requested City staff to return with information related to Urban Shield not performing exercises on Alameda.

In response to the Interim City Manager's inquiry regarding the Urban Shield license, Mr. Graham stated the license is with Alameda County Sherriff's Office, but he would have to review the license.

The City Manager inquired whether the license is renewed annually, to which Mr. Graham responded in the affirmative.

Mr. Janes inquired if Alameda Police Department participates in Urban Shield activities, to which Mayor Spencer responded in the affirmative.

Councilmember Matarrese inquired if a summary of Council comments will be captured and compiled in a report, to which the Interim City Manager responded in the affirmative.

Mayor Spencer stated having Urban Shield events once the facility opens would not be appropriate.

(18-660) Public Hearing to consider Resolution No.15460, "Amending Master Fee Resolution No. 12191 to Add New and Revise Existing Recreation and Park Fees." Adopted.

The Recreation and Parks Director gave a Power Point presentation.

In response to Vice Mayor Vella's inquiry why golf information is not included, the Recreation and Parks Director stated there is an operation and maintenance lease with Greenway; under the lease, the City only has purview over is the resident, youth and senior rates; concluded the presentation.

Councilmember Oddie inquired if there is an estimate of additional revenue the City will receive from all fees combined, to which the Recreation and Parks Director responded she has not looked at the total revenue of all fees, which can vary from year to year due to the economy and marketing efforts.

In response to Councilmember Oddie's inquiry, the Recreation and Parks Director stated that she will have specific numbers at the time the City budget is presented.

Vice Mayor Vella stated that she learned to swim at an Alameda Recreation and Parks Department (ARPD) swim center; it is important to give an opportunity for everybody to have access for water safety and swim lessons; expressed support for keeping swim lessons at a lower cost.

The Recreation and Parks Director stated there are scholarship programs available for all ARPD programs, including swim programs.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft expressed gratitude for ARPD staff; stated that she is prepared to support the item.

Mayor Spencer moved adoption of the resolution.

Councilmember Matarrese seconded the motion, which carried by unanimous voice vote – 5.

(18-661) Recommendation to Accept an Update on the April 2, 2018 and May 1, 2018 Referrals from Councilmember Matarrese to Consider Banning Motorized Vehicles, Including Robotic Commercial Vehicles, from Sidewalks and Commercial Drone Aircraft Used for Deliveries; and Electric Scooters.

The City Engineer and Senior Transportation Coordinator gave a Power Point presentation.

Councilmember Oddie inquired who is providing delivery via autonomous vehicles, to which the City Engineer responded Starship Technologies.

The City Engineer continued the presentation.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft inquired if the pilot programs in Walnut Creek are ongoing, and if so, for how long, to which the City Engineer responded one year.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft inquired if the pilot programs in Redwood City or Walnut Creek did a report at the end of the program, to which the City Engineer responded staff did not research said level of detail.

Vice Mayor Vella inquired why the secondary staff recommendation only bans the use of sidewalks for autonomous vehicles, to which the City Engineer responded the intent is to limit potential sidewalk congestion.

Vice Mayor Vella stated there have been incidents where autonomous vehicles have been sighted without requesting approval from cities; San Francisco implemented a ban addressing sidewalk and roadway use, yet has many startup companies which utilize both sidewalks and roadways.

The City Engineer stated the San Francisco ban specifically addressed the sidewalk component; the staff recommendation is based on language from the San Francisco ban.

Vice Mayor Vella stated San Francisco has dealt with non-autonomous vehicles on roadways; inquired if there is a way for cities to regulate the use of streets relative to

something that is not a car but still using a road, to which the Acting City Attorney responded the regulation of public thoroughfare is a Statewide concern; stated that he would be happy to report back to Council with findings at a later date.

The City Engineer continued the presentation.

Councilmember Oddie inquired how restrictive the City can be, to which the City Engineer responded staff could not definitively answer at the moment.

The Senior Transportation Planner continued the presentation.

Councilmember Oddie inquired what would happen if the scooter companies refused to not deploy within the City, to which the Senior Transportation Planner responded both companies, Bird and Lime, are present and have been cooperative in not deploying scooters within the City.

Councilmember Oddie inquired what happens if a company decides to leave and the City is left with the remaining scooters, to which the Senior Transportation Planner responded companies who see interest from cities want to work cooperatively and not be bad players so as to be allowed in at a later time.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft inquired what scooter companies can do about the enforcement of underage scooter use.

The Senior Transportation Planner responded all companies require a scan of the users' valid driver license or learner's permit in order to access the scooter via the application based program.

Vice Mayor Vella inquired the reason for staff's recommendation of a pilot program as opposed to working on an end result.

The Senior Transportation Planner responded the pilot program would be the slower option; stated staff could also bring a program to Council; other cities have also started pilot programs.

Stated LimeBike looks forward to continuing to work with the City: Tarik Fleming, LimeBike.

Submitted signatures for the record; stated that Bird would prefer waiting four months instead of one year: Martin Fatool, Bird.

Expressed support for the Transportation Commission recommendation, and implementing e-bikes and scooters to replace car trips: Brian McGuire, Bike Walk Alameda.

Councilmember Matarrese moved approval of a ban, using language within the

jurisdiction, of delivery by drones and robotic delivery vehicles.

Mayor Spencer seconded the motion.

Under discussion, Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft stated that she will not support the motion; quoted passages from the December issue of Western City Magazine; stated there is a need to keep up with emerging technology, but it must be managed.

Vice Mayor Vella stated there are a number of safety concerns that have not been addressed yet; expressed concern over the kinds of items being transported and when items are delivered; stated part of having a ban is not to say the City will never allow the use to happen, but rather to require companies to ask for permission before operating in the City and to have an enforcement mechanism; the intent is to prevent asking for forgiveness instead of permission and to allow for public dialogue and conversation; expressed support of the ban; stated the language could be bifurcated to have the language on the ban regarding robotic delivery; inquired what options the City has when items operate on the streets and impede traffic; stated staff could work on limitations within the purview of what is permissible regarding the drone language restrictions on time, place and manner .

Councilmember Matarrese amended his motion to include Vice Mayor Vella's recommendation; stated the intent is to grant permission, rather than react.

Councilmember Oddie stated that he does not want to run afoul of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations, but would like to implement whatever restrictions staff thinks necessary; expressed concern over loss of jobs and support for the motion; stated staff should come back with a regulation for drones that will pass the FAA's test, but also recognizes the Council's desire.

Councilmember Matarrese accepted the friendly amendment to bifurcate the drone portion.

On the call for the question, the motion carried by the following voice vote: Ayes: Councilmember Matarrese, Oddie, Vella and Mayor Spencer – 4. Noes: Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft – 1.

The Acting City Attorney requested clarification that the direction from Council is to have staff come back with formal legislation banning the landing of drones and regulating the autonomous vehicles.

The Assistant City Manager inquired the agenda language allow adoption tonight, to which the Acting City Attorney responded the preference is to have a first reading to make specific findings supporting the enactment of the legislation.

Vice Mayor Vella stated that she is not in support of the one year pilot program; scooters have been deployed and are currently being used; a better use of time would

be to decide the way in which Council would like scooters to be deployed; expressed concern over regulating the number of scooters being deployed and where scooters are parked; stated that she would like to see a report back regarding the number of complaints filed and the number of violations as a condition of approval; expressed concern over citation of helmet laws.

Councilmember Matarrese expressed concern over the speed of scooters on sidewalks.

Councilmember Matarrese moved approval of coming back with a mechanism or ordinance that precludes profit making companies from coming into the City and asking for forgiveness and making the scooter companies liable for citations of helmet infractions.

Councilmember Oddie stated that he does not want to impede the scooter vendors; scooters are effective for the “last mile” issue; the goals of the Transportation Choices Plan are being met utilizing scooters; opening Alameda up to scooters is a good thing.

Mayor Spencer stated it is critical that these items come to Council; that she is happy to work with providers; expressed concern over safety; stated priority on the sidewalks is people walking; stated the issue should be reviewed by the Commission on Disability.

Vice Mayor Vella moved approval of a substitute motion approving the alternative language with direction from Council to look for a permitting process which the Council can weigh in on; adding an enforcement mechanism within the process, and ensuring compliance with different laws; stated it is clearly unlawful for a motorized scooter to be used on a sidewalk or public right of way.

Councilmember Matarrese seconded the substitute motion.

Under discussion, Mayor Spencer stated that she would like to add a stipulation on where to park scooters.

Vice Mayor Vella stated Council can also direct staff to look into the different limitations on parking or regulations around that ensure compliance.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft inquired if riding a motorized scooter on the sidewalk is already prohibited by State law, to which Vice Mayor Vella responded in the affirmative.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft requested staff to confirm there is technology that allows a fine to be placed on the user if bike share bikes are left in public right of way.

Vice Mayor Vella stated the desire of Council is to encapsulate the State law relative to riding on sidewalks.

Councilmember Matarrese stated profit-making companies need to be held accountable for educating customers, not the City.

Mayor Spencer stated companies can keep track of riders and users with multiple violations can be banned from use.

Vice Mayor Vella stated the items should come back to Council in a fairly timely manner.

On the call for the question, the motion carried by unanimous voice vote – 5.

Mayor Spencer called a recess at 9:21 p.m. and reconvened the meeting at 9:26 p.m.

(18-662) Public Hearing to consider Resolution No.15461, “Adopting a Mitigated Negative Declaration, Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, General Plan Land Use Diagram and Text Amendment for the Property on the West Side of McKay Avenue (APN 74-1305-26-2) (PLN18-0198) to Allow for Private Use of the Property for a Wellness Center.” Adopted;

(18-662A) Introduction of Ordinance Amending Alameda Zoning Map for the Property on the West Side of McKay Avenue (APN 74-1305-26-2) to Remove the G Government Combining District Designation to Allow for Private Use of the Property for a Wellness Center. Introduced;

(18-662B) 2-A. Recommendation to Accept the Certificate of Sufficiency for a Proposed Initiative Measure to Change the Land Use Designation for a 3.671 Acre Site on McKay Avenue, by Amending the General Plan Designation from Federal Facilities to Open Space, and by Amending the Zoning Ordinance from Administrative-Professional District, with Special Government Combining District Overlay, to Open Space District; and

(18-662C) Select One of the Following Options: 1) Adopt the Ordinance; 2) Adopt a Resolution Submitting the Ordinance to the Voters; Decide Interest in Drafting Arguments and Direct City Attorney to Prepare Impartial Analysis; or 3) Order a Report on the Effect of the Proposed Initiative Measure.

Note: The Public Hearing was combined with the certificate of sufficiency matter on the 7:01 p.m. special meeting agenda.

The Planning, Building and Transportation Director gave a Power Point presentation.

[Note: Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft returned at 9:29 p.m.]

The City Clerk gave a brief presentation.

Doug Biggs, Alameda Point Collaborative (APC), made brief comments; submitted photographs.

Urged Council to follow the rules, vote to remove the G overlay and have a report on the initiative return in January: John Knox White, Alameda.

Stated that he gathered signatures; expressed support for the initiative; suggested the center should be located next to homeless housing; urged Council to approve zoning the parcel open space: Robert Frank, Alameda.

Urged immediate adoption of the initiative, or if not, order a report; suggested the report not be completed by City staff and removal of the G overlay wait until the initiative is addressed: Karen Miller, Alameda.

Discussed Council adoption of the 2014 initiative measure and the parcels being split in 2016; urged Council to adopt the open space zoning: Liza Gabato Morse, Alameda.

Expressed support for APC and the wellness center; urged approval of removing the G overlay and ordering a report on the initiative: Duke Austin, Alameda.

Expressed concern over safety issues with the location, such as access points and room for emergency vehicles: Don Scroggins, Alameda.

Expressed support for further study; stated the location is not the right location for a medical center: Linda Robertson, Alameda.

Discussed compassion for homeless people and expressed support for the center: Jeff Locke, Island City Faith Coalition.

Urged the Council to order the report on the initiative and everyone to have compassion and respect: Tova Fry, Alameda.

Stated both Renewed Hope and Alameda Justice Alliance support removal of the G overlay: Doyle Saylor, Renewed Hope and Alameda Justice Alliance.

Expressed concern over many children in the area; stated the project would be welcome in another location, such as near the proposed Veterans Affairs project; discussed traffic on Central Avenue and Webster Street: Elizabeth Phipps, Alameda.

Expressed concern over the appropriateness of the location; suggested other locations: Diane Broch, Alameda.

Expressed concern over seniors not supporting the location; discussed human rights; expressed support for the location; urged Council to remove the G overlay and order a report on the initiative: Catherine Pauling, Alameda.

Discussed the project; stated issues with neighbors can be worked through; expressed support for removing the G overlay and doing a study on the initiative: Keith McCoy,

APC.

Discussed her experience advocating for patients; stated the project is the right thing to do: Joy Malloy, Renewed Hope.

Discussed recovering from surgery, which he was able to do on his couch, not the streets; urged Council to accept the project, remove to G overlay and do a report on the initiative: Eric Strimling, Alameda.

Stated there are only 20 respite beds in Alameda County; expressed concern over homeless having to recover on the streets; stated mentally ill people should not be criminalized; discussed other measures being taken in the County; urged a study be done; stated that she opposes the open space initiative: Lynette Lee, Renewed Hope and Alameda County Health Care for Homeless Coalition.

Discussed Measure WW and petition gatherers misrepresentation; suggested the measure be scheduled for November 2020: Brian McGuire, Alameda.

Urged Council to choose carefully; expressed support for the open space initiative; discussed services for homeless people: Fey Adelstein, Alameda.

Stated the project is an opportunity to move forward toward the Alameda she knows as one that came forward to help the people who need help: Kate Pryor, Alameda.

Outlined her experience gathering signatures; urged Council to order a report: Kris Moore, Alameda.

Councilmember Oddie inquired what is the risk to Measure A1 funding if the G overlay is not removed

Mr. Biggs responded that Mercy Housing has submitted a proposal for \$13 million of Measure A1 funding on behalf of APC; stated proof must be shown that zoning is suitable for the project; if Council does not approve removing the G overlay, there is a risk of disqualification from moving forward in the current round of applications.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft expressed support for removing the G overlay and staff's recommendation that a report come back to Council in 30 days; stated every community must do something to combat homelessness; she spoke with a representative from East Bay Regional Parks District (EBRPD) who assured her that EBRPD is not interested in the property and would welcome a facility that helps address homelessness; expressed gratitude to the Base Reuse and Community Development Director for using mediation between APC and Friends of Crab Cove.

Councilmember Oddie stated housing is a basic human right; read a call to action from the Committee to House the Bay Area (CASA); expressed support for removing the G overlay, the approval of the negative mitigation declaration, and the 30 day report back

to Council with the addition of: the economic risks of changing the zoning including litigation and financial exposure, requesting EBRPD to confirm or deny any intention of the property, what happens if EBRPD denies any interest in the property, the remedy for complaints related to paid signature gatherers, and if Measure WW required open space.

Councilmember Matarrese requested confirmation that the property is surplus from a federal agency under the McKinney Act, which requires it be offered to other federal agencies; if other federal agencies do not take it, it has to be offered for homeless services; inquired if the City is entitled to the property if it goes through a federal process.

The Planning, Building and Transportation Director responded in the affirmative.

Councilmember Matarrese stated the public needs to know the process; stated homelessness is urgent and important.

Councilmember Matarrese moved adoption of the resolution adopting a Mitigated Negative Declaration and introduction of the ordinance removing the G overlay.

Councilmember Oddie seconded the motion.

Under discussion, Vice Mayor Vella stated homelessness is a growing problem; the City is bound by a specific process the preempts the City from a federal level; where the City is in the process as well as the process and timeline in its entirety, needs to be outlined; the Council has fiduciary obligations to a functioning budget ensuring spending of City funds is in accordance with priorities laid out by Council, but also with what is necessary to ensure the City runs properly; there is a desire to locate services as close as possible to the people in need; outlined transit barriers; questioned where services should be located to ensure there are no transit barriers; stated concerns related to feasibility, overall safety and planning and design are not currently before the Council; requested a degree of civility from everybody; stated everything planned for the site are needed services.

Mayor Spencer stated that she believes the noticing has been insufficient since it did not include the words "unhoused" or "homeless;" it is important City notice be very clear about projects; some who lives within 300 feet of the proposed project informed her they did not receive notice; she collected signatures for the prior initiative; read findings related to Measure WW; outlined details of a liaison meeting with EBRPD; stated legal issues are being glossed over; expressed concern over removing the G overlay causing more damage to the City as a result of the initiative process; stated there are issues with the suitability of the building for any use, especially a medical facility due to contaminants; requested the federal government clean the site; expressed concern over emergency accessibility to the facility; stated it is critical for the area to be analyzed and vetted before the G overlay is removed.

On the call for the question, the motion carried by the following voice vote: Ayes: Councilmembers Ezzy Ashcraft, Matarrese, Oddie and Vella – 4. Noes: Mayor Spencer – 1.

Councilmember Oddie moved approval of ordering a report that incorporates comments of the Council.

Councilmember Matarrese seconded the motion.

Under discussion, Vice Mayor Vella inquired if the motion includes both the items stated from Councilmember Oddie and herself, to which Councilmember Oddie responded in the affirmative.

Mayor Spencer requested the motion be repeated.

Councilmember Oddie stated the motion is to order a report on the effect of the proposed initiative measure and incorporate the comments of the Council about additional information that should be in the report.

Councilmember Ezzy Ashcraft inquired if the motion includes considering a companion measure; stated that she would like the motion to include staff looking into also bringing a companion measure and the City taking an opposition position to the measure.

Councilmember Oddie stated it is included in the motion based off it being a comment from Council.

Mayor Spencer requested clarification that the action does not specify the election date, which will be a separate motion, to which the Acting City Attorney responded in the affirmative.

On the call for the question, the motion carried by unanimous voice vote – 5.

CITY MANAGER COMMUNICATIONS

None.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS, NON-AGENDA

None.

COUNCIL REFERRALS

None.

COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

None.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Mayor Spencer adjourned the meeting at 11:18 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lara Weisiger
City Clerk

The agenda for this meeting was posted in accordance with the Sunshine Ordinance.

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING
TUESDAY- -DECEMBER 4, 2018- -6:30 P.M.

Mayor Spencer convened the meeting at 6:34 p.m.

Roll Call – Present: Councilmembers Ezzy Ashcraft, Matarrese, Oddie, Vella and Mayor Spencer – 5.

Absent: None.

(18-650) Conference with Labor Negotiators (Government Code section 54957.6); CITY Negotiators: David L. Rudat, Interim City Manager, Elizabeth D. Warmerdam, Assistant City Manager and Nancy Bronstein, Human Resources Director; Employee Organizations: International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1245 (IBEW), Electric Utility Professional Association of Alameda (EUPA), Alameda City Employees Association (ACEA), Alameda Police Officers Association Non-Sworn Unit (PANS), and Alameda Management and Confidential Employees Association (MCEA); Under Negotiation: Salaries and Terms of Employment

Following the Closed Session, the meeting was reconvened and the City Clerk announced that direction was given to staff.

Adjournment

There being no further business, Mayor Spencer adjourned the meeting at 6:59 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lara Weisiger
City Clerk

The agenda for this meeting was posted in accordance with the Sunshine Ordinance.

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY- -DECEMBER 4, 2018- -7:01 P.M.

AGENDA ITEM

(18-662B) 2-A. Recommendation to Accept the Certificate of Sufficiency for a Proposed Initiative Measure to Change the Land Use Designation for a 3.671 Acre Site on McKay Avenue, by Amending the General Plan Designation from Federal Facilities to Open Space, and by Amending the Zoning Ordinance from Administrative-Professional District, with Special Government Combining District Overlay, to Open Space District; and

(18-662C) Select One of the Following Options: 1) Adopt the Ordinance; 2) Adopt a Resolution Submitting the Ordinance to the Voters; Decide Interest in Drafting Arguments and Direct City Attorney to Prepare Impartial Analysis; or 3) Order a Report on the Effect of the Proposed Initiative Measure. [630-20]

The item was moved to the regular meeting and combined with the McKay Avenue Public Hearing [paragraph no. 18-662].

Lara Weisiger, City Clerk
City of Alameda

The agenda for this meeting was posted in accordance with the Sunshine Ordinance.