



April 1, 2026

Mayor Marilyn Ashcraft
Vice Mayor Michele Pryor
Councilmember Greg Boller
Councilmember Tony Daysong
Councilmember Tracy Jensen
Alameda City Council
2263 Santa Clara Avenue
Alameda, CA 94501
mezzyashcraft@alamedaca.gov
mpryor@alamedaca.gov
gboller@alamedaca.gov
tdaysog@alamedaca.gov
tjensen@alamedaca.gov
CITYCOUNCIL-List@alamedaca.gov
Henry Dong, Planner III
City of Alameda
Planning, Building, & Transportation Dept.
2263 Santa Clara Ave., Room 190
Alameda, CA 94501
HDong@alamedaca.gov

Re: Response to Appeal - PLN25-0649
Amended Use Permit for 1200 Park Street

Dear Mayor Ashcraft, Vice Mayor Pryor, and Honorable Councilmembers:

Thank you for providing the appeal documentation related to the above-referenced matter. This Appeal requires considerable City of Alameda and Park Station time and resources, and we seek a practical compromise that serves both the residential and commercial communities.



Park Station contributes vibrancy and foot traffic to the southern end of Park Street's commercial district. We support the preservation and enhancement of small businesses and the broader cultural and artistic community that enriches the area. At the same time, we respect the character and needs of the neighboring residential community that has coexisted with Park Street for many decades.

Accordingly, we propose the following amendment to the Use Permit:

- **Allow outdoor live music one day per week (on Saturday's) with one band between 1:00 p.m. and 7:30 p.m. (Typical engagements are three hours of performance plus one hour for sound check.)**

We will comply with the existing 85-decibel limitation measured at the nearest residence.

If this amendment is acceptable, we respectfully request dismissal of the May 5 meeting. If it is not acceptable, we request an extension and ask for a response no later than April 10.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, which appears to read "Melody Thebeau". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above the printed name.

Melody Thebeau
Managing Member



April 7, 2026

Mayor Marilyn Ashcraft
Vice Mayor Michele Pryor
Councilmember Greg Boller
Councilmember Tony Daysong
Councilmember Tracy Jensen
Alameda City Council
2263 Santa Clara Avenue
Alameda, CA 94501
mezzyashcraft@alamedaca.gov
mpryor@alamedaca.gov
gboller@alamedaca.gov
tdaysog@alamedaca.gov
tjensen@alamedaca.gov
CITYCOUNCIL-List@alamedaca.gov
Henry Dong, Planner III
City of Alameda
Planning, Building, & Transportation Dept.
2263 Santa Clara Ave., Room 190
Alameda, CA 94501
HDong@alamedaca.gov

Re: Response to Appeal - PLN25-0649 - Amended Use Permit for 1200 Park Street

Dear Mayor Ashcraft, Vice Mayor Pryor, and Honorable Councilmembers:

Thank you for providing the appeal materials regarding the above-referenced matter. This appeal has consumed substantial City and Park Station resources. We respectfully submit the following focused response and proposed resolution to balance the needs of the commercial district, the arts community, and the adjacent residential neighborhood.



Park Station contributes significant vibrancy and foot traffic to the southern end of Park Street’s commercial district. We support efforts to preserve and enhance small businesses and the arts while respecting the character and quality of life of nearby residents.

Background and CEQA Position

1200 Park Street is eligible for exemption from further CEQA review under Guidelines §15183 because the project is consistent with the City of Alameda Comprehensive General Plan 2040 adopted in June 2022, which provides a 20-year policy roadmap for land use. This plan is supported by a comprehensive Environmental Impact Report (EIR) assessing long-term development. The Land Use Policy also supports and promotes arts and cultural programs throughout the city accessible to people of all ages. (The Land Use Policy LU-8 Arts and Culture).

Outdoor amplified music is a common, foreseeable land-use impact addressed by uniformly applied policies (e.g., noise ordinances) and the General Plan EIR and therefore is not a “peculiar” impact requiring new environmental review.

Key points supporting the §15183 exemption:

- Consistency with adopted planning and zoning (core §15183 requirement).
- Noise from outdoor amplified music is not a project-specific, peculiar impact beyond what was analyzed in the prior EIR.
- Substantial evidence supports that the project fits within the scope of the prior EIR; public controversy alone does not defeat the exemption.
- Additional CEQA review is only required if substantial evidence demonstrates peculiar significant impacts not mitigated by uniformly applied policies.

Relevant Case Law *Hilltop Group, Inc. v. County of San Diego* confirms that a §15183 exemption applies where the project is consistent with prior planning documents and that public controversy does not, by itself, necessitate further CEQA review. The Court required substantial evidence of peculiar or unmitigated significant impacts to justify additional review and upheld a deferential substantial evidence standard of review for §15183 determinations.



Common-Sense Exemption

Alternatively, 1200 Park Street may qualify for the CEQA “common-sense” exemption where it is clear the project has no possibility of causing significant environmental effects. A limited request for live outdoor music (approximately three hours per week) presents negligible environmental impact and provides a public-service enhancement to the community.

This exemption, grounded in practical reasoning, is utilized for projects deemed too minor to warrant detailed environmental review. Invoking this exemption requires a rationale demonstrating the project’s negligible environmental impact, simplifying the approval process by bypassing the need for extensive environmental analysis. It emphasizes efficiency in project planning and implementation while safeguarding environmental standards governed under the EIR. This can be particularly advantageous for public service enhancements, infrastructure improvements, and development projects where environmental impacts are deemed negligible. Exemptions also reduce the administrative burden on local agencies, allowing them to focus their environmental review efforts on projects with the potential for significant environmental impacts.

Use Code / Noise Measurement Issues

The code enforcement citation cited measurements taken at 1198 Park Street (Washboard Coin Laundry). That building is designated as use code 3200 (Store/Office with Apts/Lofts), a commercial mixed-use classification in Alameda County. Because the commercial component is open to the public, noise measurements taken directly outside that commercial space are not appropriate for evaluating impacts to a purely residential receptor. See Exhibit A, which provides Alameda County Use Code guidance.

We therefore request that the code enforcement noise data from 1198 Park Street be excluded from the record as an invalid residential measurement point. The nearest bona fide residential property is 2414 San Jose Avenue, occupied by the owners of Park Station. Measurements at that property over recent months have consistently been below 65 dBA at the residential property line and below 50 dBA indoors (with existing window conditions).



Proposed Resolution

To reconcile competing interests, we propose the following measures for inclusion in an amended use permit or policy:

- Adopt a noise standard consistent with comparable local practice (e.g., Berkeley): cap amplified sound at 15 dBA above ambient measured at a specified distance, which for Park Station could translate to a maximum of 85 dBA at 50 feet from the sound source given typical ambient levels. See Exhibit B.
- Identify the nearest residential measurement location as 2414 San Jose Avenue for compliance monitoring.
- Engage independent acoustical consultant Salter, Inc. to collect and analyze noise data and recommend mitigation if measured levels exceed municipal limits. Salter's field work is scheduled for April (first available date April 11, weather permitting); results will be submitted as a supplement.

Conclusion

We respectfully request that the Council consider the foregoing in evaluating the appeal and the appropriate scope of environmental review. We are prepared to provide Salter's findings and any additional information the Council may require.

Sincerely,

1200 PARK STREET LLC

Melody Thebeau, Managing Member

EXHIBIT A

ALAMEDA COUNTY ASSESSOR'S OFFICE USE CODES

FOR PUBLIC USE

FIRST and SECOND DIGIT CODES

0xxx - Series - Exempt, Not Assessed by County, Mobile Homes and Tracts

- 00,01,02 Use code not assigned at this time
- 03 Exempt public agencies
- 04 Property leased by a public utility
- 05 Property owned by a public utility
- 06 Mobile home on SFR land
- 07 Mobile home in mobile home park
- 08 Vacant residential tract lot
- 09 Partially complete residential tract home

1xxx Series - Single Family Residential

- 10 Vacant residential land zoned for four units or less
- 11 Single family residential homes used as such
- 12 Single family res home with a non-economic 2nd living unit
- 13 Single family residential home with a slight com'l use
- 14 Single family residential home with a slight indus. use
- 15 Planned development (townhouse type)
- 159 Planned development (townhouse type) Common area
- 16 Single family residential land with or subject to communal improvements
- 17 Single family residential home converted to boarding or rooming house use with shared kitchens and/or baths
- 18 Planned development (tract type) with commonly owned area
- 189 Planned development (tract type) Common area
- 19 Modular/Manufactured single family residential unit (home)

2xxx Series - Multiple Residential, 2-4 Units and Mobile Homes

- 20 Use code not assigned at this time
- 21 Two, three or four single family homes
- 22 Double or duplex
- 23 Triplex; double or duplex together with a single family home
- 24 Four living units, eg. fourplex or triplex together with a single family residential home; two doubles or duplexes.
- 25 Residential property of two living units either or both of which are lesser quality than code 22
- 26 Residential property of three living units either or both of which are lesser quality than code 23
- 27 Residential property of four living units either or both of which are lesser quality than code 24
- 28 Residential property with two, three or four identifiable living units together with rooming or boarding use
- 29 More than one mobile home, or one mobile home in addition to other residential living units, on res. land

3xxx Series - Commercial (See also 8X & 9X Series)

- 30 Vacant commercial land (may include misc. imps)
- 31 One-story store
- 32 Store on 1st floor with offices or apts/lofts on 2nd or 3rd
- 33 Miscellaneous commercial (improved)
- 34 Department store
- 35 Discount store
- 36 Restaurant
- 37 Shopping Center
- 38 Supermarket
- 39 Commercial or industrial condominium prior to sale of one unit. Regular use code used on all but common area after sale of one unit

4xxx Series - Industrial

- 40 Vacant industrial land (may include misc. imps)
- 41 Warehouse
- 42 Light industrial
- 43 Heavy industrial
- 44 Misc. industrial (improved), not qualifying for any other industrial code
- 45 Nurseries
- 46 Quarries, sand & gravel
- 47 Salt ponds
- 48 Terminals, trucking and distribution
- 49 Wrecking yards

5xxx Series - Rural

- 50 Vacant rural-residential homesites (may include misc. imps)
- 51 Rural-residential homesites (improved)
- 52 One or more mobile homes on rural home sites
- 53 Rural property with significant com'l use
- 54 Rural property with significant indus. use
- 55 Rural property used for agriculture (more than 10 acres)
- 56 Rural property in transition to a higher use
- 57 Vac. rural land not usable for even agricultural
- 585 Improved rural land under non-renewal of Williamson Act contract
- 595 Vacant rural land under non-renewal of Williamson Act contract

6xxx Series - Institutional

- 60 That vacant land which is a necessary part of an institutional property
- 61 Improved government-owned property
- 62 Use code not assigned at this time
- 63 Golf courses
- 64 Schools
- 65 Cemeteries
- 66 Churches
- 67 Other institutional properties
- 68 Lodgehalls and clubhouses
- 69 Use code not assigned at this time

7xxx Series - Multiple Residential, 5 or more units

- 70 Vacant apt land capable of supporting 5 or more units
- 71 Five or more single family residential homes
- 72 Residential property converted to 5 or more units
- 73 Condominiums
- 74 Cooperatives
- 75 Restricted income properties
- 76 Fraternities and sororities
- 77 Multiple residential (5 or more units)
- 78 Residential high-rise (7 or more stories)
- 79 Church homes

8xxx Series - Improved Commercial

- 80 Car washes
- 81 Commercial garages (repair)
- 82 Automobile dealerships
- 83 Parking lots
- 84 Parking garages
- 85 Service stations
- 86 Funeral homes
- 87 Nursing or boarding homes
- 88 Hospital (convalescent or general)
- 89 Hotels

9xxx Series - Improved Commercial

- 90 Motel
- 91 Mobile home parks
- 92 Banks
- 93 Medical-dental
- 94 1 to 5 story office buildings
- 95 Over 5 story office buildings
- 96 Bowling alleys
- 97 Theaters (walk-in)
- 98 Theaters (drive-in)
- 99 Other recreational activity, ie, rinks, stadiums, race tracks

THIRD DIGIT CODES Third digit codes with the exception of xx2 and xx9 are assigned for administrative use, to denote types of property under special appraisal restrictions and should not be removed, added or changed by field personnel unless specifically instructed.

xx1 Parcels which cannot be independently appraised, but must be separately assessed. When this code is used, all parcels which comprise a single property are coded with the same basic (first two digits) use code. This code is used for:

1. Parcels created by tax rate area lines dividing property into two or more parcels
2. Adjoining parcels which comprise a single property (single economic unit) which for some reason cannot be combined

NOTE: This code is not to be used for condominiums, or planned developments (formerly code xx8)

xx3 For use with cooperative housing projects which have not been separated into individual units, i.e., one parcel number covers multiple units and the surrendered roll value of this parcel Revised represents the total value of these units.

xx5 Property enrolled under a land conservation contract

xx6 Property owned by a unit of government outside the boundaries of that unit of government

xx9 Common area of condominium or planned development



Parcel Details for Parcel 70-181-1

[New Search](#)

Parcel Number: 70-181-1 **Active:** Yes
Property Address: 1198 PARK ST, ALAMEDA, CA 94501
Mailing Address: 227 SCOFIELD, MORAGA, CA 94556

Values

Select the year to see value details

Roll Year	Land Value	Imps Value
2025	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2024	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2023	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2022	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
2021	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

[1](#)
[2](#)
[3](#)
[4](#)
[5](#)
[6](#)
[7](#)
1 - 5 of 34 items

*Corrected values

Use Code

3200
 STORE/OFFICE WITH APTS/LOFTS
[Use Code Reference](#)

No Parent Parcels Data No Child Parcels Data No Economic Unit Data

Maps

[Maps Disclaimer](#)

[Page 1](#)

[Page 2](#)

EXHIBIT B

ORDINANCE NO. 7,122-N.S.

AMENDING CHAPTER 13.40 OF THE BERKELEY MUNICIPAL CODE, REGULATING COMMUNITY NOISE

13.40.090 Issuance of variances by the NCO.

The creation of any noise which exceeds the standards specified in this chapter that is not otherwise exempt requires the issuance of a variance. The EHD shall evaluate all applications for variances from the requirements of this chapter and may grant said variances with respect to time for compliance, subject to such terms, conditions, and requirements as it may deem reasonable to achieving compliance with the provisions of this chapter. Each such variance shall set forth in detail the approved method of achieving compliance and a time schedule for its accomplishment. If in the judgment of the EHD the time for compliance cannot be reasonably determined, a variance to cause the noise may be issued for a period not to exceed three years. In determining the reasonableness of the terms of any proposed variance, the EHD shall consider the magnitude of nuisance caused by the offensive noise, the uses of property within the area of impingement by the noise, operations carried on under existing nonconforming rights or conditional use permits or zoning variances, the time factors related to study, design, financing and construction of remedial work, the economic factors related to age and useful life of the equipment and the general public interest and welfare.

A. Any person seeking a variance pursuant to this section shall file an application with the EHD. The application shall contain information which demonstrates that bringing the source of sound or activity for which the variance is sought into compliance with this chapter would constitute an unreasonable hardship on the applicant, or the community, or on other persons.

A separate application shall be filed for each noise source; provided, however, that several mobile sources are under common ownership, or several fixed sources on a single property may be combined into one application. Notice of an application for variance shall be posted for 12 working days in the area of the proposed variance, which notice shall state the last the day to file an objection to the variance. If an individual who claims to be adversely affected by allowance of the variance files a written objection by the deadline provided in the notice with the EHD objecting to the proposed variance, the EHD will facilitate discussion with the applicant to mitigate that individual's concerns. Any late written objections shall be taken into consideration for future events.

B. In determining whether to grant or deny the application, the EHD shall balance the hardship on the applicant, the community, and other persons of not granting variance against the adverse impact on the health, safety, and welfare of persons affected, and any other adverse impacts of granting the variance. Applicants for variances and persons contesting variances may be required to submit such information as the EHD may reasonably require. In granting or denying an application, the EHD shall keep on public file a copy of the decision and the reasons for denying or granting the variance.

C. Variances shall be granted by notice to the applicant containing all necessary conditions, including a time limit on the permitted activity. The variance shall not become effective until all conditions are agreed to by the applicant. Noncompliance with any

condition of the variance shall terminate the variance and subject the person holding it to those provisions of this chapter. (Ord. 5500-NS § 1 (part), 1982)

13.40.100 Permits--Outdoor amplified sound.

A. Applications. Any person(s) or organization(s) wishing to use amplified sound outdoors for an Event must obtain a permit for the amplified sound from the EHD. In evaluating the application, the EHD shall consider the following factors in addition to additional criteria the EHD may adopt:

Factors:

1. Time and duration (if any) of event.
2. Location or route of event.
3. Anticipated number of people in attendance.
4. Number of people to be affected by the event.
5. Magnitude of noise.
6. Provisions of the organization to deal with complaints received.
7. Provisions of the organization for admitting people to the event.
8. Provisions of the organization for crowd control, disabled access, pedestrian access, and vehicle access.
9. Past compliance and non-compliance with the provisions of this Chapter and previous permits granted to the organization.
10. That on private property there have been no more than seven permits for outdoor or indoor amplified sound granted for the location applied for within that calendar year or any such permit granted for an event taking place at the location within 30 days of the requested date.
11. That on public property no more than one permit be issued for the Location in any one day.

This application will be due to the EHD no less than 30 days before the intended date of the Event, unless the proposed Event is in response to an occurrence whose timing did not reasonably allow the applicant to file a timely application and the imposition of this time limitation would place an unreasonable restriction on free speech. An application must be on a form provided by the City and shall include the names, addresses, phone numbers, and photo identification of all persons who are or will be responsible for the conduct of the event. The application will be denied if the information contained in the application, including supplemental information, if any, is found to be false in any material respect, the applicant fails to meet the City requirements for a permit, or Factors 10 or 11 above apply.

B. Contents of Permits and Conditions.

An amplified sound permit may impose reasonable time, place, and manner conditions such that the proposed sound will not pose a traffic or safety hazard, interfere with fire or police protection services, or unreasonably interfere with pedestrian or vehicular use of the Public right-of-way. All amplified sound permits shall be subject to the following conditions:

1. The only amplified sounds permitted shall be either music or human speech, or both;

2. Sound amplification equipment on private property shall not be utilized in any location for a period in excess of 4 hours in any 24-hour period;

3. Sound amplifying equipment when associated with an approved Event permit shall be used upon public property for the duration of the Event and only between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., except as may be permitted pursuant to other provisions of this Code, such as Section [13.40.080](#), and upon private property only between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m.;

4. Speakers for outdoor sound amplification equipment shall be directed, to the extent feasible toward open or unoccupied space and away from residentially occupied property;

5a. The sound emanating from sound amplifying equipment on private property shall not exceed 15 dBA above the ambient noise level measured at the exterior of any dwelling unit located on any residential property; and in no case to exceed 65 dBA at the exterior of any such building;

5b. On public property such sound may not exceed 15 dBA above the ambient noise level measured at any point 50 feet from the sound amplifying equipment. The EHD may allow higher limits upon a showing that an expected audience cannot be effectively communicated to within the above limits;

6. In any event, the volume of sound shall be so controlled that it will not be unreasonably loud, raucous, jarring, disturbing or a nuisance to reasonable persons of normal sensitiveness within the area of audibility; Such other terms as may be necessary to insure compliance with the provisions of this chapter; The organization must provide the EHD with the names of two people who will be in attendance at all times and have the authority to deal with a NCO or the police in response to complaints and/or violations; The permittee shall carry the permit during the proposed Event and show it, upon demand, to any City employee authorized to enforce this Chapter; All sound equipment must be kept in locations that comply with the location and size requirements of Section [14.48.170](#). The EHD may require the applicant to distribute flyers throughout the surrounding area in advance of the proposed Event, notifying the affected residents and business people of the proposed Event.

D. A NCO may summarily terminate the permit after a warning, if the activity for which the permit is issued results in violations of any applicable laws or regulations.

E. A violation of any permit conditions is a violation of this Chapter.

F. The EHD may issue additional regulations to further the purposes of this Section. (Ord. 5500-NS § 1 (part), 1982)

13.40.120 Appeals.

Any person directly affected by the noise and/or the applicant who is aggrieved by approval or disapproval of a variance or permit by the EHD may appeal in writing to the City Manager no less than 72 hours prior to the anticipated exercise of the variance or permit. The City Manager shall consider the appeal as soon as possible. The City Manager shall provide written notice of his or her decision to the appellant. The City Manager's decision shall be final. (Ord. 5500-NS § 1 (part), 1982)

From: [Melody Thebeau](#)
To: [Henry Dong](#); [Steven Buckley](#); [Grant Usken](#); [Dan Thebeau](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Request to Retract Code Enforcement Violation - 1200 Park Street
Date: Wednesday, April 8, 2026 4:27:55 PM
Attachments: [Zoning Use and Parcel Map.pdf](#)

Hi Henry and Steve,

We wish to formally dispute the issued noise violations in connection with our permitted outdoor live music. Our use permit authorizes outdoor live music not to exceed 85 dBA, with measurements to be taken at the nearest residential property.

Based on the information provided by the responding officer/inspector and our own review, we believe the citations are invalid because the sound measurements were taken at commercial buildings located at 1198 Park Street (Parcel 70-181-1; Commercial Use Code 3200) and 1203 Park Street (Parcel 71-207-11; Commercial Use Code 3200) instead of the nearest residential property, as required.

Attached is the parcel map showing use codes for nearby properties on Park Street and San Jose Avenue. You'll note that the nearest residential properties to 1200 Park Street are 1212 Park Street and 2414 San Jose Avenue (our residence).

Please include this information (including the map) in the City Council submission, documenting our request to dismiss the noise violations.

Thank you.

Melody Thebeau

email: melody@sacyard.beer

phone: 415.716.9625

url: www.sacyard.beer



1XXX - SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
2XXX - MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
3XXX - COMMERCIAL

ZONING USE - PARCEL MAP

1200 PARK STREET AND SURROUNDING BUILDINGS



NEAREST "RESIDENTIAL" - 1212 PARK STREET AND
2414 SAN JOSE AVE.



[EXTERNAL] Request to Retract Code Enforcement Violation - 1200 Park Street

From Melody Thebeau <melody@sacyard.beer>

Date Wed 4/8/2026 4:27 PM

To Henry Dong <HDong@alamedaca.gov>; Steven Buckley <sbuckley@alamedaca.gov>; Grant Usken <grant@sacyard.beer>; Dan Thebeau <dan@sacyard.beer>

 1 attachment (560 KB)

Zoning Use and Parcel Map.pdf;

Hi Henry and Steve,

We wish to formally dispute the issued noise violations in connection with our permitted outdoor live music. Our use permit authorizes outdoor live music not to exceed 85 dBA, with measurements to be taken at the nearest residential property.

Based on the information provided by the responding officer/inspector and our own review, we believe the citations are invalid because the sound measurements were taken at commercial buildings located at 1198 Park Street (Parcel 70-181-1; Commercial Use Code 3200) and 1203 Park Street (Parcel 71-207-11; Commercial Use Code 3200) instead of the nearest residential property, as required.

Attached is the parcel map showing use codes for nearby properties on Park Street and San Jose Avenue. You'll note that the nearest residential properties to 1200 Park Street are 1212 Park Street and 2414 San Jose Avenue (our residence).

Please include this information (including the map) in the City Council submission, documenting our request to dismiss the noise violations.

Thank you.

Melody Thebeau

email: melody@sacyard.beer

phone: 415.716.9625

url: www.sacyard.beer



INSPECTION RESULTS FOR PERMIT #X25-0456

Applicant Name:

Work Description: REPORTS OF NOISE LEVELS EXCEEDING NOISE ORDINANCE AND USE PERMIT.

Address: 1200 PARK ST, ALAMEDA, CA 94501

Inspection Date	Inspection Type	Inspector	Inspection Status
10/02/2025	Preliminary Inspection	ANDREW KISH	Informational
<p>Comment: INITIAL INSPECTION CONDUCTED FROM PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY. REPORT OF LOUD MUSIC COMING FROM PROPERTY EXCEEDING DB LEVELS. UPON ARRIVAL NO BAND WAS PRESENT, BUT AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS WERE CAPTURED FROM IN FRONT OF THE BUSINESS AND NEXT DOOR TO NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES. ON SAN JOSE AVE, AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS WERE APPROXIMATELY 65-70DB. ON PARK ST, AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS WERE APPROXIMATELY 70-90DB. SPOKE TO MANAGEMENT ON SITE AND INFORMED OF INTENTION TO BE CONDUCTING INSPECTIONS NEAR PROPERTY TO GATHER DB READINGS TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH USE PERMIT AND MUNICIPAL CODES. FURTHER CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY IS REQUIRED.</p>			
10/18/2025	Re-Inspection	ANDREW KISH	In Violation
<p>Comment: RE-INSPECTION CONDUCTED FROM PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY. SOUND READING WERE CAPTURED ON ALL FOUR CORNERS OF THE INTERSECTION AT PARK ST AND SAN JOSE AVE, THE NEIGHBORING PROPERTY ON PARK ST, AND THE NEIGHBORING PROPERTY ON SAN JOSE AVE. NOISE LEVELS AVERAGED AT APPROXIMATELY 80-85DB ON ALL FOUR CORNERS OF THE INTERSECTION, AT ONE POINT PEAKING AROUND 90-95DB. WHEN LOCATED AT THE NEIGHBORING PROPERTY ON PARK ST, AVERAGE DB LEVEL WAS APPROXIMATELY 81-83DB. WHEN LOCATED AT THE NEIGHBORING PROPERTY ON SAN JOSE AVE, AVERAGE DB LEVEL DID NOT EXCEED 85 DB. CONTACTED MANAGER ON PROPERTY INFORMING HER OF DB LEVELS AT 90-95 DB RANGE AT PROPERTY DIRECTLY ACROSS STREET. INFORMED TO BE COGNISANT OF DB LEVELS. FUTURE INSPECTIONS PLANNED TO MONITOR. FURTHER CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY IS REQUIRED.</p>			
01/20/2026	Re-Inspection	ANDREW KISH	Compliance
<p>Comment: RE-INSPECTION CONDUCTED FROM PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY. DB READINGS MEASURED AT INTERSECTION OF SAN JOSE AVE AND PARK ST, AS WELL AS NEAR NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES ON PARK ST AND ON SAN JOSE AVE. AMBIENT READINGS PRIOR TO MUSIC BEING PLAYED MEASURED AT APPROXIMATELY 55-75DB, AVERAGING AT APPROXIMATELY 65 DB. WHEN MUSIC BEGAN, DB READINGS MEASURED AT APPROXIMATELY 65-70 DB. MUSIC DID NOT EXCEED AMBIENT NOISE READINGS AT TIME OF BEING PLAYED. ADDITIONAL INSPECTIONS PLANNED TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE.</p>			
01/21/2026	Re-Inspection	ANDREW KISH	Compliance
<p>Comment: RE-INSPECTION CONDUCTED FROM PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY. DB READINGS MEASURED AT INTERSECTION OF SAN JOSE AVE AND PARK ST, AS WELL AS NEAR NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES ON PARK ST AND ON SAN JOSE AVE. AMBIENT READINGS PRIOR TO MUSIC BEING PLAYED MEASURED AT APPROXIMATELY 55-80DB, AVERAGING AT APPROXIMATELY 70 DB. WHEN MUSIC BEGAN, DB READINGS MEASURED AT APPROXIMATELY 55-70 DB. MUSIC DID NOT EXCEED AMBIENT NOISE READINGS AT TIME OF BEING PLAYED. ADDITIONAL INSPECTIONS PLANNED TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE.</p>			
01/31/2026	Re-Inspection	ANDREW KISH	In Violation
<p>Comment: I conducted an inspection on Saturday, and contacted the brewery owner, informing him of the high dB levels and the music exceeding the hours of 8 PM. I will update my case accordingly, but as of now they are marked in violation from that inspection. I will contact the constituent and update them on my findings as well.</p>			
<p>Andrew Kish Code Enforcement Officer City of Alameda Office: (510) 747-6838</p>			

Applicant Name:

Work Description: REPORTS OF NOISE LEVELS EXCEEDING NOISE ORDINANCE AND USE PERMIT.

Address: 1200 PARK ST, ALAMEDA, CA 94501

Inspection Date	Inspection Type	Inspector	Inspection Status
02/07/2026	Re-Inspection	ANDREW KISH	Compliance
Comment: on Saturday 2/7 went out to the site and observed an outdoor music event that was in compliance with the maximum 85 dB sound level.			
			Total Inspections
			6

1XXX - SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
2XXX - MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
3XXX - COMMERCIAL

ZONING USE - PARCEL MAP

1200 PARK STREET AND SURROUNDING BUILDINGS



NEAREST "RESIDENTIAL" - 1212 PARK STREET AND
2414 SAN JOSE AVE.